

## CHAPTER XII: REGULARITY CRITERIA

### §1: AN EXTENSION OF THEOREM (5.4)

Recall the following theorem from Chapter V:

Theorem: (5.4) Let  $k$  be a field,  $S = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  the polynomial ring over  $k$ , and  $I, P \subseteq S$  ideals with  $P$  a prime ideal and  $I \subseteq P$ . Set  $R = S_P$ ,  $PR = M$ ,  $A = R/IR$ ,  $MA = m$ ,  $R/M = A/m = K$ . Suppose that  $\text{ht } I = r$  and

$IR = (f_1, \dots, f_t)$  with  $f_1, \dots, f_t \in S$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a)  $\text{rank}(\partial f_i / \partial x_j \text{ mod } P) = r$
- (b)  $A$  is smooth over  $k$
- (c) The module of differentials  $\Omega_{A/k}$  is a free  $A$ -module of rank  $n-r$ .
- (d)  $A$  is a domain, its field of fractions  $Q(A)$  is separable over  $k$ , and the module of differentials  $\Omega_{A/k}$  is a free  $A$ -module.

(12.1) Theorem: Assumptions as in Theorem (5.4). Then conditions (a)-(d) are equivalent to:

- (e)  $A$  is  $m$ -smooth over  $k$ .

Proof: Obviously, (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (e). We want to show (e)  $\Rightarrow$  (a). By (8.33)  $A$  is geometrically regular over  $k$ , in particular,  $A$  is a regular local ring.

Then  $IR$  is generated by part of a regular system of parameters of  $R$ , say  $IR = (f_1, \dots, f_r)$  and  $f_i + M^2, \dots, f_r + M^2 \in M/M^2$  are linearly independent over  $K = R/M$ .

Let  $P \subseteq k$  be a prime field. Then  $K$  is separable (= smooth) over  $P$  and by (2.15) the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M/M^2 \rightarrow \Omega_{R \otimes_R K} \rightarrow \Omega_K \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. Note that

$$\Omega_S = (\Omega_k \otimes_k S) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^n S dx_i$$

and let  $F = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n S dx_i$ . Then

$$\Omega_R = (\Omega_k \otimes_k R) \oplus (F \otimes_S R) \text{ and } \Omega_{R \otimes_R K} = (\Omega_k \otimes_k K) \oplus (F \otimes_S K).$$

By (1.12) there is an exact sequence

$$\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{I}^2 R \rightarrow \Omega_{R \otimes_R A} \rightarrow \Omega_A \rightarrow 0.$$

Tensoring with  $k$  over  $A$  yields an exact sequence

$$(\mathbb{I}/\mathbb{I}^2) \otimes_A K \xrightarrow{\delta} \Omega_{R \otimes_R K} \rightarrow \Omega_A \otimes_A K \rightarrow 0$$

where  $\delta$  is induced by the universal derivation  $d: R \rightarrow \Omega_R$ . Since  $R$  is the localization of a polynomial ring,  $R$  is smooth over  $k$  and  $k$  is smooth over its prime field  $P$ . Thus  $R$  is smooth over  $P$  and by (9.13)

$\delta$  is injective. We have an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{I}/\mathbb{I}^2 \otimes_A K \xrightarrow{\delta} (\Omega_k \otimes_k K) \oplus (F \otimes_S K) \xrightarrow{\mu} \Omega_A \otimes_A K \rightarrow 0.$$

Note that  $\mu: \Omega_k \otimes_k K \rightarrow \Omega_A \otimes_A K$  is the natural map. Since  $A$  is  $m$ -smooth by (8.30) the natural map  $\Omega_k \otimes_k K \rightarrow \Omega_{R \otimes_R K}$  is injective. Thus the composition of maps:

$$\sigma = \pi \delta: \mathbb{I}/\mathbb{I}^2 \otimes_A K \xrightarrow{\delta} (\Omega_k \otimes_k K) \oplus (F \otimes_S K) \xrightarrow{\pi} F \otimes_S K$$

is injective where  $\pi$  is the projection. Note that  $\sigma(f_i) = \sum_{j=1}^n \partial f_i / \partial x_j dx_j$  and the subspace  $\text{im}(\sigma) = \langle \sigma(f_1), \dots, \sigma(f_r) \rangle$  of  $F \otimes_S K$  has dimension  $r$ .

