

CHAPTER XI: THE KOSZUL COMPLEX

§1: REVIEW OF EXTERIOR ALGEBRA

Let A be a ring and M an A -module. Consider A as a graded ring by the trivial grading (i.e. $A = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n$ where $A = A_0$ and $A_n = 0$ for all $n > 0$). Let $M^{\otimes i}$ denote the i th tensor power of M , i.e. $M^{\otimes i} = M \otimes \dots \otimes M$ with i factors of M if $i > 0$ and $M^{\otimes 0} = A$. Then $\bigotimes M = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} M^{\otimes i}$ is a graded A -module. The bilinear map $M^{\otimes i} \times M^{\otimes j} \rightarrow M^{\otimes i+j}$ induced by $(x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_i, y_1 \otimes \dots \otimes y_j) \mapsto x_1 \otimes \dots \otimes x_i \otimes y_1 \otimes \dots \otimes y_j$ extends linearly to a multiplication on $\bigotimes M$. With this definition $\bigotimes M$ becomes a graded associative A -algebra which is not commutative in general. $\bigotimes M$ is called the tensor algebra of M . The tensor algebra is characterized by a

(11.1) Universal property: Let B be an A -algebra (not necessarily commutative) and $\varphi: M \rightarrow B$ an A -linear map. Then there is a unique A -algebra homomorphism $\psi: \bigotimes M \rightarrow B$ extending φ , i.e. $\psi|_{M^{\otimes 1} = M} = \varphi$.

The exterior algebra ΛM is the residue class algebra $\Lambda M = \bigotimes M / \mathcal{I}$ where \mathcal{I} is the ideal generated by $\{x \otimes x \mid x \in M\}$. Since \mathcal{I} is generated by homogeneous elements, ΛM is a graded A -algebra. The product in ΛM is denoted $x \wedge y$. In general ΛM is not commutative; it is alternating: if $x, y \in \Lambda M$ homogeneous, then $x \wedge y = (-1)^{(\deg x)(\deg y)} y \wedge x$ and $x \wedge x = 0$ for x homogeneous and $\deg x$ odd. (Proof: consider $(x+y) \wedge (x+y)$)

(11.2) Universal property: Let B be an A -algebra (not necessarily commutative) and $\varphi: M \rightarrow B$ an A -linear map with $\varphi(x)^2 = 0$ for all $x \in M$. Then there is a unique A -algebra homomorphism $\psi: \Lambda M \rightarrow B$ extending φ , i.e. $\psi|_{\Lambda^1 M = M} = \varphi$.

(11.3) Remark: (a) The i th graded component of ΛM is denoted by $\Lambda^i M$ and is called the i th exterior power of M . Obviously, $\Lambda^0 M = A$, $\Lambda^1 M = M$, and for $i \geq 2$ $\Lambda^i M = M^{\otimes i} / (x_i \otimes \dots \otimes x_i \mid x_j = x_k \text{ for some } j \neq k)$.

(b) Let $x_1, \dots, x_n \in M$. If τ is a permutation of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ then $x_{\tau(1)} \wedge \dots \wedge x_{\tau(n)} = \text{sgn}(\tau) x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge x_n$. If $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ set $x_I = x_{v_1} \wedge \dots \wedge x_{v_i}$ if $I = \{v_1, \dots, v_i\}$ with $v_1 < \dots < v_i$. If $I, J \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $I \cap J = \emptyset$ set $\text{sgn}(I, J) = (-1)^l$ where l is the number of $(i, j) \in I \times J$ with $i > j$; if $I \cap J \neq \emptyset$ set $\text{sgn}(I, J) = 0$.

Then $x_I \wedge x_J = \text{sgn}(I, J) x_{I \cup J}$.

(c) Let $\{x_g\}_{g \in G}$ be a system of generators of M . Then $\Lambda^n M$ is generated by exterior products x_I with $I \subseteq G$ and $|I| = n$. In particular, if $|G| = m < \infty$, then $\Lambda^i M = 0$ for all $i > m$.

(11.4) Remark: Let $\varphi: M \rightarrow N$ be an A -linear map.

(a) There is a unique A -algebra homomorphism $\Lambda\varphi: \Lambda M \rightarrow \Lambda N$ so that the

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & N \\ \text{nat} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{nat} \\ \Lambda M & \xrightarrow{\Lambda\varphi} & \Lambda N \end{array}$$

commutes. $\Lambda\varphi$ is homogeneous of degree 0 with

$\Lambda\varphi(x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge x_n) = \varphi(x_1) \wedge \dots \wedge \varphi(x_n)$. (This follows immediately from the universal property of the exterior product).

(b) For all $i > 0$ the sequence $\Lambda^{i-1} M \otimes \ker \varphi \rightarrow \Lambda^i M \rightarrow \Lambda^i N \rightarrow 0$ is exact.

In particular, $\ker \Lambda\varphi$ is generated by $\ker \varphi$ (without proof).

