

ANNALES DE L'I. H. P., SECTION C

BAISHENG YAN

Remarks on $W^{1,p}$ -stability of the conformal set in higher dimensions

Annales de l'I. H. P., section C, tome 13, n° 6 (1996), p. 691-705.

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIHPC_1996__13_6_691_0

© Gauthier-Villars, 1996, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales de l'I. H. P., section C » (<http://www.elsevier.com/locate/anihpc>), implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/legal.php>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

Remarks on $W^{1,p}$ -stability of the conformal set in higher dimensions

by

Baisheng YAN

Department of Mathematics, Michigan State University,
East Lansing, MI 48824, USA
e-mail:yan@math.msu.edu.

ABSTRACT. – In this paper, we study the stability of maps in $W^{1,p}$ that are close to the conformal set $K_1 = \mathbf{R}^+ \cdot SO(n)$ in an averaged sense as described in Definition 1.1. We prove that K_1 is $W^{1,p}$ -compact for all $p \geq n$ but is not $W^{1,p}$ -stable for any $1 \leq p < n/2$ when $n \geq 3$. We also prove a coercivity estimate for the integral functional $\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} d_{K_1}^p(\nabla\phi(x)) dx$ on $W^{1,p}(\mathbf{R}^n; \mathbf{R}^n)$ for certain values of p lower than n using some new estimates for weak solutions of p -harmonic equations.

Key words: Weak stability, conformal set.

RÉSUMÉ. – Dans cet article, nous étudions la stabilité des applications dans $W^{1,p}$ qui sont proches de l'ensemble conforme $K_1 = \mathbf{R}^+ \cdot SO(n)$ dans un sens moyenné décrit dans la Définition 1.1. Nous prouvons que K_1 est $W^{1,p}$ -compact pour $p \geq n$ mais n'est pas $W^{1,p}$ -stable pour tout $1 \leq p < n/2$ si $n \geq 3$. Nous prouvons aussi une estimée de coercivité pour la fonctionnelle $\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} d_{K_1}^p(\nabla\phi(x)) dx$ on $W^{1,p}(\mathbf{R}^n; \mathbf{R}^n)$ pour certaines valeurs de p inférieures à n en utilisant des estimées nouvelles pour des solutions faibles d'équations p -harmoniques.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 49J10, 30C62.

This work was done while the author was a member at the Institute for Advanced Study during 1993-94, which was supported by NSF Grant under DMS 9304580.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $n \geq 2$ and $\mathcal{M}^{n \times n}$ denote the set of all real $n \times n$ matrices. For each $l \geq 1$, we consider the following subset K_l of $\mathcal{M}^{n \times n}$ in connection with the theory of l -quasiregular mappings in \mathbf{R}^n (see Reshetnyak [21] and Rickman [22]),

$$K_l = \{A \in \mathcal{M}^{n \times n} \mid \|A\|^n \leq l \det A\}, \quad (1.1)$$

where $\|A\|$ is the norm of $A \in \mathcal{M}^{n \times n}$ viewed as a linear operator on \mathbf{R}^n , *i.e.*,

$$\|A\| = \max_{|h|=1} |Ah| = \max_{|h|=1} \sqrt{h^T A^T A h}. \quad (1.2)$$

When $l = 1$, set K_1 is the set of all conformal matrices, which will be called the *conformal* set in this paper. Note that $K_1 = \mathbf{R}^+ \cdot SO(n)$. We also consider the set $R(n)$ of all general orthogonal matrices in \mathbf{R}^n , *i.e.*,

$$R(n) = \{A \in \mathcal{M}^{n \times n} \mid A^T A = \lambda I \text{ for some } \lambda \geq 0\}. \quad (1.3)$$

Let Ω be a domain in \mathbf{R}^n , which is assumed throughout this paper to be bounded and smooth. We recall that a map $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbf{R}^n)$ is said to be (*weakly*, if $p < n$) l -quasiregular if $\nabla u(x) \in K_l$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$, see [13], [14], [21] and [22]. The Liouville theorem asserts that every 1-quasiregular in $W^{1,n}(\Omega; \mathbf{R}^n)$ is conformal and thus is the restriction of a Möbius map if $n \geq 3$.

An important result proved in Iwaniec [13, Theorem 3] is that for each $n \geq 3$ and $l \geq 1$ there exists a $p_* = p_*(n, l) < n$ such that every weakly l -quasiregular map belonging to $W^{1,p_*}(\Omega; \mathbf{R}^n)$ belongs actually to $W^{1,n}(\Omega; \mathbf{R}^n)$ and is thus an l -quasiregular map as usually defined in [21] or [22]. Such higher integrability results depend on some new estimates for weak solutions of p -harmonic equations in Iwaniec [13], and Iwaniec and Sbordone [15].

In this paper, we shall study some properties pertaining to the stability of *weakly* quasiregular maps. We shall consider the stability of maps in $W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbf{R}^n)$ when their gradients are converging to the conformal set $K_1 = \mathbf{R}^+ \cdot SO(n)$ in the averaged sense described by (1.4) in Definition 1.1 below. The study is originated from a study of the structures of *Young measures* whose supports are *unbounded*. For references in this direction, we refer to [2], [3], [16], [17], [19], [23], [25], [26], [29], [30] and references therein.

