



Figure 1: A parabolic cap

Example 1. Let $\mathbf{G} = 3yz\mathbf{i} + x\mathbf{j} + xy\mathbf{k}$. Let S (see Fig. 1) be the level surface of $g(x, y, z) = x^2 + y^2 + z = 9$, $z \geq 0$, oriented so that the vector normal has a positive \mathbf{k} component. Evaluate the surface integral below using 3 different methods as we did in [Example 16.8.1](#).

$$(1) \quad \iint_S \nabla \times \mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{n} \, dS$$

Remark. Notice that the vector field \mathbf{G} is different than the vector field $\mathbf{F} = 2y\mathbf{i} - 3x\mathbf{j} - z^2\mathbf{k}$ given in [Example 16.8.1](#). In particular,

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla \times \mathbf{G} &= x\mathbf{i} + 2y\mathbf{j} + (1 - 3z)\mathbf{k} \\ &\neq -5\mathbf{k} = \nabla \times \mathbf{F} \end{aligned}$$

(a) **Direct Computation**

As we saw in [Example 16.8.1](#), the vector equation for S is given by

$$\mathbf{r}(x, y) = x \mathbf{i} + y \mathbf{j} + (9 - x^2 - y^2) \mathbf{k}, \quad (x, y) \in R$$

where $R = \{(x, y) \mid x^2 + y^2 \leq 9\}$. Now

$$\mathbf{r}_x = \mathbf{i} - 2x \mathbf{k} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{r}_y = \mathbf{j} - 2y \mathbf{k}$$

so that

$$(3) \quad \mathbf{r}_x \times \mathbf{r}_y = 2x \mathbf{i} + 2y \mathbf{j} + \mathbf{k}$$

Thus

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r}(x, y)) = x \mathbf{i} + 2y \mathbf{j} + (1 - 3(9 - x^2 - y^2)) \mathbf{k}$$

Now combine (2) and (3) to obtain

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r}(x, y)) \cdot (\mathbf{r}_x \times \mathbf{r}_y) = 5x^2 + 7y^2 - 26$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_S \nabla \times \mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{n} \, dS &= \iint_R \nabla \times \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r}(x, y)) \cdot (\mathbf{r}_x \times \mathbf{r}_y) \, dA \\ &= \iint_R (5x^2 + 7y^2 - 26) \, dA \\ &= 5 \iint_R x^2 \, dA + 7 \iint_R y^2 \, dA - 26 \iint_R dA \\ &= 5 \times \frac{81\pi}{4} + 7 \times \frac{81\pi}{4} - 26 \times 9\pi \\ &= 9\pi \end{aligned}$$

(b) Using Stokes' Theorem

Notice that the boundary of S lives in the xy -plane. We first compute the (counterclockwise) **circulation** around the closed curve ∂S which has the vector equation

$$\partial S: \quad \mathbf{r}(t) = 3 \cos t \mathbf{i} + 3 \sin t \mathbf{j} + 0 \mathbf{k}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 2\pi$$

Thus

$$d\mathbf{r}(t) = -3 \sin t \, dt \mathbf{i} + 3 \cos t \, dt \mathbf{j}$$

$$\mathbf{F} = 3yz \mathbf{i} + x \mathbf{j} + xy \mathbf{k}$$

$$\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r}(t)) = 0 \mathbf{i} + 3 \cos t \mathbf{j} + 9 \sin t \cos t \mathbf{k}$$

so that

$$\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r}(t)) \cdot d\mathbf{r} = 9 \cos^2 t \, dt$$

So by Stokes' Theorem

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_S \nabla \times \mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{n} \, dS &= \oint_C \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r} \\ &= 9 \int_0^{2\pi} \cos^2 t \, dt \\ &= 9\pi \end{aligned}$$

as we saw in part (a).

Notice that the circulation integral calculation above was a bit easier than the surface integral calculation in part (a).

(c) Exploiting Green's Theorem

As we observed above, ∂S happens to lie in the xy -plane. Now let R be as indicated in part (a). Then by Stokes' Theorem and (the tangential form of) Green's Theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_S \nabla \times \mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{n} \, dS &= \oint_{\partial S} \mathbf{G} \cdot d\mathbf{r} \\ &= \iint_R \nabla \times \mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{k} \, dA \\ &= \iint_R (1 - 3z) \, dA \\ &= \iint_R (1 - 0) \, dA, \quad (\text{since } z = 0 \text{ in the } xy\text{-plane}) \\ &= 9\pi \end{aligned}$$