(11.5) Remark: Let $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ be a homomorphism of rings and M an A -module. Then there is a natural isomorphism of graded B -algebras: $(\Lambda M) \otimes_A B \cong \Lambda(M \otimes_A B)$.

Let M and N be A -modules. Define

(a) a grading on $(\Lambda M) \otimes_A (\Lambda N)$ by $[(\Lambda M) \otimes_A (\Lambda N)]_n = \bigoplus_{i+j=n} (\Lambda^i M) \otimes_A (\Lambda^j N)$.

(b) a multiplication on $(\Lambda M) \otimes_A (\Lambda N)$ by $(x \otimes y)(x' \otimes y') = (-1)^{(\deg y)(\deg x')} (x \wedge x') \otimes (y \wedge y')$

for all homogeneous elements $x, x' \in \wedge M$; $y, y' \in \wedge N$. Then $(\wedge M) \otimes (\wedge N)$ is an alternating graded A -algebra with degree 1 component $(M \otimes A) \oplus (A \otimes N) \cong M \oplus N$. The natural map $M \oplus N \rightarrow (\wedge M) \otimes (\wedge N)$ extends to an A -algebra homomorphism:

$\varphi: \wedge(M \oplus N) \rightarrow (\wedge M) \otimes (\wedge N)$. Conversely, the natural maps $M \hookrightarrow \wedge(M \oplus N)$ and $N \hookrightarrow \wedge(M \oplus N)$ extend to homomorphisms of A -algebras $\varphi_1: \wedge M \hookrightarrow \wedge(M \oplus N)$ and $\varphi_2: \wedge N \hookrightarrow \wedge(M \oplus N)$. By the universal property of tensor products φ_1 and φ_2 induce an A -algebra homomorphism $\psi: (\wedge M) \otimes (\wedge N) \rightarrow \wedge(M \oplus N)$. Since $[\varphi \circ \psi]_1 = \text{id}_{M \oplus N} = [\psi \circ \varphi]_1$, by linear extension ψ and φ are inverse to each other. Thus:

(11.6) Proposition: $(\wedge M) \otimes_A (\wedge N) \cong \wedge(M \oplus N)$ as alternating graded A -algebras.

(11.7) Proposition: (a) If $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is a generating set of M , then $\{x_I \mid |I| = i\}$ is a generating set of $\wedge^i M$.

(b) If $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is a basis of a free module F , then $\{e_I \mid |I| = i\}$ is a basis of $\wedge^i F$. In particular, $\wedge^i F$ is free of rank $\binom{n}{i}$.

Proof: (b) Notice that $\wedge A e_n = A \oplus A e_n$ where $A e_n \cong A$. By (11.6) $\wedge F = \wedge(A e_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A e_{n-1}) \otimes \wedge A e_n$. Thus by induction on n , $\wedge F$ has an A -basis $\{e_I, e_I \wedge e_n \mid I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n-1\}\}$.

§2. BASIC PROPERTIES OF THE KOSZUL COMPLEX

Let A be a ring, L an A -module, and $f: L \rightarrow A$ an A -linear map. The map $\tilde{f}^{(n)}: L^n \rightarrow \Lambda^{n-1} L$ defined by $\tilde{f}^{(n)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1} f(x_i) x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{x_i} \wedge \dots \wedge x_n$ is an alternating n -linear map. Thus $\tilde{f}^{(n)}$ factors through an A -linear map

$d_f^{(n)}: \Lambda^n L \rightarrow \Lambda^{n-1} L$ with

$$d_f^{(n)}(x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1} f(x_i) x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{x_i} \wedge \dots \wedge x_n$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in L$. The collection of all maps $d_f^{(n)}$ defines a graded A -homomorphism $d_f: \Lambda L \rightarrow \Lambda L$.

(11.8) Remark: (a) d_f has the following properties:

(i) $d_f \circ d_f = 0$

(ii) For all homogeneous $x, y \in \Lambda L$: $d_f(x \wedge y) = d_f(x) \wedge y + (-1)^{\deg x} x \wedge d_f(y)$.

(b) Since $d_f \circ d_f = 0$ we obtain a complex:

$$(*) \quad \dots \rightarrow \Lambda^n L \xrightarrow{d_f} \Lambda^{n-1} L \xrightarrow{d_f} \dots \rightarrow \Lambda^2 L \xrightarrow{d_f} L \xrightarrow{f} A \rightarrow 0$$

and (ii) implies that d_f is an antiderivation (of degree -1).

(11.9) Definition: (*) is the Koszul complex of f , denoted $K_*(f)$. If M is an A -module, then $K_*(f, M)$ is the complex $K_*(f) \otimes_A M$, called the Koszul complex of f with coefficients in M . Its differential is denoted by $d_{f, M}$.

(11.10) Proposition: Let A be a ring, L an A -module, and $f: L \rightarrow A$ an A -linear map.

(a) The Koszul complex $K_*(f)$ carries the structure of an associative graded alternating algebra, namely that of ΛL .

(b) Its differential d_f is an antiderivation of degree -1 .