We need some notation to proceed. For a function f defined on $\mathcal{M}^{n \times n}$ we use $\mathcal{Z}(f)$ and $f^\#$ to denote the zero set and the quasiconvexification of f , respectively. For a given set $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{M}^{n \times n}$, denote by $d_{\mathcal{K}}(A)$ the distance from A to \mathcal{K} for all $A \in \mathcal{M}^{n \times n}$ (in any equivalent Euclidean norm), and let $\mathcal{K}^\#$ be the quasiconvex hull of \mathcal{K} . See Dacorogna [8], Yan [26] and Šverák [23] for the relevant definitions.

In this paper, we use the following definition, see also Zhang [30]. We refer to Ball [2], Kinderlehrer and Pedregal [17] and Tartar [25] for more connections of this definition with the Young measures theory.

DEFINITION 1.1. – We say \mathcal{K} is $W^{1,p}$ -stable if for every sequence $u_j \rightharpoonup u_0$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbf{R}^n)$ satisfying

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} d_{\mathcal{K}}^p(\nabla u_j(x)) \, dx = 0, \tag{1.4}$$

it follows that $\nabla u_0(x) \in \mathcal{K}$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$. We say \mathcal{K} is $W^{1,p}$ -compact if every weakly convergent sequence $u_j \rightharpoonup u_0$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega, \mathbf{R}^n)$ satisfying (1.4) converges strongly to u_0 in $W^{1,1}(\Omega; \mathbf{R}^n)$. In terms of Young Measures, \mathcal{K} is $W^{1,p}$ -compact if and only if every $W^{1,p}$ -gradient Young Measure supported on \mathcal{K} is a Dirac Young Measure on $\mathcal{M}^{n \times n}$.

It should be noted that in many cases $d_{\mathcal{K}}^p$ in (1.4) can be replaced by other functions f that vanish exactly on \mathcal{K} and satisfy $0 \leq f(A) \leq C(|A|^p + 1)$. For example, when \mathcal{K} is homogeneous, then $d_{\mathcal{K}}^p$ in (1.4) can be replaced by any non-negative homogeneous functions of degree p that vanish exactly on \mathcal{K} .

We also note that it follows from the result in Zhang [29]-[30] that if a compact set \mathcal{K} is $W^{1,p}$ -compact for some $p > 1$ then it is $W^{1,p}$ -compact for all $p > 1$. One of the main purposes of this paper is to show that this result fails to hold for unbounded sets \mathcal{K} . Our counter-example is provided by the conformal set $K_1 = \mathbf{R}^+ \cdot SO(n)$ defined above. More precisely, we shall prove the following result.

THEOREM 1.2. – Suppose $n \geq 3$. Then set $K_1 = \mathbf{R}^+ \cdot SO(n)$ is $W^{1,p}$ -compact for all $p \geq n$, but not $W^{1,p}$ -stable for any $1 \leq p < n/2$.

The $W^{1,n}$ -compactness of K_1 follows from a stronger theorem (Theorem 3.1) proved by using the result of Evans and Garipey [10] (see also Evans [9]) and the theory of polyconvex functions. Note that the $W^{1,p}$ -compactness of K_1 for $p > n$ has been proved in Ball [3] using the Young measures and polyconvex functions; see also Kinderlehrer [16]. Using the similar techniques of biting Young measures, one can also prove the $W^{1,n}$ -compactness of K_1 without using the result of [10]; but we do

not pursue such a method in the present paper. For more on biting Young measures, we only refer to [6], [17] and [28].

It is also noted that $K_1 = \mathbf{R}^+ \cdot SO(n)$ is unbounded and contains no rank-one connections, but our theorem says that it may or may not support nontrivial gradient Young measures. This phenomenon also makes the conjecture in Tartar [25] more interesting for Young measures with unbounded supports; of course, this conjecture (in the case of compact supports) has been very well understood and resolved in Bhattacharya *et al.* [7], *see also* Šverák [24].

It has been proved in Yan [26] (also Zhang [29]) that if \mathcal{K} is compact then $\mathcal{K}^\# = \mathcal{Z}(d_{\mathcal{K}}^\#)$. For $\mathcal{K} = K_1$, the conformal set, if $n \geq 3$ it is easily seen from the proof of Theorem 3.3 that the set $R(n)$ is contained in $\mathcal{Z}(d_{\mathcal{K}}^\#)$. More recently, using this observation and the rank-one convex hulls, we have proved in Yan [27] that $d_{K_1}^\#$ actually must be identically zero. For more on the growth condition for *conformal energy* functions, we refer to the forthcoming paper Yan [27]. Therefore in general the previous result $\mathcal{K}^\# = \mathcal{Z}(d_{\mathcal{K}}^\#)$ does not hold for unbounded sets \mathcal{K} (an example when $n = 2$ was given in Yan [26]).

The proof of Theorem 3.1 uses the polyconvex function $G(A)$ defined by (2.1) which vanishes exactly on the conformal set K_1 and is *uniformly strictly* quasiconvex in the term used by Evans [9] and Evans and Gariépy [10]. The proof using biting Young measures as in Ball [3] also uses such polyconvex functions. However for $p < n$ both proofs break down since there is no counterpart of polyconvex function $G(A)$ that vanishes exactly on K_1 and grows like $|A|^p$ when $p < n$, *see* Yan [27].

