# Modeling the role of AMPA receptor trafficking in the expression of long-term potentiation/depression

Berton Earnshaw

Department of Mathematics Michigan State University

October 8, 2009

AMPAR trafficking and LTP/LTD

## The amazing brain



- 10<sup>11</sup> neurons
- 10 10,000 synapses/neuron
- regulates body, behavior
- can learn, remember
- conscious experience

#### Introduction

## Neurons communicate at synapses



3

-

## Communication at a synapse



Kandel, Schwartz & Jessel (2000)

October 8, 2009 4 / 32

э

Introduction

## Synapses can "learn" – synaptic plasticity



Collingridge et al., Nat Rev Neurosci (2004)

Introduction

## Synapses can "learn" – synaptic plasticity



Collingridge et al., Nat Rev Neurosci (2004)

- LTP = long-term potentiation (strengthens synapse)
- LTD = long-term depression (weakens synapse)



< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >



• Two major hypotheses:

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回



- Two major hypotheses:
  - Presynaptic: change in the number of vesicles/probability of release

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回



- Two major hypotheses:
  - Presynaptic: change in the number of vesicles/probability of release
  - **2** Postsynaptic: change in the number/conductance of receptors

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回

## Presynaptic vs. postsynaptic mechanisms



∃⇒

▲ 同 ▶ → 三 ▶

## Presynaptic vs. postsynaptic debate



...long-term plasticity...is expressed overwhelmingly via presynaptic changes in reliability of transmitter release.

-Enoki et al., Neuron (2009)

Therefore, LTP is the recruitment of new [receptors] to synapses...

-Kerchner & Nicoll, Nat. Rev. Neurosci. (2008)

## Can modeling help?

・ロト ・ 日 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Can modeling help?

That depends on who you talk to!

3

(日) (同) (三) (三)

Can modeling help?

That depends on who you talk to!

Question to answer...

Can LTP/LTD data be explained by postsynaptic receptor trafficking alone?

Earnshaw (MSU)

AMPAR trafficking and LTP/LTD

October 8, 2009 9 / 32

## Outline for rest of talk

- Introduce AMPA receptors
- Describe AMPA receptor trafficking
- Propose model of AMPA receptor trafficking
- Present results from model
- Conclusions & future directions

## AMPA receptors



Huganir & Song, Nat. Rev. Neurosci. (2002)

· • @ • • = • • = •

- Responsible for excitable synaptic transmission in CNS
- Formed from four subunits: GluR1 to GluR4
- Dominant heteromers: GluR1/2 and GluR2/3

Earnshaw (MSU)

## Excitable synapses located in dendritic spines





Matus, Science (2000)

Earnshaw (MSU)

AMPAR trafficking and LTP/LTD

October 8, 2009 12 / 32

< □ > < □

## AMPA receptor trafficking at a spine



3

## Model of AMPA receptor trafficking at a single spine



- AMPA receptor
- scaffolding protein

Cottrell et al., J. Neurophysiol. (2000) Sorra & Harris, Hippocampus (2000) Ehlers, Neuron (2000) Passafaro et al., Nat. Neurosci. (2001) Groc et al., Nat. Neurosci. (2004)

#### Time constants

- Exo/endocytosis: 10-30 min
- Diffusion: 10 s
  - Surface area of PSD: 0.1  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup>
  - Surface area of spine head: 1  $\mu m^2$
  - Diffusion coefficient: 0.01-0.1  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup>/s
- Binding/unbinding to scaffolding: unknown
- Production/degradation: unknown

### Other constants

- Intracellular AMPAR number: 100-500
- AMPAR concentration in dendrite:  $10-100/\mu m^2$
- Scaffolding concentration: unknown

A (1) > A (1) > A

## State diagram



- AMPA receptor
- scaffolding protein



#### Variables

- P = free AMPAR concentration in PSD
- $\mathsf{Q}=\mathsf{bound}\ \mathsf{AMPAR}\ \mathsf{concentration}\ \mathsf{in}\ \mathsf{PSD}$
- $\mathsf{R}=\mathsf{AMPAR}$  concentration in spine head

### Constants

- $\mathsf{C} = \mathsf{intracellular} \; \mathsf{AMPAR} \; \mathsf{number}$
- U = AMPAR concentration in dendrite
- Z = scaffolding protein concentration

## Model equations



AMPAR trafficking and LTP/LTD

### Parameter values

#### Known parameter values:

- Rate of exocytosis, endocytosis:  $\sigma^{\rm EXO}, k=10^{-3}/{\rm s}$
- Surface area of PSD, spine head:  $a = 0.1 \ \mu m^2$ ,  $A = 1 \ \mu m^2$
- Hopping rate between PSD and spine head: h = 0.1/s
- Hopping rate between spine head and dendrite:  $\mu = 0.005/s$ 
  - Ashby et al., J. Neurosci. (2006)
- Intracellular AMPA receptor number: C = 100
- AMPA receptor concentration in dendrite:  $U = 20/\mu m^2$

#### Unknown parameter values:

- Binding/unbinding rates  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ 
  - Constitutive recycling  $\sim 10-30~{
    m min} \Rightarrow lpha = eta = 10^{-3}/{
    m s}$
- Scaffolding protein concentration Z
  - Approx. half AMPARs in PSD are bound  $\Rightarrow$  Z = 200/ $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup>

## Steady-state





Earnshaw (MSU)

過す イヨト イヨト

## Block exo/endocytosis





AMPAR trafficking and LTP/LTD

## LTP simulation



20 / 32

## LTP simulation with increase in scaffolding





## LTD simulation



- Switch from AMPA-GRIP to AMPA-PICK receptor-protein complexes
- Rapid unbinding from PSD and trafficking to ESM followed by endocytosis.