(c) For every A -module M the complex $K_*(f, M)$ is a $K_*(f)$ -module in a natural way.

(d) One has $d_{f, M}(x \cdot y) = d_f(x) \cdot y + (-1)^{\deg x} x \cdot d_{f, M}(y)$ for all homogeneous elements x of $K_*(f)$ and all elements $y \in K_*(f, M)$.

Proof: (a) and (b) follow from the definition.

(c) clear, if B is an A -algebra and M an A -module, then $B \otimes_A M$ is a (left) B -module in the natural way.

(d) It is enough to show the claim for $y = w \otimes z$ with $w \in K_0(f)$, $z \in M$. Then $d_{f,M}(x \cdot w \otimes z) = d_{f,M}((x \wedge y) \otimes z) = d_f(x \wedge y) \otimes z$ and the rest follows since d_f is an antiderivation.

Set $Z_0(f) = \ker d_f$, $Z_0(f, M) = \ker d_{f,M}$, and $B_0(f) = \text{im } d_f$, $B_0(f, M) = \text{im } d_{f,M}$.

(11.11) Definition: The homology $H_0(f) = Z_0(f)/B_0(f)$ is the Koszul homology of f .

For every A -module M the homology $Z_0(f, M)/B_0(f, M)$ is denoted by $H_0(f, M)$ and called the Koszul homology of f with coefficients in M .

For a subset $S \subseteq K_0(f)$ and a subset $U \subseteq K_0(f, M)$ let $S \cdot U$ denote the A -submodule of $K_0(f, M)$ generated by $\{s \cdot u \mid s \in S, u \in U\}$. Then:

$Z_0(f) \cdot Z_0(f, M) \subseteq Z_0(f, M)$, $Z_0(f) \cdot B_0(f, M) \subseteq B_0(f, M)$ and $B_0(f) \cdot Z_0(f, M) \subseteq B_0(f, M)$. Notice that $K_0(f) \cong K_0(f, A)$. The first inclusion shows that $Z_0(f)$ is a graded A -subalgebra of $K_0(f)$. The second and third inclusions show that $B_0(f)$ is a two-sided ideal in $Z_0(f)$.

(11.12) Proposition: Let A be a ring, L an A -module, and $f: L \rightarrow A$ an A -linear map.

(a) The Koszul homology $H_0(f)$ carries the structure of an associative graded alternating A -algebra.

(b) For every A -module M the homology $H_0(f, M)$ is an $H_0(f)$ -module in a natural way.

Proof: (a) $H_0(f)$ is an A -algebra since $Z_0(f)$ is an A -algebra and $B_0(f)$ is an ideal

ideal of $Z_0(f)$. $Z_0(f)$ is an associative graded alternating A -algebra and $B_0(f)$ is homogeneous.

(b) Since $Z_0(f) \cdot Z_0(f, M) \subseteq Z_0(f, M)$, $Z_0(f, M)$ is a $Z_0(f)$ -module. Since $Z_0(f) B_0(f, M) \subseteq B_0(f, M)$, $B_0(f, M)$ is a $Z_0(f)$ -submodule of $Z_0(f, M)$ and since $B_0(f) Z_0(f, M) \subseteq B_0(f, M)$, $H_0(f, M)$ is annihilated by $B_0(f)$.

(11.13) Corollary: With $I = \text{im } f$ the Koszul homology $H_*(f, M)$ is an A/I -module. In particular, $H_0(f) = A/I$ and $H_0(f, M) = M/IM$.

Define: $K^0(f) = \text{Hom}_A(K_0(f), A)$, $K^0(f, M) = \text{Hom}_A(K_0(f), M)$ and $H^0(f) = H^0(K^0(f))$, $H^0(f, M) = H^0(K^0(f, M))$. $H^0(f)$, $H^0(f, M)$ are called the Koszul cohomology of f (with coefficients in M).

(11.14) Proposition: Let A be a ring, L an A -module, and $f: L \rightarrow A$ an A -linear map. Set $I = \text{im } f$.

(a) For all $a \in I$ multiplication by a on $K_*(f)$, $K_*(f, M)$, $K^*(f)$, $K^*(f, M)$ is null-homotopic.

(b) I annihilates $H_*(f)$, $H_*(f, M)$, $H^*(f)$, $H^*(f, M)$.

(c) If $I = R$, the complexes $K_*(f)$, $K_*(f, M)$, $K^*(f)$, $K^*(f, M)$ are null-homotopic. In particular, their (co)homology vanishes.

Proof: (a) Let $x \in L$ with $f(x) = a$ and let ϑ_a denote multiplication by a on $K_*(f)$ and λ_x left multiplication by x on $K_*(f)$. Then $\vartheta_a = d_f \circ \lambda_x + \lambda_x \circ d_f$. Thus multiplication by a is null-homotopic and so are $\vartheta_a \otimes M$ and $\text{Hom}_A(\vartheta_a, M)$, the multiplications by a on $K_*(f, M)$ and $K^*(f, M)$.

(b) is a general fact.