To study the case for $p < n$, we make use of some new estimates for p -harmonic equations obtained recently by Iwaniec [13, Theorem 1] (*see also* [15]). We shall prove the following coercivity result for the functional

$$\int_{\Omega} d_{K_1}^p(\nabla\phi(x)) \, dx$$

on $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbf{R}^n)$ for certain $p < n$, which follows obviously from Theorem 4.1.

THEOREM 1.3. – *Let $n \geq 3$ and $K_1 = \mathbf{R}^+ \cdot SO(n)$ be the conformal set. Then there exist constants $\alpha(n) < n < \beta(n)$ and $c_0(n) > 0$ such that for all $p \in [\alpha(n), \beta(n)]$*

$$c_0(n) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla\phi(x)|^p \, dx \leq \int_{\Omega} d_{K_1}^p(\nabla\phi(x)) \, dx \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla\phi(x)|^p \, dx \quad (1.5)$$

for all $\phi \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbf{R}^n)$.

This theorem implies that for certain values of p lower than n , any weakly convergent sequence $\{u_j\}$ in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbf{R}^n)$ that satisfies (1.4) must converge to 0 in $W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbf{R}^n)$. For functions ϕ with the conformal linear boundary conditions, we do not know whether a similar estimate like (1.5) can be obtained; see the remarks in the end of the paper.

Finally, we point out that the estimate like the second one of (1.5) can not be expected to hold for a constant $\alpha(n) < n/2$.

THEOREM 1.4. – *Let $\alpha(n) < n$ be any constant determined in the previous theorem. Then it follows that $\alpha(n) \geq n/2$.*

We now give the plan of the paper. In section 2, we review some notation and preliminaries that are needed to prove our main theorems. In section 3, we prove the $W^{1,p}$ -compactness of the conformal set $K_1 = \mathbf{R}^+ \cdot SO(n)$ for $p \geq n$ and study the $W^{1,p}$ -stability of K_1 for $p < n/2$. In section 4, we prove the coercivity property (1.5) of the integral functional $\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} d_K^p(\nabla\phi(x)) dx$ on $W^{1,p}(\mathbf{R}^n; \mathbf{R}^n)$ for certain values of p lower than n . We also prove that such a coercivity estimate is not true for $p < n/2$. Finally, in section 5, we make some remarks regarding the $W^{1,p}$ -compactness of set K_1 for certain lower values of $p < n$.

2. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARIES

For $n \geq 2$, let us define

$$G(A) = n^{-n/2}|A|^n - \det A \tag{2.1}$$

where $|A|^2 = \text{tr}(A^T A)$. It is easily seen that $G(A) \geq 0$ is polyconvex and vanishes exactly on $K_1 = \mathbf{R}^+ \cdot SO(n)$ and is *uniformly strictly* quasiconvex in the sense defined by Evans and Gariepy in [10], also [9] and [11].

LEMMA 2.1. – *Let $G(A)$ be defined by (2.1). Then for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a constant $C_\epsilon > 0$ such that for all $A \in \mathcal{M}^{n \times n}$*

$$G(A) \leq C_\epsilon d_{K_1}^n(A) + \epsilon |A|^n. \tag{2.2}$$

Proof. – This follows easily from the homogeneity of $G(A)$ and $d_{K_1}^n(A)$. \square

In order to use the estimates for p -harmonic tensors, we need some notation on exterior algebras and differential forms on \mathbf{R}^n . We follow the notation in Iwaniec and Martin [14].

Let e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n denote the standard basis of \mathbf{R}^n . For $l = 0, 1, \dots, n$ we denote by $\Lambda^l = \Lambda^l(\mathbf{R}^n)$ the linear space of all l -tensors spanned by $\{e_I = e_{i_1} \wedge e_{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_l}\}$ for all ordered l -tuples $I = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l)$ with $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_l \leq n$. Define $\Lambda^l = \{0\}$ if $l < 0$ or $l > n$. The Grassmann algebra $\Lambda = \bigoplus \Lambda^l$ is a graded algebra with respect to the exterior multiplication.

For $\alpha = \sum \alpha^I e_I$ and $\beta = \sum \beta^I e_I$ in Λ the inner product is defined by

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \sum_I \alpha^I \beta^I,$$

where the summation is taken over all l -tuples $I = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l)$ and all integers $l = 0, 1, \dots, n$.

The Hodge star operator $*$: $\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$ is then defined by the rule that

$$*1 = e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge \dots \wedge e_n$$

and

$$\alpha \wedge (*\beta) = \beta \wedge (*\alpha) = \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle (*1)$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda$. It is straightforward to see that $*$: $\Lambda^l \rightarrow \Lambda^{n-l}$ and the norm of $\alpha \in \Lambda$ is then given by the formula

$$|\alpha|^2 = \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle = *(\alpha \wedge *\alpha) \in \Lambda^0.$$

For each $l = 0, 1, \dots, n$, a differential form α of degree l defined on Ω

$$\alpha = \sum \alpha^I(x) dx_I = \sum \alpha^{i_1 i_2 \dots i_l}(x) dx_{i_1} \wedge dx_{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_l}$$

can be identified with a function $\alpha : \Omega \rightarrow \Lambda^l(\mathbf{R}^n)$ with the same coefficients $\{\alpha^I\}$. It is appropriate to introduce the space

$$\mathcal{D}'(\Omega; \Lambda) = \bigoplus \mathcal{D}'(\Omega; \Lambda^l)$$

of all differential forms whose coefficients are Schwartz distributions on Ω . We can also define $L^p(\Omega; \Lambda)$, $W^{1,p}(\Omega; \Lambda)$ or other spaces by requiring all the coefficients belong to the suitable function spaces.

