

1. (20 points) Consider the sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  defined by the recursion below and answer the questions that follow.

$$(1) \quad a_{n+3} = 2a_{n+2} - a_n, \quad a_0 = 1, a_1 = 3, a_2 = 4$$

- (a) Find the next 3 terms in this sequence.

**Solution:**

The first 12 terms are 1, 3, 4, 7, 11, 18, 29, 47, 76, 123, 199, 322.

- (b) Find the closed form of the generating function  $A(x) = \sum_n a_n x^n$ .

**Solution:**

According to the Wilf Rules, (1) is equivalent to the equation

$$\frac{A(x) - 1 - 3x - 4x^2}{x^3} = 2 \frac{A(x) - 1 - 3x}{x^2} + A(x)$$

Rearranging yields

$$A(x)(1 - 2x + x^3) = 1 + x - 2x^2$$

Thus

$$A(x) = \frac{1 + x - 2x^2}{1 - 2x + x^3}$$

2. (20 points) How many 3-digit positive integers are divisible by at least one of the numbers in the set  $\{6, 7, 11\}$ ? For example, there are  $\lfloor \frac{999}{6} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{100}{6} \rfloor = 166 - 16 = 150$  3-digit numbers that are divisible by 6. *Express your answer as a positive integer.*

**Solution:**

Throughout this solution an integer is a 3-digit positive integer. Let  $p_6$  be the property that an integer is divisible by 6. Then the number of integers that are divisible by 6 is  $N(p_6) = 150$ . Similarly,

$$N(p_7) = \left\lfloor \frac{999}{7} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{100}{7} \right\rfloor = 128$$

$$N(p_{11}) = \left\lfloor \frac{999}{11} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{100}{11} \right\rfloor = 81$$

Similarly,

$$N(p_6 p_7) = 21$$

$$N(p_6 p_{11}) = 14$$

$$N(p_7 p_{11}) = 11$$

Finally,

$$N(p_6 p_7 p_{11}) = 2$$

Now there are 900 3-digit integers, so by PIE, the number of integers that are not divisible by at least one of 6, 7, or 11 is

$$\begin{aligned} N_0 &= 900 - (150 + 128 + 81) + (21 + 14 + 11) - 2 \\ &= 585 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that there are 315 integers that are divisible by at least one of these numbers.

3. (10 points) Let  $\pi = (\pi_1 \pi_2 \cdots \pi_n) \in S_n$ , here  $n > 1$ . Recall that the pair  $(\pi_j, \pi_k)$ ,  $1 \leq j < k \leq n$  is called an inversion pair if  $\pi_j > \pi_k$ . Recall that a permutation is called even (odd) if it has an even (odd) number of inversions.

Now let  $\tau = (2 \ 1 \ 3 \ \cdots \ n) \in S_n$ . To be clear,  $\tau(1) = 2$ ,  $\tau(2) = 1$ , and  $\tau(k) = k$  for  $3 \leq k \leq n$ . ( $\tau$  is called a transposition.) Show that  $\pi\tau \in S_n$  has a different parity than  $\pi \in S_n$ . That is, if  $\pi$  is even then  $\pi\tau$  is odd and vice-versa.

**Solution:**

Let  $\pi$  and  $\tau$  be as described above and let  $\delta = \pi\tau$ . We claim that  $\delta = (\pi_2 \ \pi_1 \ \pi_3 \ \cdots \ \pi_n)$ . Now if the claim is true, then either  $E(\delta) = E(\pi) \setminus \{(\pi_1, \pi_2)\}$  or  $E(\delta) = E(\pi) \cup \{(\pi_2, \pi_1)\}$ . In other words,  $|E(\delta)| = |E(\pi)| \pm 1$ , as desired.

To prove the claim, notice that  $\delta(1) = \pi(\tau(1)) = \pi(2) = \pi_2$ ,  $\delta(2) = \pi(\tau(2)) = \pi(1) = \pi_1$ , and  $\delta(j) = \pi(j) = \pi_j$  for  $j \geq 3$ .

4. (10 points) Let  $s_n$  count the number of ways to break an  $n$ -semester day into two parts with one holiday during the first part and two (indistinguishable) holidays during the second part. In class we used generating functions to show that

$$(2) \quad s_n = \sum_k \binom{k}{1} \binom{n-k}{2} = \binom{n+1}{4}$$

Use a combinatorial proof to show that  $s_n = \binom{n+1}{4}$ . *Look to the board for a possible hint.*

**Solution:**

This is exercise 8.13 from our textbook. See the solution on page 200.