(c) Choose $a = 1$ and apply (a) and (b).

Let L_1 and L_2 be A -modules and $f_1: L_1 \rightarrow A$, $f_2: L_2 \rightarrow A$ A -linear maps. f_1 and f_2 induce a linear form $f: L_1 \oplus L_2 \rightarrow A$ by $f(x_1 \oplus x_2) = f_1(x_1) + f_2(x_2)$.

(11.15) Proposition: There is an isomorphism of complexes $K_*(f_1) \otimes_A K_*(f_2) \cong K_*(f)$.

Proof: Since $(\wedge L_1) \otimes_A (\wedge L_2) \cong \wedge L$ by (11.6), $K_*(f_1) \otimes_A K_*(f_2) \cong K_*(f)$ as graded A -algebras. The n th graded component of $K_*(f_1) \otimes K_*(f_2)$ is $\bigoplus_{i=0}^n (\wedge^i L_1) \otimes (\wedge^{n-i} L_2)$ and the differential $d_{f_1} \otimes d_{f_2}$ is defined by:

$$(*) \quad d_{f_1} \otimes d_{f_2} (x \otimes y) = d_{f_1}(x) \otimes y + (-1)^i x \otimes d_{f_2}(y)$$

for $x \in \wedge^i L_1$ and $y \in \wedge^{n-i} L_2$. d_f is an antiderivation on $\wedge L$ which coincides with $d_{f_1} \otimes d_{f_2}$ on the degree one component $L = L_1 \oplus L_2$. (*) implies that $d_{f_1} \otimes d_{f_2}$ is also an antiderivation on $\wedge L \cong (\wedge L_1) \otimes (\wedge L_2)$. Since an antiderivation on $\wedge L$ is uniquely determined by its values on L , $d_f = d_{f_1} \otimes d_{f_2}$ (provided $\wedge L$ and $(\wedge L_1) \otimes (\wedge L_2)$ are identified).

(11.16) Proposition: Let A be a ring, L an A -module, and $f: L \rightarrow A$ an A -linear map. Suppose that $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ is a homomorphism of rings.

(a) There is a natural isomorphism $K_*(f) \otimes_A B \cong K_*(f \otimes B)$.

(b) If φ is flat, then $H_*(f, M) \otimes_A B \cong H_*(f \otimes B, M \otimes_A B)$ for all A -modules M .

Proof: (a) By (11.5) $(\wedge L) \otimes_A B \cong \wedge(L \otimes_A B)$ and $d_f \otimes B$ and $d_{f \otimes B}$ are antiderivations on $\wedge(L \otimes_A B)$ which coincide in degree one. Thus $d_f \otimes B = d_{f \otimes B}$ by the same argument as in the proof of (11.15).

(b) If C_* is any complex of A -modules and B is a flat A -algebra, then $H_*(C \otimes B) = H_*(C_*) \otimes B$.

Let L and L' be A -modules with linear forms $f: L \rightarrow A$ and $f': L' \rightarrow A$. By (11.4) every A -linear map $\varphi: L' \rightarrow L$ induces a unique homomorphism of A -algebras

$\Lambda\varphi: \Lambda L \rightarrow \Lambda L'$. If $f = f' \circ \varphi$, then $\Lambda\varphi$ is a homomorphism of Koszul complexes, i.e. for all n the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda^n L & \xrightarrow{d_f} & \Lambda^{n-1} L \\ \Lambda\varphi \downarrow & & \downarrow \Lambda\varphi \\ \Lambda^n L' & \xrightarrow{d_{f'}} & \Lambda^{n-1} L' \end{array} \text{ commutes.}$$

We just showed:

(11.17) Proposition: With the notation as above, if $f = f' \circ \varphi$ then $\Lambda\varphi$ is a homomorphism of complexes.

§3: THE KOSZUL COMPLEX OF A SEQUENCE

Let L be a finitely generated free A -module with basis e_1, \dots, e_n . A linear form $f: L \rightarrow A$ is uniquely determined by the values $f(e_i) = x_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Conversely, given a sequence $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_n \in A$ there is a linear form $f: L \rightarrow A$ with $f(e_i) = x_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. We set $K_*(\underline{x}) = K_*(f)$, $H_*(\underline{x}) = H_*(f)$, $K_*(\underline{x}, M) = K_*(f, M)$, etc if $f: L \rightarrow A$ is defined by $f(e_i) = x_i$.

Since $L = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n Ae_i$ and $f = \bigoplus f_i$ where $f_i: Ae_i \rightarrow A$ with $f_i(e_i) = x_i$, by (11.15) $K_*(\underline{x}) = K_*(\underline{x}') \otimes K_*(x_n) = K_*(x_1) \otimes \dots \otimes K_*(x_n)$ where $\underline{x}' = x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}$. Moreover, $K_*(\underline{x})$ is essentially invariant under a permutation of \underline{x} .