We shall make use of the exterior derivative

$$d : \mathcal{D}'(\Omega; \Lambda^l) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(\Omega; \Lambda^{l+1}), \quad l = 0, 1, \dots, n,$$

and its formal adjoint operator, commonly called the Hodge codifferential,

$$d^* : \mathcal{D}'(\Omega; \Lambda^{l+1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(\Omega; \Lambda^l),$$

defined by $d^* = (-1)^{n-l+1} * d *$ on $(l+1)$ -forms.

The following observation will be useful in proof of Theorem 1.3.

LEMMA 2.2. – Suppose $F \in K_1 = \mathbf{R}^+ \cdot SO(n)$. Let f_j be the j -th column (or row) vector of F for $j = 1, \dots, n$, each being considered in Λ^1 . Then

$$|f_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge f_{i_l}| = |f_1|^l, \quad 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_l \leq n, \quad l = 1, 2, \dots, n;$$

and

$$(-1)^{n-1} |f_1 \wedge \dots \wedge f_{n-1}|^{\frac{2-n}{n-1}} (f_1 \wedge \dots \wedge f_{n-1}) = *f_n.$$

Finally we need the following estimate on the weak solutions of nonhomogeneous p -harmonic equation in \mathbf{R}^n proved in Iwaniec [13] and [12]. We refer to the recent paper of Iwaniec and Sbordone [15] for more discussions.

THEOREM 2.3. – For each $p > 1$, there exists $\nu = \nu(n, p) \in (1, p)$ such that for every $s \geq \nu$ every weak solution u with $du \in L^s(\mathbf{R}^n; \Lambda)$ to the p -harmonic equation

$$d^* [|g + du|^{p-2} (g + du)] = d^* h \quad \text{in } \mathbf{R}^n \tag{2.3}$$

satisfies for a constant $C(n, p, s) > 0$

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |du|^s \leq C(n, p, s) \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \left(|g|^s + |h|^{\frac{s}{p-1}} \right). \tag{2.4}$$

Moreover, the constant $C(n, p, s)$ can be chosen independent of s for $\nu \leq s \leq n$.

Proof. – This is Theorem 1 in Iwaniec [13]. \square

3. $W^{1,p}$ -COMPACTNESS OF THE CONFORMAL SET K_1

Let $K_1 = \mathbf{R}^+ \cdot SO(n)$ be the conformal set defined before. In what follows, we assume $n \geq 3$. We first prove the $W^{1,n}$ -compactness of the set K_1 .

THEOREM 3.1. – Suppose $u_j \rightharpoonup u_0$ in $W^{1,n}(\Omega; \mathbf{R}^n)$ and satisfies

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} d_{K_1}^n(\nabla u_j(x)) \, dx = 0. \tag{3.1}$$

Then u_0 is a conformal map and moreover $u_j \rightarrow u_0$ in $W^{1,n}(\Omega; \mathbf{R}^n)$. Consequently, $K_1 = \mathbf{R}^+ \cdot SO(n)$ is $W^{1,n}$ -compact.

Proof. – Let $G(A)$ is defined by (2.1). By Lemma 2.1 and (3.1), since $\{\|\nabla u_j\|_{L^n(\Omega)}\}$ is bounded, we easily obtain

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} I(u_j) \equiv \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} G(\nabla u_j(x)) \, dx = 0. \tag{3.2}$$

Since $G(A)$ is polyconvex and satisfies $0 \leq G(A) \leq C|A|^n$, therefore by the theorem of Acerbi-Fusco [1], the functional

$$I(u) = \int_{\Omega} G(\nabla u(x)) \, dx$$

is weakly lower semicontinuous on $W^{1,n}(\Omega; \mathbf{R}^n)$ (see also Ball and Murat [4] and Morrey [18]) thus it follows that

$$0 = I(u_0) \leq \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} I(u_j) = 0$$

which implies u_0 is a conformal map and $u_j \rightarrow u_0$ in $W^{1,n}(\Omega; \mathbf{R}^n)$ by the result of Evans and Gariepy [10] since $G(A)$ is uniformly strictly quasiconvex. Finally by definition it follows that K_1 is $W^{1,n}$ -compact. \square

The $W^{1,n}$ -compactness of K_1 can also be proved by using *biting* Young measures as in Ball [2] using Young measures for $p > n$. However, both methods do not work anymore for $p < n$ mainly because in this case there is no counterpart of the polyconvex function $G(A)$ vanishing exactly on K_1 and with growth like $|A|^p$; see Yan [27].

Before considering the $W^{1,p}$ -compactness of set K_l for $1 < p < n$, we make some remark about the non- $W^{1,p}$ -compactness for a general set $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{M}^{n \times n}$ and $1 < p < \infty$.

