

Lecture: Wed 9/28/05

1. Why define abstract structures like a field or a Euclidean ring, rather than just prove things for \mathbb{Q} and \mathbb{Z} directly?

- The field axioms are the crucial properties of \mathbb{Q} , which give a foundation from which to rigorously prove most of the formulas of algebra. Similarly, the crucial properties of \mathbb{Z} are captured in the definition of a Euclidean ring, giving us a foundation to prove non-obvious facts such as Unique Factorization.
- Once we prove a formula using only the field axioms, we know it holds not only for $F = \mathbb{Q}$, but for *any* new field we may define, such as the clock arithmetic field \mathbb{Z}_p (p prime) or the rational functions $\mathbb{Q}(x)$. Similarly, since Unique Factorization depends only on the division algorithm, we know it holds not only for \mathbb{Z} but for $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ and any other Euclidean ring we find.

2. Basic formulas for any field F

- We assume axioms (i)–(iv), (i')–(iv'), (v). In the proofs, we will use commutativity and associativity without comment.
- *Lemma:* The elements 0 , 1 , $-a$, a^{-1} are unique.
Proof: If we have two zero elements $0, 0'$ with $a + 0 = a + 0' = a$ for all a , then: $0 = 0 + 0' = 0'$. If we have two inverse elements $-a, -a'$ with $(-a) + a = (-a') + a = 0$, then:

$$\begin{aligned} -a &= (-a) + 0 \\ &= (-a) + a + (-a') \\ &= 0 + (-a') = -a'. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly for 1 and a^{-1} .

- *Lemma:* $0 \cdot a = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Proof:} \quad 0 &= -(0 \cdot a) + 0 \cdot a \\ &= -(0 \cdot a) + (0+0) \cdot a \\ &= -(0 \cdot a) + 0 \cdot a + 0 \cdot a \\ &= 0 \cdot a. \end{aligned}$$

- *Lemma:* $-(-a) = a$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Proof:} \quad -(-a) &= -(-a) + 0 \\ &= -(-a) + (-a) + a \\ &= 0 + a = a. \end{aligned}$$

- *Lemma:* $(-a) \cdot b = -(a \cdot b)$

Proof: By definition, $-(a \cdot b)$ is the unique element such that $-(a \cdot b) + a \cdot b = 0$. Now:

$$\begin{aligned} (-a) \cdot b + a \cdot b &= ((-a) + a) \cdot b \\ &= 0 \cdot b = 0. \end{aligned}$$

- *Lemma:* $(-a) \cdot (-b) = a \cdot b$

Proof: Using the previous lemma twice:

$$\begin{aligned} (-a) \cdot (-b) &= -(a \cdot (-b)) \\ &= -(-(a \cdot b)) = a \cdot b. \end{aligned}$$

3. Advanced formulas for any field F

- Prove the following as exercises.
- Quadratic formula: The only roots of $ax^2 + bx + c \in F[x]$ are $x = (-b \pm d)/2a$, where $d \in F$ is an element with $d^2 = b^2 - 4ac$. If there is no such element $d \in F$, then the equation has no solution.
- FOIL: $(a + b)(c + d) = ac + ad + bc + bd$.
This holds in any commutative ring, not necessarily a field.

- Binomial Theorem:

$$\begin{aligned} (a + b)^2 &= a^2 + 2ab + b^2 \\ (a + b)^3 &= a^3 + 3a^2b + 3ab^2 + b^3 \\ (a + b)^4 &= a^4 + 4a^3b + 6a^2b^2 + 4ab^3 + b^4 \\ (a + b)^n &= a^n + \binom{n}{1}a^{n-1}b + \binom{n}{2}a^{n-2}b^2 + \dots + b^n, \end{aligned}$$

where the binomial coefficients $\binom{n}{k}$ are defined recursively by:

$$\binom{n}{0} = \binom{n}{n} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \binom{n+1}{k} = \binom{n}{k} + \binom{n}{k-1}.$$

Again, this holds in any commutative ring.

- *Example:* In $F = \mathbb{Z}_2$, we have $2 = 0$, so $(a + b)^2 = a^2 + b^2$. This is not so remarkable, since \mathbb{Z}_2 has only two elements. But now consider $\mathbb{Z}_2[x]$, polynomials with coefficients in \mathbb{Z}_2 . For example:

$$f(x) = 0, 1, x, x+1, x^2, x^2+1, x^2+x, x^2+x+1, \dots$$

Then we once again have:

$$(f(x) + g(x))^2 = f(x)^2 + g(x)^2$$

for any polynomials $f(x), g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_2[x]$.

- $a^n - b^n = (a - b)(a^{n-1} + a^{n-2}b + \dots + ab^{n-2} + b^{n-1})$.