Set $I = (\underline{x})$ and let F_* be a free resolution of A/I . Since $H_0(\underline{x}) = A/I$, by (7.12) there is a morphism of complexes $\varphi_*: K_*(\underline{x}) \rightarrow F_*$ with $H_0(\varphi_*) = \text{id}_{A/I}$. φ_* is unique up to homotopy.

(11.18) Proposition: Let A be a ring, $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_n$ a sequence in A , and $I = (\underline{x})$. For all i there are natural A -linear maps: $H_i(\underline{x}, M) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_i^A(A/I, M)$ and $\text{Ext}_A^i(A/I, M) \rightarrow H^i(\underline{x}, M)$.

Proof: The morphism of complexes $\varphi_*: K_*(\underline{x}) \rightarrow F_*$ yields morphisms of complexes $\varphi_* \otimes M: K_*(\underline{x}, M) \rightarrow F_* \otimes M$ and $\text{Hom}_A(\varphi_*, M): \text{Hom}_A(F_*, M) \rightarrow K^*(\underline{x}, M)$. Apply (7.4).

(11.19) Remarks: Let $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_n \in A$, $I = (\underline{x})$, and M an A -module. Then $H_0(\underline{x}, M) \cong M/I$ and $H_n(\underline{x}, M) \cong 0$ if $I \neq 0$.

Proof: For the second claim notice that $K_*(\underline{x}, M): 0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{d_n} M^n \rightarrow \dots$ with $d_n(m) = (x_1 m, -x_2 m, \dots, (-1)^{n+1} x_n m)$. Thus $H_n(\underline{x}, M) = \ker d_n = \{m \in M \mid x_i m = 0 \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq n\}$.

Let M be an A -module. Then $M^* = \text{Hom}_A(M, A)$ denotes the dual of M . Let L be a free A -module with basis e_1, \dots, e_n . Then $e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_n$ is a basis of $\Lambda^n L$. Thus there is an A -isomorphism $\omega_n: \Lambda^n L \xrightarrow{\sim} A$ with $\omega_n(e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_n) = 1$.

(ω_n is an orientation of L) For all $0 \leq i \leq n$ the bilinear forms:

$\Lambda^i L \times \Lambda^{n-i} L \xrightarrow{\wedge} \Lambda^n L \xrightarrow{\omega_n} A$ induce A -linear map $\omega_i: \Lambda^i L \rightarrow (\Lambda^{n-i} L)^*$ defined by $(\omega_i(x))(y) = \omega_n(x \wedge y)$ for all $x \in \Lambda^i L, y \in \Lambda^{n-i} L$. For $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $|I| = i$ write $I' = \{1, \dots, n\} - I$ and let $J \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $|J| = n-i$. Then

$$e_I \wedge e_J = \text{sgn}(I, J) e_{I \cup J} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } J \neq I' \\ \text{sgn}(I, I') e_{\{1, \dots, n\}} & \text{if } J = I'. \end{cases}$$

This implies that for all $0 \leq i \leq n$ $\omega_i: \Lambda^i L \rightarrow (\Lambda^{n-i} L)^*$ is an isomorphism.

Set $u_i = (-1)^{\binom{i}{2}} \omega_i$ and $u_* = \bigoplus u_i: \Lambda L \rightarrow (\Lambda L)^*$.

(11.20) Proposition: (Self-duality) Let $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_n \in A$ and M an A -module.

- (a) $u_*: K_*(\underline{x}) \rightarrow (K^*(\underline{x}))(-n)$ is an isomorphism of complexes.
- (b) $K_*(\underline{x}, M) \cong (K^*(\underline{x}, M))(-n)$
- (c) $H_i(\underline{x}, M) \cong H^{n-i}(\underline{x}, M)$

Proof: (a) we need to show that u_* is a morphism of complexes. This follows once we have shown that $\omega_{i-1} \circ d_i = (-1)^{i-1} d_{n-i+1}^* \circ \omega_i$ where $d_* = d_{\underline{x}}$. The latter follows from the identity $\text{sgn}(v, I - \{v\}) \cdot \text{sgn}(I - \{v\}, I' - \{v\}) = (-1)^{i-1} \text{sgn}(v, I') \text{sgn}(I, I')$ where $v \in I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $|I| = i$.

(b) follows from (a) and (c) follows from (b).

(11.21) Proposition: Let $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_n \in A$ and $0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$ an exact sequence of A -modules. The induced sequence $0 \rightarrow K_*(\underline{x}, M') \rightarrow K_*(\underline{x}, M) \rightarrow K_*(\underline{x}, M'') \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence of complexes. This induces a long exact sequence of homology:

$$\dots \rightarrow H_i(\underline{x}, M') \rightarrow H_i(\underline{x}, M) \rightarrow H_i(\underline{x}, M'') \rightarrow H_{i-1}(\underline{x}, M') \rightarrow \dots$$

Proof: Exactness follows from the fact that $\Lambda^i A^n$ are free A -modules. For the long exact sequence see (7.6).

(11.22) Proposition: Let $x \in A$ and C_\bullet a complex of A -modules.