Let $A \in \mathcal{M}^{n \times n}$, we consider the following system of equations or differential relations,

$$\left. \begin{aligned} u &\in W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbf{R}^n), \\ \nabla u(x) &\in \mathcal{K}, \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega, \\ u(x) &= Ax, \quad \text{for } x \in \partial\Omega. \end{aligned} \right\} \tag{3.3}$$

Generally, the solvability of (3.3) relies heavily on the structure of \mathcal{K} . It is expected that nontrivial solutions of (3.3) (if exist) should be highly oscillatory if set \mathcal{K} does not have certain *nice* structures.

When \mathcal{K} is the compatible two-well in two dimensions, Müller and Šverák [19] recently proved that for certain matrices $A \notin \mathcal{K}$, Problem (3.3) has Lipschitz solutions.

We now prove the following result. The argument is closely related to that in Ball and Murat [4].

THEOREM 3.2. – *Suppose u , \mathcal{K} and A solve system (3.3). Then $A \in \mathcal{Z}(d_{\mathcal{K}}^{\#})$, and \mathcal{K} is not $W^{1,p}$ -stable if $A \notin \mathcal{K}$.*

Proof. – First we remark that without loss of generality we can assume Ω to be the unit cell Q_0 centered at origin, since otherwise, using the Vitali covering and the affine boundary condition of $u(x)$, one can construct a solution $v \in W^{1,p}(Q_0; \mathbf{R}^n)$ to a system similar to (3.3) only with Ω being replaced by Q_0 .

For each $k = 1, 2, \dots$, we divide Q_0 into 2^{nk} sub-cells with side 2^{-k} , and denote these sub-cells by $\{Q_j^k\}$ with $1 \leq j \leq 2^{nk}$. Suppose

$$Q_j^k \equiv a_j^k + 2^{-k} Q_0, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, 2^{nk}. \tag{3.4}$$

We now define a map $u^k : Q_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ as follows,

$$u^k(x) = \begin{cases} A a_j^k + 2^{-k} u(2^k(x - a_j^k)), & \text{if } x \in Q_j^k \text{ for some } j, \\ A x, & \text{for other } x \in Q_0. \end{cases} \tag{3.5}$$

It is easily seen that $\nabla u^k(x) \in \mathcal{K}$ for a.e. $x \in Q_0$ and $u^k \in W^{1,p}(Q_0; \mathbf{R}^n)$. It is also easy to see for all functions $W(A)$ defined on $\mathcal{M}^{n \times n}$ that

$$\int_{Q_0} W(\nabla u^k(x)) \, dx = \int_{Q_0} W(\nabla u(x)) \, dx. \tag{3.6}$$

A calculation also shows that (see e.g., Ball and Murat [4, Corollary A. 2])

$$u^k \rightharpoonup u_0 \text{ in } W^{1,p}(Q_0; \mathbf{R}^n) \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty, \tag{3.7}$$

where $u_0(x) \equiv A x$. Since $d_{\mathcal{K}}(\nabla u^k(x)) = 0$, therefore, it follows from theorem on weak lower semicontinuity (see [1], [4], [8] and [18]) that $\nabla u_0(x) \equiv A \in \mathcal{Z}(d_{\mathcal{K}}^{\#})$. If $A \notin \mathcal{K}$, then $\nabla u_0(x) \notin \mathcal{K}$, thus by definition and (3.7), this shows that \mathcal{K} is not $W^{1,p}$ -stable. We thus complete the proof. \square

It is proved in [13] that there exists a $p_* = p(n, l) < n$ for each $n \geq 3$ and $l \geq 1$ such that every weakly l -quasiregular map belonging to $W^{1,p_*}(\Omega; \mathbf{R}^n)$ belongs actually to $W^{1,n}(\Omega; \mathbf{R}^n)$; thus is an l -quasiregular map as usually defined in [21] and [22]. The general conjecture is that $p_* = \frac{nl}{l+1}$; see also [14]. From this it follows that problem (3.8) can not have a solution when $p \geq p_* = p(n)$ and $l = 1$ unless $A \in K_1$.

The following results are based on the existence of weakly l -quasiregular maps that are not l -quasiregular when $n \geq 3$. Recall that $R(n)$ is the set

of all general orthogonal matrices in \mathbf{R}^n defined by (1.3). See also Iwaniec and Martin [14, section 12].

THEOREM 3.3. – *Let $1 \leq p < \frac{nl}{l+1}$ and $A \in R(n)$ with $\det A = -1$. Then the following problem has a solution:*

$$\left. \begin{aligned} u &\in W^{1,p}(B; \mathbf{R}^n), \\ \nabla u(x) &\in K_l, \text{ for a.e. } x \in B, \\ u(x) &= Ax, \text{ for } x \in \partial B, \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (3.8)$$

where B is the unit open ball in \mathbf{R}^n .

Proof. – For a given $l \geq 1$, define a radial map $\Phi_l : B \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ as follows:

$$\Phi_l(x) = \left(\frac{1}{|x|}\right)^{1+\frac{1}{l}} x \quad \text{for } x \in B. \quad (3.9)$$

When $l = 1$, Φ_1 is the inversion with respect to the unit sphere.