This implies that  $\text{rank}(\partial f_i / \partial x_j \text{ mod } P) = r$ .

(12.2) Corollary: Let  $(R, m, \ell)$  be a local ring which is essentially of finite type over a field  $k$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- $R$  is smooth over  $k$ .
- $R$  is  $m$ -smooth over  $k$ .

(12.3) Remark: Assumptions as in Theorem (12.1). Suppose in addition

that  $k$  is a field of characteristic 0. Then by (8.33)

$A$  is  $m$ -smooth over  $k \iff A$  is geometrically regular over  $k$

$\iff A$  is a regular local ring (see (8.32)).

Hence in the characteristic 0 case Theorem (12.1) is a regularity criterion for affine rings. The characteristic  $p > 0$  case is more complicated since regularity does not imply smoothness. For example, if  $k \subset L$  is an algebraic inseparable field extension, then  $L$  is a regular local ring, but  $L$  is not smooth over  $k$ . In the next section we will modify Theorem (12.1) to obtain a regularity criterion for affine rings containing a field of characteristic  $p > 0$ .

## §2: A REGULARITY CRITERION FOR AFFINE RINGS

(12.4) Definition: Let  $R$  be a ring,  $P \subseteq R$  a prime ideal, and  $D_1, \dots, D_s \in \text{Der}(R)$  derivations from  $R$  to  $R$ . If  $f_1, \dots, f_t \in R$  let

$$J(f_1, \dots, f_t; D_1, \dots, D_s)(P) = (D_i f_j \bmod P)_{\substack{j=1, \dots, t; \\ i=1, \dots, s}}$$

denote the  $t \times s$  Jacobian matrix with entries in  $R/P$ .

(12.5) Remark: Let  $C$  be a  $t \times s$ -matrix with entries in a ring  $R$  and  $P \subseteq R$  a prime ideal of  $R$ . The rank of  $C$  modulo  $P$  is the rank of  $C$  in the quotient field  $Q(R/P)$ . Note that  $\text{rank } C = r$  modulo  $P$  if and only if there is an  $r \times r$ -minor  $\tilde{C}$  of  $C$  with  $\det \tilde{C} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{P}$  and the determinants of all  $(r+1) \times (r+1)$  minors of  $C$  are 0 modulo  $P$ .

(12.6) Proposition: Let  $R$  be a regular local ring,  $P \subseteq R$  a prime ideal, and  $I \subseteq R$  an ideal with  $I \subseteq P$ . Suppose that  $\text{ht } IR_P = r$ . Then:

(a) For all  $s, t \in \mathbb{N}$  and all  $D_1, \dots, D_s \in \text{Der}(R)$ ;  $f_1, \dots, f_t \in I$

$$\text{rank } J(f_1, \dots, f_t; D_1, \dots, D_s)(P) \leq r.$$

(b) If there are  $D_1, \dots, D_r \in \text{Der}(R)$  and  $f_1, \dots, f_r \in I$  so that  $\det(D_i f_j) \notin P$  then  $IR_P = (f_1, \dots, f_r)R_P$  and the ring  $(R/I)_P$  is regular.

Proof: (a) Let  $Q \subseteq R$  be a prime ideal with  $I \subseteq Q \subseteq P$  and  $\text{ht } Q = r$ . Then

$$\text{rank } J(f_1, \dots, f_t; D_1, \dots, D_s)(P) \leq \text{rank } J(f_1, \dots, f_t; D_1, \dots, D_s)(Q).$$

Set  $m = QR_Q$  and note that  $(R_Q, m)$  is a regular local ring of dimension  $r$ .