(a) There is an exact sequence of morphisms of complexes:

$$0 \rightarrow C_\bullet \rightarrow C_\bullet \otimes K_\bullet(x) \rightarrow C_\bullet(-1) \rightarrow 0$$

(b) The induced long exact sequence of the homology is

$$\dots \rightarrow H_i(C_\bullet) \rightarrow H_i(C_\bullet \otimes K_\bullet(x)) \rightarrow H_{i-1}(C_\bullet) \xrightarrow{(-1)^{i-1}x} H_{i-1}(C_\bullet) \rightarrow \dots$$

(c) If x is a NZD on C_\bullet , $H_0(C_\bullet \otimes K_\bullet(x)) \cong H_0(C_\bullet \otimes A/(x))$.

Proof: (a) The commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & 0 & \rightarrow & A & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & A & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow x & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & A & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & A & \rightarrow & 0 & \rightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

yields an exact sequence of complexes $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow K_\bullet(x) \rightarrow A(-1) \rightarrow 0$. Tensor with $C_\bullet \otimes_x$

(b) We only have to show that the connecting homomorphism is multiplication by $\pm x$.

Let ∂_\bullet be the differential on C_\bullet . In degrees i and $i-1$, the exact sequence of (a) looks like

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & C_i & \xrightarrow{\text{nat}} & C_i \otimes C_{i-1} & \xrightarrow{\text{nat}} & C_{i-1} & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \partial_i \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi_i & & \downarrow \partial_{i-1} & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & C_{i-1} & \xrightarrow{\text{nat}} & C_{i-1} \otimes C_{i-2} & \xrightarrow{\text{nat}} & C_{i-2} & \rightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

where φ_i is given by the matrix $\begin{bmatrix} \partial_i & (-1)^{i-1}x \\ 0 & \partial_{i-1} \end{bmatrix}$.

The claim follows from the construction of the connecting homomorphism (7.6).

(c) Since x is a NZD, $0 \rightarrow C_\bullet \xrightarrow{x} C_\bullet \rightarrow C_\bullet \otimes A/(x)$ is exact. On the other hand, the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \rightarrow & K_\bullet(x) \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \\ A & \rightarrow & H_0(x) = A/(x) \end{array} \quad \text{induces a commutative diagram}$$

with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & C_n & \longrightarrow & C_n \otimes K_n(x) & \longrightarrow & C_n(-1) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \parallel & \wr & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & C_n & \xrightarrow{x} & C_n & \longrightarrow & C_n \otimes A/(x)
 \end{array}$$

, which gives

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
 \dots & \longrightarrow & H_i(C_n) & \xrightarrow{(-1)^{i+1}x} & H_i(C_n) & \longrightarrow & H_i(C_n \otimes K_n(x)) & \longrightarrow & H_{i-1}(C_n) & \xrightarrow{(-1)^i x} & H_{i-1}(C_n) \longrightarrow \dots \\
 & & \cong \downarrow (-1)^{i+1} & \wr & \parallel & \wr & \downarrow \psi_i & & \cong \downarrow (-1)^i & \wr & \parallel \\
 & & \longrightarrow & H_i(C_n) & \xrightarrow{x} & H_i(C_n) & \longrightarrow & H_i(C_n \otimes A/(x)) & \longrightarrow & H_{i-1}(C_n) & \xrightarrow{x} & H_{i-1}(C_n) \longrightarrow \dots
 \end{array}$$

It is easy to check that the middle diagram commutes. Thus ψ_i is an isomorphism by the Five Lemma.

(11.23) Corollary: Let $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_n \in A$ and M an A -module.

(a) Let $\underline{x}' = x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}$. There is an exact sequence:

$$\dots \longrightarrow H_i(\underline{x}', M) \longrightarrow H_i(\underline{x}, M) \longrightarrow H_{i-1}(\underline{x}', M) \xrightarrow{\pm x_n} H_{i-1}(\underline{x}', M) \longrightarrow \dots$$

(b) Let $\underline{x}' = x_1, \dots, x_s$, $\underline{x}'' = x_{s+1}, \dots, x_n$ and assume that \underline{x}' is weakly M -regular. Then $H_i(\underline{x}, M) \cong H_i(\underline{x}'', M \otimes A/(\underline{x}'))$.

Proof: (a) $K_*(\underline{x}, M) = K_*(\underline{x}) \otimes M \cong K_*(\underline{x}') \otimes K_*(x_n) \otimes M \cong K_*(\underline{x}', M) \otimes K_*(x_n)$. Apply (11.22).

(b) By induction on s we may assume that $s=1$. We may permute x_1 in the sequence \underline{x} and $K_*(\underline{x}, M) = K_*(\underline{x}'', x_1, M) \cong K_*(\underline{x}'', M) \otimes K_*(x_1)$. Thus $H_0(\underline{x}, M) = H_0(K_*(\underline{x}'', M) \otimes K_*(x_1)) \cong H_0(K_*(\underline{x}'', M) \otimes A/(x_1))$ by (11.22)(c), since x_1 is a NZD on $K_*(\underline{x}'', M) \cong \bigoplus M$. But $H_0(K_*(\underline{x}'', M) \otimes A/(x_1)) \cong H_0(\underline{x}'', M \otimes_x A/(x_1))$.