It is easily seen that $\Phi_l(x) = x$ for $x \in \partial B$ and that

$$\nabla \Phi_l(x) = \left(\frac{1}{|x|}\right)^{1+\frac{1}{l}} \left(I - \frac{l+1}{l} \frac{x}{|x|} \otimes \frac{x}{|x|}\right).$$

Thus

$$\|\nabla \Phi_l(x)\|^n = |x|^{-n(1+\frac{1}{l})} = -l \det \nabla \Phi_l(x) \quad \text{for } x \in B \setminus \{0\}. \quad (3.10)$$

For $A \in R(n)$ with $\det A = -1$, define $u(x) = \Phi_l(Ax)$ for $x \in B$. We claim that u solves (3.8) for any $1 \leq p < \frac{nl}{l+1}$.

Note that $\nabla u(x) = \nabla \Phi_l(Ax) A$ for $x \in B \setminus \{0\}$ and $|Ax| = |x|$ for any $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$. Therefore by (3.10), it follows that $\|\nabla u(x)\|^n = l \det \nabla u(x)$ for $x \in B \setminus \{0\}$ and $u(x) = Ax$ if $x \in \partial B$. What is left to check is $u \in W^{1,p}(B; \mathbf{R}^n)$ for any $1 \leq p < \frac{nl}{l+1}$. Our calculation shows

$$\|\nabla u(x)\|^p = |x|^{-p(1+\frac{1}{l})} \quad \text{for } x \in B \setminus \{0\}.$$

Thus

$$\int_B \|\nabla u(x)\|^p dx = \frac{l\omega_n}{ln - p(l+1)} < \infty,$$

where ω_n is the area of ∂B . Thus $u \in W^{1,p}(B; \mathbf{R}^n)$ for any $1 \leq p < \frac{nl}{l+1}$. We thus complete the proof. \square

Combining Theorems 3.2 and 3.3, we have proved the following corollary.

COROLLARY 3.4. – *For any $l \geq 1$ and $1 \leq p < \frac{nl}{l+1}$, the set K_l is not $W^{1,p}$ -stable. Moreover, $R(n) \subset \mathcal{Z}(d_{K_l}^\#)$.*

As mentioned in the introduction, using rank-one convex hulls, we can prove $R(n)^\# \equiv \mathcal{M}^{n \times n}$ for $n \geq 3$. Therefore the previous corollary actually implies that $d_{K_l}^\#$ must be identically zero. See Yan [27] for more.

4. THE COERCIVITY OF $\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} d_{K_1}^p(\nabla u(x)) dx$ ON $W^{1,p}(\mathbf{R}^n; \mathbf{R}^n)$

Let $K = K_1 = \mathbf{R}^+ \cdot SO(n)$ be the conformal set. This section is devoted to proving the following result.

THEOREM 4.1. – *For each $n \geq 3$, there exists $\alpha(n) < n$ such that for all $p \geq \alpha(n)$*

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\nabla\phi(x)|^p dx \leq C(n, p) \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} d_K^p(\nabla\phi(x)) dx \tag{4.1}$$

for all $\phi \in W^{1,p}(\mathbf{R}^n; \mathbf{R}^n)$. Moreover, $1 \leq C(n, p) \leq C(n) < \infty$ for $\alpha(n) \leq p \leq n$.

Proof. – We have only to prove (4.1) for $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n; \mathbf{R}^n)$. Let us assume

$$\nabla\phi(x) = A(x) - B(x), \quad A(x) \in K_1, |B(x)| = d_K(\nabla\phi(x)), \text{ a.e.} \tag{4.2}$$

We can also assume B has compact support and is bounded. Let ϕ_i be the i -th coordinate function of ϕ , then $d\phi_i$ is a 1-form. Let $\beta_i(x)$ be the i -th row vector of $B(x)$ considered as a 1-form. Since $\nabla\phi(x) + B(x) \in K_1$ thus by Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |(d\phi_{i_1} + \beta_{i_1}) \wedge \cdots \wedge (d\phi_{i_l} + \beta_{i_l})| \\ & = |d\phi_1 + \beta_1|^l, \quad 1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_l \leq n, \quad l = 1, 2, \dots, n; \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & |(d\phi_1 + \beta_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge (d\phi_{n-1} + \beta_{n-1})|^{\frac{2-n}{n-1}} (d\phi_1 + \beta_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge (d\phi_{n-1} + \beta_{n-1}) \\ & = (-1)^{n-1} * (d\phi_n + \beta_n). \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} u &= \phi_{n-1} d\phi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\phi_{n-2}, \quad du = (-1)^n d\phi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\phi_{n-1}, \\ g &= (-1)^n [(d\phi_1 + \beta_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge (d\phi_{n-1} + \beta_{n-1})] - (d\phi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\phi_{n-1}), \\ h &= - * \beta_n. \end{aligned}$$

Then it follows from (4.4) and $d^* * = *d$ on 1-forms that

$$d^* [|g + du|^{p-2} (g + du)] = d^* h \quad \text{in } \mathbf{R}^n, \text{ where } p = \frac{n}{n-1}. \tag{4.5}$$

Therefore by Theorem 2.3, there exists $1 < \nu < \frac{n}{n-1}$ such that for all $s \geq \nu$,

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |du|^s \leq C(n, s) \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (|g|^s + |h|^{s(n-1)}). \tag{4.6}$$