## LTD simulation with decrease in scaffolding



- Switch from AMPA-GRIP to AMPA-PICK receptor-protein complexes
- Rapid unbinding from PSD and trafficking to ESM followed by endocytosis.
- Unbound scaffolding proteins are degraded.



## Conclusions

## Can LTP/LTD data be explained by postsynaptic receptor trafficking alone?

3

-

A (10) F (10)

## Conclusions

## Can LTP/LTD data be explained by postsynaptic receptor trafficking alone?

LTP/LTD data can be reproduced within our model of AMPA receptor trafficking

## Conclusions

## Can LTP/LTD data be explained by postsynaptic receptor trafficking alone?

- LTP/LTD data can be reproduced within our model of AMPA receptor trafficking
  - LTP requires increase in scaffolding (Shi et al., Cell 2001)
  - LTD requires decrease in scaffolding (Colledge et al., Neuron 2003)

## Future directions – AMPAR trafficking along dendrite



Bressloff, BAE, Ward, SIAM J Appl Math (2008) BAE & Bressloff, J Comput Neurosci (2008)

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

AMPAR trafficking and LTP/LTD

October 8, 2009 25 / 32

## Future directions – AMPAR trafficking along dendrite



AMPAR trafficking and LTP/LTD

## Future directions – AMPAR trafficking along dendrite



Earnshaw (MSU)

AMPAR trafficking and LTP/LTD

October 8, 2009 27 / 32

$$\frac{dp}{dt} = -\alpha(Z - q)p + \beta q - \mu p + \sigma$$
$$\frac{dq}{dt} = \alpha(Z - q)p - \beta q$$



	< Bressloff &	BAE Biophys	J (2009)
--	---------------	-------------	----------

AMPAR trafficking and LTP/LTD

$$\frac{dp}{dt} = -\alpha(Z - q)p + \beta q - \mu p + \sigma$$
$$\frac{dq}{dt} = \alpha(Z - q)p - \beta q$$

 $P_{n,m}(t) = \operatorname{Prob}\{n \text{ unbound}, m \text{ bound at time } t\}$ 



$$\frac{dp}{dt} = -\alpha(Z - q)p + \beta q - \mu p + \sigma$$
$$\frac{dq}{dt} = \alpha(Z - q)p - \beta q$$

$$P_{n,m}(t) = \operatorname{Prob}\{n \text{ unbound}, m \text{ bound at time } t\}$$

$$\frac{dP_{n,m}}{dt} = \sigma P_{n-1,m} + \mu(n+1)P_{n+1,m}$$

$$+ \alpha(n+1)[Z - (m-1)]P_{n+1,m-1}$$

$$+ \beta(m+1)P_{n-1,m+1}$$

$$- [\sigma + \mu n + \alpha n(Z - m) + \beta m]P_{n,m}$$



t

## Future directions – stochastic models

$$\frac{dp}{dt} = -\alpha(Z - q)p + \beta q - \mu p + \sigma$$
$$\frac{dq}{dt} = \alpha(Z - q)p - \beta q$$

$$P_{n,m}(t) = \operatorname{Prob}\{n \text{ unbound}, m \text{ bound at time}$$

$$\frac{dP_{n,m}}{dt} = \sigma P_{n-1,m} + \mu(n+1)P_{n+1,m}$$

$$+ \alpha(n+1)[Z - (m-1)]P_{n+1,m-1}$$

$$+ \beta(m+1)P_{n-1,m+1}$$

$$- [\sigma + \mu n + \alpha n(Z - m) + \beta m]P_{n,m}$$

stochastic gate : 
$$0 < \mu_{open} \stackrel{\gamma_{-}}{\underset{\gamma_{+}}{\longrightarrow}} \mu_{closed} = 0$$
  
 $\sigma(t) = C\mu(t)$  (C bath conc.)



October 8, 2009 28 / 32



AMPAR trafficking and LTP/LTD

October 8, 2009 29 / 32

3

(日) (同) (三) (三)

## Future directions – trafficking of other proteins



Rose et al., Neuron (2009)

## Future directions – trafficking of other proteins



120

x [µm]

150 0 30 60 90 120 150

(日) (同) (三) (三)

0

0 30 60 90

3

x [µm]

## Thank you!

Thanks to

- Paul Bressloff (Oxford)
- Michael Ward (UBC) •
- National Science Foundation •

