Math 320

Arithmetic-Geometric Inequality

Summer 2015

In its most basic form, the Arithmetic-Geometric Mean Inequality (GA) states the following. Let $a \ge b > 0$. Then

(1)
$$\sqrt{ab} \le \frac{a+b}{2}$$

with equality if and only if a = b.

We will investigate generalizations of the **GA** inequality along with several interesting proofs. We begin with a geometric proof.

Proof. Let $a \ge b > 0$ and let $s = \sqrt{a}, t = \sqrt{b}$. We construct the right triangle $\triangle ABC$ and the square $\Box BCFE$ as shown in the sketch below.



Notice that $\triangle ABC \sim \triangle BDC \cong \triangle CGF$ and that the square contains four triangles that are congruent to $\triangle BDC$. Now by the similarity of the first two triangles, we have

(2)
$$\frac{s}{y} = \frac{t}{x}$$
 or $s = \frac{ty}{x}$

Now since $y \ge x$, the sum of the areas of the four triangles congruent to $\triangle BDC$ is not greater than the area of the square $\Box BCFE$. That is,

$$(3) \qquad \qquad 2xy \le t^2 = x^2 + y^2$$

Math 320

Thus

$$\sqrt{ab} = st = \frac{ty}{x} t = xy \frac{t^2}{x^2} = xy \left(1 + \frac{y^2}{x^2}\right)$$
$$\leq \frac{t^2}{2} \left(1 + \frac{y^2}{x^2}\right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(t^2 + \frac{t^2y^2}{x^2}\right)$$
$$= \frac{t^2 + s^2}{2}$$
$$= \frac{a+b}{2}$$

Arithmetic-Geometric Inequality

 ${\rm Summer}~2015$

Here is an easier approach. Once again, let $a \ge b > 0$ and consider a circle of diameter a + b as shown in the sketch below. *Note:* a = AB, b = BC, etc.



Clearly,

$$h \leq \text{radius} = \frac{a+b}{2}$$

So it's enough to prove that $h = \sqrt{ab}$. Once again, from elementary geometry, we have

 $\triangle ABD \sim \triangle DBC$

So by similarity

$$\frac{h}{a} = \frac{b}{h}$$

and the result is immediate.

rjh

1

Math 320

(5)

Arithmetic-Geometric Inequality

Summer 2015

First generalization. For $j \in \mathbb{N}$ let $a_j > 0$. Prove that

 $(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n)^{1/n} \le \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i$ (4)

Proof. Since (4) is trivial for n = 1, we will start with n = 2. Then we'll use induction to prove the case $n = 2^k$. Finally, we'll prove the general result.

Case 1. Observe that for A, B > 0 we have

 $\implies A^2 - 2AB + B^2 \ge 0$

Rearranging yields

$$AB \le \frac{1}{2}(A^2 + B^2)$$

 $(A-B)^2 > 0$

Letting $A = \sqrt{a}$ and $B = \sqrt{b}$ we obtain (4) for the special case $n = 2^1$. That is,

$$\sqrt{ab} \le \frac{a+b}{2}$$

Of course, we could have also appealed to the geometric proof above to obtain this result. Notice that because of (5), we have equality in (4) if and only if a = b.

Case 2. Now suppose $n = 2^k$ in (4). We proceed by induction on k. The case k = 1 was proven above. Now suppose that (4) holds with $n = 2^k$. We need to show that

(6)
$$(a_1a_2\cdots a_{2^{k+1}})^{1/2^{k+1}} \le \frac{1}{2^{k+1}}\sum_{j=1}^{2^{k+1}}a_j$$

Notice that there are twice as many factors on the left-hand side of (6) as there are on the left-hand side of (4).



Math 320

Summer 2015

Case 3. Now for $2^{k-1} < n < 2^k$, let $m = 2^k - n$. Then

$$p = (a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n)^{1/n} = \left\{ (a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n)^{1/n} \right\}^{\frac{2^k}{2^k}}$$
$$= \left\{ (a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n)^{2^k/n} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2^k}}$$
$$= \left((a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n) \underbrace{p \cdot p \cdots p}_{m \text{ factors}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2^k}}$$
$$= \left(\underbrace{a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n \cdot p \cdot p \cdots p}_{2^k \text{ factors}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2^k}}$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{2^k} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_j + m \cdot p \right)$$

by Case 2. Rearranging we obtain

$$p\left(1-\frac{m}{2^k}\right) \le \frac{1}{2^k} \sum_{j=1}^n a_j$$
$$\implies (a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n)^{1/n} \le \frac{2^k}{2^k - m} \frac{1}{2^k} \sum_{j=1}^n a_j$$
$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n a_j$$

3

rjh

Allowing Real Exponents - Now suppose that $p_j > 0$ for j = 1, 2, ... n and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_j = 1$. Show that

(7) $a_1^{p_1} a_2^{p_2} \cdots a_n^{p_n} \le \sum_{j=1}^n p_j a_j$

Remark: Observe that (4) is a special case of (7) with $p_j = 1/n$, j = 1, 2, ... n.

First we prove another generalization of (3). Suppose that p, q > 1 are **conjugate** exponents. That is, suppose that

(8)

 $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$

Now let A, B > 0. Prove that

(9) $AB \le \frac{A^p}{p} + \frac{B^q}{q}$

This is Young's Inequality.