(11.24) Corollary: Let $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_n \in A$ and M an A -module.

(a) If \underline{x} is weakly M -regular, then $K_*(\underline{x}, M)$ is acyclic.

(b) If \underline{x} is A -regular, then $K_*(\underline{x})$ is a free A -resolution of $A/(\underline{x})$.

Proof: Use (11.23)(b).

(11.25) Proposition: Let $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_n \in A$, $I = (\underline{x})$, $\underline{y} = y_1, \dots, y_m \in I$, and M an A -module. If \underline{x} is M -regular, then $H_i(\underline{x}, M) = 0$ for $i > n - m$ and $H_{n-m}(\underline{x}, M) \cong \text{Hom}_A(A/I, M/(\underline{y})M) \cong \text{Ext}_A^m(A/I, M)$.

Proof: The last isomorphism follows by (10.14), the rest will be shown by induction on m .

If $m = 0$ then $H_i(\underline{x}, M) = 0$ for $i > n$ and by (11.19) $H_n(\underline{x}, M) \cong 0; I \cong \text{Hom}_A(A/I, M)$.

Let $m > 0$ and write $\bar{M} = M/(\underline{y})M$. The exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{y_1} M \rightarrow \bar{M} \rightarrow 0$ induces by (11.21) an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K.(\underline{x}, M) \xrightarrow{y_1} K.(\underline{x}, M) \rightarrow K.(\underline{x}, \bar{M}) \rightarrow 0$ and thus a longexact sequence of homology:

$$H_{i+1}(\underline{x}, M) \xrightarrow{y_1} H_{i+1}(\underline{x}, M) \rightarrow H_{i+1}(\underline{x}, \bar{M}) \rightarrow H_i(\underline{x}, M) \xrightarrow{y_1} H_i(\underline{x}, M)$$

Since $y_1 \in I$ annihilates $H.(\underline{x}, M)$ by (11.14), this longexact sequence breaks up into short exact sequences $0 \rightarrow H_{i+1}(\underline{x}, M) \rightarrow H_{i+1}(\underline{x}, \bar{M}) \rightarrow H_i(\underline{x}, M) \rightarrow 0$. If $i > n - m$ then $i + 1 > n - (m - 1)$, hence $H_{i+1}(\underline{x}, \bar{M}) = 0$ by induction hypothesis, and therefore $H_i(\underline{x}, M) = 0$.

Since $H_{n-m+1}(\underline{x}, M) = 0$, $H_{n-m+1}(\underline{x}, \bar{M}) \cong H_{n-m}(\underline{x}, M)$ and by induction hypothesis $H_{n-m+1}(\underline{x}, \bar{M}) \cong \text{Hom}_A(A/I, \bar{M}/(\underline{y}_2, \dots, \underline{y}_m)\bar{M}) \cong \text{Hom}_A(A/I, M/(\underline{y})M)$.

(11.26) Theorem: Let A be a Noetherian ring, M a finitely generated A -module, $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_n \in A$, $I = (\underline{x})$, and $g = \text{grade}(I, M) = \text{depth}_I M$.

(a) $K.(\underline{x}, M)$ is exact if and only if $M = IM$.

(b) If $K.(\underline{x}, M)$ is not exact, then $\max \{i \mid H_i(\underline{x}, M) \neq 0\} = n - g$.

Proof: (a) " \Rightarrow ": clear since $M/IM = H_0(\underline{x}, M)$. " \Leftarrow ": By (11.14) $\text{Supp}_A(H.(\underline{x}, M)) \subseteq V(I) \cap \text{Supp}(M) \stackrel{(*)}{=} \text{Supp}(M/IM) = \emptyset$, where $(*)$ follows by Nakayama's Lemma.

(b) If $K.(\underline{x}, M)$ is not exact, by (a) $IM \neq M$ and $g < \infty$. By (11.25) $H_i(\underline{x}, M) = 0$ for $i > n - g$ and $H_{n-g}(\underline{x}, M) \cong \text{Ext}_A^g(A/I, M)$ and $\text{Ext}_A^g(A/I, M) \neq 0$ by (8.16).

(11.27) Lemma: Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be a local Noetherian ring, M a finitely generated A -module, and $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathfrak{m}$. If $H_s(\underline{x}, M) = 0$, then $H_i(x_1, \dots, x_j, M) = 0$ for all $i \geq s$, $j \leq n$.