By (4.3) and definition of du and g , it follow that for each $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$,

$$|d\phi_j|^{(n-1)s} \leq |g + du|^s + |\beta_j|^{(n-1)s} \leq |g|^s + |du|^s + |\beta_j|^{(n-1)s}$$

and

$$|g|^s \leq \sum |d\phi_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge d\phi_{i_l}|^s |\beta_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \beta_{j_m}|^s,$$

where the summation is over all $l + m = (n - 1)$ and $l > 0, m > 0$ and $i_l \leq (n - 1)$. From this we have

$$|g|^s \leq \epsilon \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (|d\phi_j|^{s(n-1)}) + C(\epsilon)|B|^{s(n-1)},$$

where $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary. Combining these pointwise estimates, integrating over \mathbf{R}^n and using (4.6), we obtain for each $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$,

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |d\phi_j|^{s(n-1)} \leq \epsilon \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |d\phi_j|^{s(n-1)} + C(n, s, \epsilon) \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |B|^{s(n-1)},$$

for a different arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$, to be chosen later. Summing this inequality for j from 1 to n and choosing $\epsilon = 1/(2n)$, it follows that

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |d\phi_j|^{s(n-1)} \leq C(n, s) \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |B|^{s(n-1)}, \tag{4.7}$$

for all $s \geq \nu$. Now let $\alpha(n) = \nu(n - 1)$ then $\alpha(n) < n$. For this $\alpha(n)$ it is easy to see (4.1) follows from (4.7). The proof is thus completed. \square

THEOREM 4.2. – *Let $\alpha(n) < n$ be any constant determined in the previous theorem. Then it follows that $\alpha(n) \geq n/2$.*

Proof. – We suppose $\alpha(n) < n/2$. Let $\Phi_1 : B_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ be the inversion with respect to the unit sphere as defined by (3.9). Let $A \in R(n)$ with $\det A = -1$. Define $u(x) = \Phi_1(Ax)$ for $x \in B_1$. Then

$$\nabla u(x) = \nabla \Phi_1(Ax) A \in K_1 = \mathbf{R}^+ \cdot SO(n), \quad \text{a.e. } x \in B_1.$$

Now let $\rho \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ with $\rho(x) = 1$ for $x \in B_{1/2}$ and $\rho(x) = 0$ for $x \notin B_1$, and

$$0 \leq \rho(x) \leq 1, \quad |\nabla \rho(x)| \leq 2.$$

Let $\phi(x) = \rho(x)(u(x) - c)$, where c is a constant to be chosen later. Then $\phi \in W^{1,p}(\mathbf{R}^n; \mathbf{R}^n)$ for all $1 \leq p < n/2$.

For $0 < \epsilon < \frac{n}{2} - \alpha(n)$, applying (4.1) to $\phi \in W^{1, \frac{n}{2} - \epsilon}(\mathbf{R}^n; \mathbf{R}^n)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_{1/2}} |\nabla u(x)|^{\frac{n}{2} - \epsilon} dx \\ & \leq C(n) \int_{B_1} d_K^{\frac{n}{2} - \epsilon} (\nabla \rho(x) \otimes (u(x) - c) + \rho(x) \nabla u(x)) dx, \end{aligned}$$

from which and using $d_K(A + B) \leq d_K(A) + |B|$ it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{1/2}} |\nabla u|^{\frac{n}{2} - \epsilon} & \leq C(n) \int_{B_1} |u - c|^{\frac{n}{2} - \epsilon} \\ & \leq C(n) \left(\int_{B_1} |\nabla u|^{\frac{n^2 - 2n\epsilon}{3n - 2\epsilon}} \right)^{\frac{3n - 2\epsilon}{2n}}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

where we have chosen $c = \frac{1}{|B_1|} \int_{B_1} u$ and applied the Sobolev inequality. In (4.8), letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ we would have

$$\int_{B_{1/2}} |\nabla u(x)|^{n/2} dx \leq C(n) \left(\int_{B_1} |\nabla u(x)|^{n/3} dx \right)^{3/2} < \infty,$$

which is a contradiction, since $u \notin W^{1, n/2}(B_{1/2}; \mathbf{R}^n)$ as we showed before. We have thus completed the proof. \square

5. A CONCLUDING REMARK

As we mentioned before, it is proved in Iwaniec [13, Theorem 3] that there exists a minimal $p_* = p(n) \in [n/2, n)$ for each $n \geq 3$ such that if a map $u(x)$ belonging to $W^{1, p_*}(\Omega; \mathbf{R}^n)$ satisfies $\nabla u(x) \in K_1 = \mathbf{R}^+ \cdot SO(n)$ a.e. then it belongs actually to $W^{1, n}(\Omega; \mathbf{R}^n)$. Note that $p_* = n/2$ when n is even, by the results in [14].

For a weakly convergent unperturbed sequence $\{u_j\}$ in $W^{1, p_*}(\Omega; \mathbf{R}^n)$ with $\nabla u_j(x) \in K_1$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$, the strong convergence follows easily from Theorem 3.1 and this higher integrability result.

Now, if we only assume the distance from $\nabla u_j(x)$ to the conformal set is small and approaches zero as $j \rightarrow \infty$, then we do not usually have the higher integrability for $u_j \in W^{1,p^*}(\Omega; \mathbf{R}^n)$. In the even dimensions, there are some linear structures (see [14] and [27]) among the subdeterminants of half dimension size, that may compensate some loss of the stability due to the weak convergence of $\{u_j\}$. But I have not come up with the definite results in this aspect even in even dimensions. Therefore, it would be interesting to consider the following problem.

