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- Matrices like $A(t)$ called $\mathbb{W}$-matrices [van Kampen]
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- Each subunit has two states: open or closed
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- Subunits open, close randomly with rates $\alpha, \beta$
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\text { State diagram: } \quad x_{0} \underset{\beta}{\stackrel{2 \alpha}{\rightleftarrows}} x_{1} \underset{2 \beta}{\stackrel{\alpha}{\rightleftarrows}} x_{2}
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Proof.

- Let $\lambda_{0}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}$ be ordering of eigenvalues of $A$ such that

$$
0=\lambda_{0} \geq \Re\left(\lambda_{1}\right) \geq \cdots \geq \Re\left(\lambda_{n}\right)
$$

- $\Re\left(\lambda_{i}\right)<0$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$ since $A$ is not decomposable, splitting
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- Note: converse of theorem is also true
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- Ion channel kinetics depend on external factors - e.g., membrane voltage and ligand concentration
- Open and close rates $\alpha, \beta$ are functions of time!
- How will solutions behave now?
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$$
\frac{d \mathbf{p}}{d t}=A \mathbf{p}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
-2 \alpha & \beta & 0 \\
2 \alpha & -\alpha-\beta & 2 \beta \\
0 & \alpha & -2 \beta
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$\alpha=\Theta(\sin (\pi t)), \beta=\Theta(\cos (\pi t))$


$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha=\sin \left(2 \tan ^{-1}(100 t)\right), \\
\beta=\cos \left(\tan ^{-1}(100 t)\right)
\end{gathered}
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$$
\alpha(t)=\beta(t)=(t+1)^{-1}
$$

$$
\alpha(t)=\beta(t)=\exp (-2 t)
$$



$$
A(t)=\alpha(t)\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
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\end{array}\right]
$$
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- In both cases, $A$ approaches a periodic matrix
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## Theorem

Suppose $A$ is a right-continuous, $\mathbb{W}$-matrix-valued function, and that there exists a continuous, periodic, $\mathbb{W}$-matrix-valued function $B$, whose $\omega$-limit set contains at least one matrix that is neither decomposable nor splitting, such that

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\|A(t)-B(t)\|=0
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Then the probability distribution solutions of the master equation are GAS.

- Proof: For large $t, \mathcal{L}^{1}$-norm of $\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q}$ must decrease by some uniform, nonzero amount during each period of $B$.
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## Theorem

If $A$ is differentiable, $\mathbb{W}$-matrix-valued function such that both $A$ and its derivative are bounded, and the $\omega$-limit set of $A$ contains no matrix which is either decomposable or splitting, then probability distribution solutions of the master equation are GAS.
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## Conjecture

If $\Re\left(\lambda_{1}\right)$ is not integrable, then all probability distribution solutions of the master equation are GAS.
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\frac{1}{t+1} & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right],
\end{gathered}
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## Converse of conjecture is false

$$
A(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
A_{1}, & t \in[0,1), \\
A_{2}, & t \in[1,2) .
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-1 & 1 & 0 \\
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0 & 0 & 0
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$A(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}A_{1}, & t \in[0,1), \\ A_{2}, & t \in[1,2) .\end{array} \quad A_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}-1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right], \quad A_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1\end{array}\right]\right.$

- $\lambda_{1}(t)=0$ for all $t \geq 0$ but solutions are GAS



## New conjecture?

## New conjecture?

Theorem
If the derivative of $A$ is bounded and the $\omega$-limit set of $A$ contains no matrix which is either decomposable or splitting, then probability distribution solutions of the master equation are GAS.

## New conjecture?

Theorem
If the derivative of $A$ is bounded and the $\omega$-limit set of $A$ contains no matrix which is either decomposable or splitting, then probability distribution solutions of the master equation are GAS.

## Conjecture

If the derivative of $A$ is bounded and the $\omega$-limit set of contains at least one matrix which is neither decomposable nor splitting, then the probability distribution solutions of the master equation are GAS.
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