Proof: By induction on n . The case $n=0$ is trivial. For $n>0$ write $\underline{x}' = x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}$ and consider the long exact sequence of homology from (11.23)(a):

$$\dots \rightarrow H_i(\underline{x}', M) \rightarrow H_i(\underline{x}, M) \rightarrow H_{i-1}(\underline{x}', M) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow H_s(\underline{x}', M) \xrightarrow{\pm x_n} H_s(\underline{x}, M) \rightarrow H_{s-1}(\underline{x}', M) \rightarrow \dots$$

If $H_s(\underline{x}, M) = 0$ then $H_s(\underline{x}', M) = x_n H_s(\underline{x}', M)$ and $H_s(\underline{x}', M) = 0$ by Nakayama's Lemma since $x_n \in \mathfrak{m}$. By induction hypothesis, $H_i(x_1, \dots, x_j, M) = 0$ for $i \geq s, j \leq n-1$. Since $H_i(\underline{x}', M) = 0$ for $i \geq s$, the above long exact sequence yields $H_i(\underline{x}, M) = 0$ for $i > s$.

(11.28) Corollary: (Rigidity) Let A be a Noetherian ring, M a finitely generated A -module, and $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_n \in A$. If $H_s(\underline{x}, M) = 0$ then $H_i(\underline{x}, M) = 0$ for all $i \geq s$.

Proof: Let $I = (\underline{x})$. Since $\text{Supp}_A(H_i(\underline{x}, M)) \subseteq V(I)$, we may localize at $\mathfrak{p} \in V(I)$ to assume that (A, \mathfrak{m}) is local with $\underline{x} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$. Use (11.27).

(11.29) Corollary: Let A be a Noetherian ring, M a finitely generated A -module, $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_n \in A$, $I = (\underline{x})$, and assume that $IM \neq M$. The following are equivalent:

- (a) \underline{x} is M -quasi regular
- (b) $K(\underline{x}, M)$ is acyclic
- (c) $H_1(\underline{x}, M) = 0$
- (d) $\text{grade}(I, M) = n$.

Proof: By (8.10) (and homework) (a) \Leftrightarrow (a'): \underline{x} is $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -regular for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}_A(M/IM)$.

By (11.16) (b) \Leftrightarrow (b'): $K(\underline{x}, M_{\mathfrak{p}})$ is acyclic for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}_A(M/IM)$.

(a') \Rightarrow (b'): by (11.24)(a)

(b') \Rightarrow (a'): We may assume that (A, \mathfrak{m}) is local with $\underline{x} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$. By (11.27) $H_1(x_1, \dots, x_j, M) = 0$ for $j \leq n$. Thus for all $1 \leq j \leq n$ the sequence

$$H_1(x_1, \dots, x_j, M) = 0 \rightarrow H_0(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, M) = M/(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1})M \xrightarrow{\pm x_j} H_0(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, M) = M/(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1})M$$

is exact by (11.23). Thus \underline{x} is M -regular

(b) \Leftrightarrow (c): (11.28) and (b) \Leftrightarrow (d) (11.26)(b).

(11.30) Theorem: Let A be a local Noetherian ring and $M \neq 0$ a finitely generated A -module of finite injective dimension. Then $\dim M \leq \text{injdim } M = \text{depth } A$.

Proof: $\dim M \leq \text{injdim } M$. Let $d = \dim M$ and let $P_0 \subsetneq P_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq P_d$ be a chain of primes in $\text{Supp}(M)$. We want to show by induction on i that $\mu_i(P_i, M) \neq 0$. Then $\mu_d(P_d, M) \neq 0$ and $\text{injdim } M \geq d$. For $i=0$, $P_0 A_{P_0}$ is minimal in $\text{Supp}(M_{P_0})$. Thus $P_0 A_{P_0}$ is an associated prime of M_{P_0} , hence $\text{Hom}_{A_{P_0}}(k(P_0), M_{P_0}) \neq 0$ and $\mu_0(P_0, M) \neq 0$. Suppose $0 \leq i < d$ and $\mu_i(P_i, M) \neq 0$. If $\mu_{i+1}(P_{i+1}, M) = 0$, then $\text{Ext}_{A_{P_{i+1}}}^{i+1}(k(P_{i+1}), M_{P_{i+1}}) = 0$ and by (10.11) $\text{Ext}_{A_{P_{i+1}}}^i(A_{P_{i+1}}/P_i A_{P_{i+1}}, M_{P_{i+1}}) = 0$ since $\dim A_{P_{i+1}}/P_i A_{P_{i+1}} = 1$. Localizing at $P_i A_{P_{i+1}}$ yields $\mu_i(P_i, M) = 0$, a contradiction.

$\text{injdim } M = \text{depth } A$: Let $t = \text{depth } A$ and let $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_t$ be an A -regular sequence.

By (11.24) $K(\underline{x})$ is a free A -resolution of $N = A/(\underline{x})$ of length t . Thus

$\text{Ext}_A^t(N, M) = H^t(\text{Hom}_A(K(\underline{x}), M)) = H^t(\underline{x}, M) \cong H_0(\underline{x}, M) \cong M/(\underline{x})M \neq 0$ by (11.20) and (11.19). Hence $t = \sup \{n \mid \text{Ext}_A^n(N, M) \neq 0\}$. Since $\text{depth } N = 0$, by (10.13) $t = \text{injdim } M$.