PROBLEM 5.1. – Determine whether $K_1 = \mathbf{R}^+ \cdot SO(n)$ is $W^{1,p}$ -compact for some $p < n$. If it is, whether the minimal value of such p is equal to p_* given above.

Remark. – Most recently, in Müller, Šverák and Yan [20], it is proved that for even dimensions $n \geq 4$ the minimal $\alpha(n)$ in Problem 5.1 is $n/2$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to thank Professor John Ball and the referee for helpful suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. ACERBI and N. FUSCO, Semicontinuity problems in the calculus of variations, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, Vol. **86**, 1984, pp. 125-145.
- [2] J. M. BALL, A version of the fundamental theorem for Young measures, in “Partial Differential Equations and Continuum Models of Phase Transitions,” (M. Rascle, D. Serre and M. Slemrod eds.), *Lecture Notes in Physics*, Vol. **344**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1988.
- [3] J. M. BALL, Sets of gradients with no rank-one connections, *J. math. pures et appl.*, Vol. **69**, 1990, pp. 241-259.
- [4] J. M. BALL and F. MURAT, $W^{1,p}$ -Quasiconvexity and variational problems for multiple integrals, *J. Funct. Anal.*, Vol. **58**, 1984, pp. 225-253.
- [5] J. M. BALL and F. MURAT, Remarks on Chacon’s biting lemma, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, Vol. **3**, 1989, pp. 655-663.
- [6] J. M. BALL and K. ZHANG, Lower semicontinuity of multiple integrals and the Biting Lemma, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh*, Vol. **114A**, 1990, pp. 367-379.
- [7] K. BHATTACHARYA, N. FIROOZY, R. JAMES and R. KOHN, Restrictions on microstructure, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edin.*, A, Vol. **124**, 1994, pp. 843-878.
- [8] B. DACOROGNA, *Direct Methods in the Calculus of Variations*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1989.
- [9] L. C. EVANS, Weak Convergence Methods for Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations, *CBMS*, Vol. **74**, 1992.
- [10] L. C. EVANS and R. F. GARIEPY, Some remarks on quasiconvexity and strong convergence, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburg, Ser. A*, Vol. **106**, 1987, pp. 53-61.

- [11] M. GIAQUINTA, *Multiple Integrals in the Calculus of Variations and Nonlinear Elliptic Systems*, Princeton University Press, 1983.
- [12] T. IWANIEC, On L^p -integrability in PDE's and quasiregular mappings for large exponents, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn., Ser. A.I.*, Vol. 7, 1982, pp. 301-322.
- [13] T. IWANIEC, p -Harmonic tensors and quasiregular mappings, *Ann. Math.*, Vol. 136, 1992, pp. 589-624.
- [14] T. IWANIEC and G. MARTIN, Quasiregular mappings in even dimensions, *Acta Math.*, Vol. 170, 1993, pp. 29-81.
- [15] T. IWANIEC and C. ŠBORDONE, Weak minima of variational integrals, *J. rein angew. Math.*, Vol. 454, 1994, pp. 143-161.
- [16] D. KINDERLEHRER, *Remarks about equilibrium configurations of crystals*, In *Material Instabilities in Continuum Mechanics*, (J. M. Ball ed.), Oxford University Press, 1988.
- [17] D. KINDERLEHRER and P. PEDREGAL, Gradient Young measures generated by sequences in Sobolev spaces, *J. Geom. Anal.*, Vol. 4(1), 1994, pp. 59-90.
- [18] C. B. JR. MORREY, *Multiple Integrals in the Calculus of Variations*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1966.
- [19] S. MÜLLER and V. ŠVERÁK, *Attainment results for the two well problem by convex integration*, 1993, preprint.
- [20] S. MÜLLER, V. ŠVERÁK and B. YAN, *Sharp stability results for almost conformal maps in even dimensions*, 1995, preprint.
- [21] Yu. G. RESHETNYAK, Space Mappings with Bounded Distortion, *Transl. Math. Mono., AMS*, Vol. 73, 1989.
- [22] S. RICKMAN, *Quasiregular Mappings*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1993.
- [23] V. ŠVERÁK, *On regularity for the Monge-Ampère equation without convexity assumptions*, Preprint, 1992.
- [24] V. ŠVERÁK, On Tartar's conjecture, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, Analyse non linéaire*, Vol. 10(4), 1993, pp. 405-412.
- [25] L. TARTAR, The compensated compactness method applied to systems of conservation laws, in *Systems of Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations*, (J. M. Ball ed.), NATO ASI Series, Vol. CIII, D. Reidel, 1983.
- [26] B. YAN, *On quasiconvex hulls of sets of matrices and strong convergence of certain minimizing sequences*, Preprint, 1993.
- [27] B. YAN, *On rank-one convex and polyconvex conformal energy functions with slow growth*, 1994, preprint.
- [28] K. ZHANG, Biting theorems for Jacobians and their applications, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, Analyse non linéaire*, Vol. 7, 1990, pp. 345-365.
- [29] K. ZHANG, A construction of quasiconvex functions with linear growth at infinity, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa*, Vol. 19(3), 1992, pp. 313-326.
- [30] K. ZHANG, *Monge-Ampère equations and multiwell problems*, 1993, preprint.

(Manuscript received September 27, 1994;
revised February 8, 1995.)