

PRICING PERPETUAL AMERICAN PUT (I)

Martingales + Optimal Sampling.

Recall: Discounted stock value is a martingale (mg)

$$\tilde{S}_t = \frac{1}{(1+r)^t} S_t.$$

ie. $\mathbb{E}(S_{t+\Delta} | \mathcal{F}_t) = S_t$ (continuous or discrete).

Thus all portfolios created by stocks + bonds are mg.

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{V}_t &= \frac{1}{(1+r)^t} (X_t S_t + Y_t A_t) \\ &= X_t \tilde{S}_t + Y_t A_t. \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore \tilde{V}_t$ is a mg.

For any mg, with fixed time T :

Let M_t be a mg then for fixed time T :

$$\mathbb{E}(M_T) = M_0. \quad *$$

What if T is a random variable?

Does (*) hold?

(always)
 Example where (*) does not hold (for random T)

Game: flip coins in sequence

$$\Omega = \{\omega: \omega_i = A \text{ or } B\}; X_i(\omega) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \omega_i = A \\ -1 & \text{if } \omega_i = B \end{cases}$$

$X_i \equiv$ outcome of betting on i th flip.

$Y_i \equiv$ # of bets purchased on i th flip.

Then the value @ N th flip is:

$$V_N = Y_1 X_1 + \dots + Y_N X_N$$

notice that

$$E(V_{N+1} | \mathcal{F}_N) = Y_1 X_1 + \dots + Y_N X_N + \underbrace{E(Y_{N+1} X_{N+1})}$$

$$\text{But } E\left(\frac{1}{Y_{N+1}} E(X_{N+1} | Y_{N+1})\right) = E(Y_{N+1} \cdot 0) = 0$$

$$\therefore E(V_{N+1} | \mathcal{F}_N) = V_N \checkmark$$

V_N is a martingale

If $Y_i \equiv 1$ ~~then~~ + T is a random variable ^{independent of (X_i)} it is not used
 to show:

$$\cancel{E(V_T)} \quad E(V_T) = \sum_{i=1}^T E(X_i) = 0$$

~~Not to show $E(V_T) = 0$~~

$$E(V_T) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} E(V_k \mathbf{1}_{\{T=k\}}) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \underbrace{P(T=k)}_{\substack{Y \\ i=1 \\ k}} \sum_{i=1}^k E(X_i) = 0$$

"Martingale Betting Strategy"

★ ★ These are pathological mg, we will need to ~~★~~ remove these mg from consideration.

Strategy: 1st flip \rightarrow Buy 1 bet.

(i) If you win on bet $k \geq 1$ stop betting

(ii) If you lose on bet $k \geq 1$

buy twice as many bets on step $k+1$

\therefore we stop betting on step τ where $\tau \equiv$ 1st bet won.

At k flips, *if* $k < \tau$: all bets $1, \dots, k$ are lost: $X_1 = \dots = X_k = -1$.

$$V_k = -Y_1 - Y_2 - \dots - Y_k$$

$$\text{But } Y_i = 1; \quad \cancel{Y_{i+1} = 2Y_i} \Rightarrow Y_{i+1} = 2^i$$

$$V_k = -1 - \sum \dots - 2^{k-1} = -2^k + 1.$$

$$* \text{ if } k = \tau : X_1 = \dots = X_{k-1} = -1 ; X_k = 1.$$

$$V_\tau = V_k = -1 - 2 - \dots - 2^{k-2} + 2^{k-1} = 1 - 2^{k-1} + 2^{k-1} = 1.$$

\therefore at all outcomes we have

$$V_\tau = 1.$$

Although V is ~~a~~ a mg $\mathbb{E}(V_{k+1} | F_k) = V_k$.

we have

$$\bigcirc = V_0 \neq \mathbb{E}(V_\tau) = 1.$$

4

Defn A random variable $\tau: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$
is a stopping time if $\{\tau \leq t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t$.

That is, we only need to know information up to time t to determine ~~whether~~ whether to stop @ time t .

In other words we cannot "look into the future" to determine if we should stop.

Eg ~~For~~ For a coin flipping game
 $\tau = \min \{i : \omega_i = A\}$
 τ is a stopping time.

Eg Walk on a line.
for $V_i \equiv 1$

~~$\tau = \min \{i : V_i \in \{-N, M\}\}$~~

$$\tau = \min \{i : V_i \in \{-N, M\}\}$$

that is stopping time is the first time

V_t goes below $-N$ or above M

This is typically known as the Gambler's Ruin.

Optional Sampling Theorem.

Let Π index the time values i.e. $\Pi = [0, \infty)$ or $\Pi = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$

If M_t is a Martingale and τ is a stopping time
(wrt \mathcal{F}_t) so that $\mathbb{P}(\tau < \infty) = 1$.

and

$$(i) \quad \mathbb{E}(|M_\tau|) < \infty$$

$$(ii) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}\{|M_t| \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau > t\}}\} = 0$$

$$\text{then } \mathbb{E}(M_\tau) = \mathbb{E}(M_0)$$

in particular, if M_0 is a constant:

$$\mathbb{E}(M_\tau) = M_0.$$

Eg Walk on line

let $0 < S_0 < N$ be initial ~~pos~~ position of a random walk.

$$S_k = S_0 + X_1 + \dots + X_k \quad ; \quad S_{k+1} = S_k + X_{k+1}.$$

Let $\tau = \min\{k : S_k = 0 \text{ or } N\}$
 $\tau \equiv$ the first time S_t hits 0 or N .

Apply O.S.T.

$$* \mathbb{P}(\tau > k) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

$$* \mathbb{E}(|S_{\tau}|) \leq N \because S_{\tau} = 0 \text{ or } N$$

$$* \mathbb{E}\{S_t | \mathbb{1}_{\tau > t}\} \leq N \mathbb{P}(\tau > k) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\text{Optional Sampling} \Rightarrow S_0 = \mathbb{E}(S_{\tau}) = 0 \cdot \mathbb{P}(S_{\tau} = 0) + N \cdot \mathbb{P}(S_{\tau} = N)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \mathbb{P}(S_{\tau} = N) = \frac{S_0}{N}$$

$$\mathbb{P}(S_{\tau} = 0) = 1 - \mathbb{P}(S_{\tau} = N) = \frac{N - S_0}{N}.$$

Eg Martingale Betting.

$$|V_k| = 2^{k-1} \quad \text{if } \tau > k$$

$$+ \mathbb{P}(\tau > k) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^k$$

$$\therefore \mathbb{E}(V_k | \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau > k\}}) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^k (2^{k-1}) \sim 1$$

\therefore Optimal stopping does not apply which is why we contradict the conclusion of O.S.T.