Proof.

From the sketch we observe that the area of both *hatched* regions is not less than the area of a rectangle with side lengths A and B. Also, because of (8) we have

$$1+\frac{1}{p-1}=\frac{p}{p-1}=q$$

 $y = x^{p-1}$

5

Thus

$$AB \leq \underbrace{\int_{0}^{A} x^{p-1} dx}_{\text{cross-hatched area}} + \underbrace{\left(B \times B^{\frac{1}{p-1}} - \int_{0}^{B^{\frac{1}{p-1}}} x^{p-1} dx\right)}_{\text{left-hatched area}}$$
$$= \frac{A^{p}}{p} + B^{q} - \frac{B^{q}}{p}$$
$$= \frac{A^{p}}{p} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) B^{q}$$

which is (9).

Remark. It is also clear from the sketch that we have equality precisely when $A=B^{\frac{1}{p-1}}.$ That is,

$$AB = \frac{A^p}{p} + \frac{B^q}{q} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad A^p = B^q$$

rjh

Math 320	Arithmetic-Geometric Inequality	Summer 2015

Proof. (of main result) First, suppose that a, b > 0 and $\alpha, \beta > 0$ with $\alpha + \beta = 1$. Now write $p = 1/\alpha$ and $q = 1/\beta$ and let $A = a^{\alpha} = a^{1/p}$ and $B = b^{\beta} = b^{1/q}$ in (9) to obtain

 $a^{\alpha}b^{\beta} < \alpha a + \beta b$

which is (7) for n = 2.

(10)

Next, suppose that a, b, c > 0 and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma > 0$ with $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 1$. Then

$$a^{\alpha}b^{\beta}c^{\gamma} = \left(a^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta}}b^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta}}\right)^{\alpha+\beta}c^{\gamma}$$

$$\leq (\alpha+\beta)\left(a^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta}}b^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta}}\right) + \gamma c$$

$$\leq (\alpha+\beta)\left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta}a + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta}b\right) + \gamma c$$

$$= \alpha a + \beta b + \gamma c$$

Here we have applied the inequality (10) twice.

The path to (7) is now clear. We proceed by induction on n.

 $P(1): a_1^1 = 1 \cdot a_1$ is obvious.

P(n): Now suppose that (7) is true (the induction hypothesis) and that $p_j > 0$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots n + 1$ with $\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} p_j = 1$. Also, let $\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j$. Then $\alpha + p_{n+1} = 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} a_1^{p_1} a_2^{p_2} \cdots a_{n+1}^{p_{n+1}} &= \left(a_1^{p_1/\alpha} a_2^{p_2/\alpha} \cdots a_n^{p_n/\alpha}\right)^{\alpha} a_{n+1}^{p_{n+1}} \\ &\leq \alpha \left(a_1^{p_1/\alpha} a_2^{p_2/\alpha} \cdots a_n^{p_n/\alpha}\right) + p_{n+1} a_{n+1} \end{aligned}$$

by (10). Applying the induction hypothesis to the parenthetical quantity yields

$$\leq \alpha \left\{ (p_1/\alpha)a_1 + (p_2/\alpha)a_2 + \dots + (p_n/\alpha)a_n \right\} + p_{n+1}a_{n+1}$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^n p_j a_j + p_{n+1}a_{n+1}$$

as desired.



6

Pólya's Proof - According to J. Michael Steele, George Pólya discovered the proof outlined below in a dream.

Once again, we suppose $p_j > 0$ for j = 1, 2, ..., n and $\sum_{j=1}^n p_j = 1$. Also, let A and G denote, respectively, the arithmetic and geometric means of $a_1, a_2, ..., a_n$ with each $a_j > 0$. We wish to show that $G \leq A$

 $y = e^x$

2 3

y = x + 1

10

5

-1

Pólya's proof begins with the observation

 $1+x \leq e^x$

Now the substitution $x \to x-1$ yields

 $(11) x \le e^{x-1}$

So for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ the bound in (11) implies

$$a_j \leq e^{a_j - 1}$$
 and $a_j^{p_j} \leq e^{p_j a_j - p_j}$

Thus

$$G = a_1^{p_1} a_2^{p_2} \cdots a_n^{p_n} = \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \prod_{j=1}^n a_j^{p_j} \le \prod_{j=1}^n e^{p_j a_j - p_j}$$
$$= e^{\sum_{j=1}^n p_j a_j - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j}$$
$$= e^{A-1}$$

(12)

Appealing to (11) once again, we see that

And now we appear to be in trouble since (12) and (13) show that A and G are bounded above by e^{A-1} . This is not what we want. Notice however that the right-hand side of (12) is one precisely when the arithmetic mean is one.

To see how this helps us, let $\alpha_j = a_j/A$. Then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j \alpha_j = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{p_j a_j}{A} = \frac{1}{A} \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j a_j = 1$$

Thus

(14)
$$\frac{1}{A}\prod_{j=1}^{n}a_{j}^{p_{j}}=\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\frac{a_{j}}{A}\right)^{p_{j}}=\prod_{j=1}^{n}\alpha_{j}^{p_{j}}\leq 1$$

Thus

(15)
$$\prod_{j=1}^{n} a_{j}^{p_{j}} \le A = \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{j} a_{j}$$

as desired.

rjh