Here's another proof based on the hint that I wrote on the board. The right-hand side of (2) counts the number of ways to choose a 4-subset from  $[n+1]$ . Given such a subset, say  $1 \leq a < b < c < d \leq n+1$ , we observe that  $a \leq b-1 < c-1 < d-1 \leq n$  and assign the semester break to day  $b-1$  and the three holidays to days  $a$ ,  $c-1$ , and  $d-1$ . Notice that this procedure is reversible even if the first holiday falls on the last day of the first "half" of the semester, in which case  $a = b-1$ . However, this presents no difficulties.

5. (20 points) Let  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  and let  $\left[ \begin{smallmatrix} n \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right]_p$  be the set of all  $\pi \in S_n$  such that each of the  $k$  cycles contains at least  $p$  elements. For example,  $(137)(26458) \in \left[ \begin{smallmatrix} 8 \\ 2 \end{smallmatrix} \right]_3$  since both cycles have at least 3 elements. On the other hand,  $(15)(2436) \notin \left[ \begin{smallmatrix} 6 \\ 2 \end{smallmatrix} \right]_3$  since the first cycle has only 2 elements.

(a) Now set  $\left[ \begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix} \right]_p = 1$  and for  $n > 0$ , let  $\left[ \begin{smallmatrix} n \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right]_p = \left| \left[ \begin{smallmatrix} n \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right]_p \right|$ . Prove that

$$(3) \quad \left[ \begin{smallmatrix} n+1 \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right]_p = n \left[ \begin{smallmatrix} n \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right]_p + \binom{n}{p-1} (p-1)! \left[ \begin{smallmatrix} n-p+1 \\ k-1 \end{smallmatrix} \right]_p, \quad n \geq p$$

**Solution:**

We used the distinguished element argument. The left-hand side counts the number of permutations on  $[n+1]$  with exactly  $k$  cycles such that no cycle has fewer than  $p$  elements.

Now  $n+1$  either appears in a cycle that contains more than  $p$  elements or it appears in a cycle with exactly  $p$  elements. In the first case, we can choose a permutation from  $\left[ \begin{smallmatrix} n \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right]_p$  and then we may place  $n+1$  into any of the cycles, after each element. Now by the product rule there are  $\left[ \begin{smallmatrix} n \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right]_p \cdot n$  ways to do this.

Otherwise,  $n+1$  is in a cycle with exactly  $p-1$  elements. So there are  $\binom{n}{p-1}$  ways to choose that elements that are in the same cycle is  $n+1$ ,  $(p-1)!$  ways to arrange those elements within the cycle, followed by  $\left[ \begin{smallmatrix} n+1-p \\ k-1 \end{smallmatrix} \right]_p$  ways to arrange the remaining  $k-1$  cycles. So by the product rule, there are  $\binom{n}{p-1} (p-1)! \left[ \begin{smallmatrix} n-p+1 \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right]_p$  ways to create a permutation in this case.

Since the two cases are clearly disjoint, the result follows by the sum rule.

- (b) Let  $D([n])_2$  denote the set of all permutations such that each cycle has at least 2 elements. Now let  $d_0 = 1$  and for  $n > 0$ , let  $d_n = |D([n])_2|$ . Find the next 5 terms in this sequence. That is, find  $d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4, d_5$ .

**Solution:**

Including  $d_0$ , these are just the first 6 derangement numbers, which are the sums across the first 6 rows of Table 3. That is, 1, 0, 1, 2, 9, 44.

6. (20 points) Let  $\{f_n\}_n$  be the Fibonacci numbers. For  $n \geq m$ , give a **combinatorial** proof of the identity below.

$$(4) \quad f_{n+m} = \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{m}{k} f_{n-k}$$

**Solution:**

The left-hand side counts the number of ways to cover  $B_{n+m}$ . For the right-hand side, we condition on the number of dominos within the first  $m$  tiles. So label the first  $m$  tiles from 1 through  $m$ . If they are all squares, they cover a  $B_m$  board in only one way and there are  $f_n$  ways to cover the rest of the board. So by the product rule, there are  $1 \cdot f_n = \binom{m}{0} f_n$  ways to cover the board in this case. Now suppose there is one domino within the first  $m$  tiles. Then these tiles cover  $B_{m+1}$  and there are  $\binom{m}{1}$  ways to do this. Since there are  $f_{n-1}$  ways to cover the squares that remain, the product rule implies that there are  $\binom{m}{1} f_{n-1}$  ways to cover  $B_{n+m}$  in this case.

In general, there are  $\binom{m}{k}$  ways to arrange  $k$  dominos within the first  $m$  tiles (covering  $B_{m+k}$ ) and  $f_{n-k}$  ways to cover the remaining squares. Once again we apply the product rule. Now since the first  $m$  tiles may contain zero dominos, or one domino, or two dominos, etc. and since these cases are disjoint, the result now follows by the sum rule.