Suppose  $m = (g_1, \dots, g_r)R_Q = QR_Q$  with  $g_i \in Q$ . Then for all  $1 \leq j \leq t$ :

$$f_j = \sum_{e=1}^r a_{ej} g_e \quad \text{where } a_{ej} \in R_Q \quad \text{and thus}$$

$$D_i f_j \equiv \sum_{e=1}^r a_{ej} (D_i g_e) \pmod{QR_Q} \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i \leq s.$$

This shows that

$$\text{rank } J(f_1, \dots, f_t; D_1, \dots, D_s)(Q) \leq \text{rank } J(g_1, \dots, g_r; D_1, \dots, D_s)(Q) \leq r.$$

(b) Let  $M = \mathcal{P}R_p$  and  $K = R_p/M = (R_p/M)_p = k(P)$ . Consider the  $K$ -linear map:  $D: M/M^2 \rightarrow K^r$  defined by  $D(h+M^2) = (D_1 h + M, \dots, D_r h + M)$ . If  $\det(D_i f_j) \notin M$ , then  $D(f_1), \dots, D(f_r)$  are linearly independent in  $K^r$ . Thus  $f_1 + M^2, \dots, f_r + M^2$  are linearly independent in  $M/M^2$  and  $f_1, \dots, f_r$  are part of a regular system of parameters in  $R_p$ . Therefore  $Q = (f_1, \dots, f_r)R_p$  is a prime ideal of height  $r$  in  $R_p$  with  $R_p/Q$  a regular local ring. Since  $Q \subseteq \mathcal{I}R_p$  and  $\text{ht } \mathcal{I}R_p = r$ , it follows that  $Q = \mathcal{I}R_p$ .

Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic  $p > 0$ ,  $F \subseteq k$  the prime field contained in  $k$ , and  $\{u_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  a  $p$ -basis of  $k$  over  $F$ . For all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  let  $\tilde{d}_\gamma$  denote the map  $\tilde{d}_\gamma: \{u_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \rightarrow k$  defined by  $\tilde{d}_\gamma(u_{\gamma'}) = \delta_{\gamma\gamma'}$ .  $\tilde{d}_\gamma$  extends uniquely to a derivation  $d_\gamma: k \rightarrow k$ .

Let  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  be variables over  $k$  and  $S = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  the polynomial ring over  $k$ . Then each  $d_\gamma$  extends to a derivation  $D_\gamma: S \rightarrow S$  by  $D_\gamma(\sum_{(i)} a_{(i)} x_1^{i_1} \dots x_n^{i_n}) = \sum_{(i)} d_\gamma(a_{(i)}) x_1^{i_1} \dots x_n^{i_n}$  where  $a_{(i)} \in k$ .

(12.7) Theorem: (Zariski) Assumptions as above. Let  $\mathcal{P} \subseteq S$  be a prime ideal and  $\mathcal{I} \subseteq S$  an ideal with  $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ . Set  $R = S_{\mathcal{P}}$  and  $A = R/\mathcal{I}R$  and suppose that  $\text{ht } \mathcal{I}R = r$  and that  $f_1, \dots, f_t \in S$  with  $\mathcal{I} = (f_1, \dots, f_t)R$ . The following conditions are equivalent:

(a)  $A$  is a regular local ring.

(b) There are elements  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m \in \Gamma$  so that

$$\text{rank } \mathcal{J}(f_1, \dots, f_t; D_{\gamma_1}, \dots, D_{\gamma_m}, \partial/\partial x_1, \dots, \partial/\partial x_n)(P) = r.$$

(c) There is a subfield  $\ell \subseteq k$  with  $k^p \subseteq \ell$  and  $[k:\ell] < \infty$  so that  $\mathcal{O}_{A/\ell}$  is a free  $A$ -module with  $\text{rank } \mathcal{O}_{A/\ell} = n - r + \text{rank } \mathcal{O}_{k/\ell}$ .

Proof: First note that  $\mathcal{O}_S = (\mathcal{O}_k \otimes_k S) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^n S dx_i$  where  $d: S \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S$  is the universal derivation. Let  $d_k: k \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_k$  denote the universal derivation

of  $k$ . Then  $\Omega_k$  has basis  $\{d_k u_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ . Hence  $\Omega_S$  is a free  $S$ -module with basis  $\{d u_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \cup \{dx_1, \dots, dx_n\}$ . For all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  let  $f_\gamma: \Omega_S \rightarrow S$  denote the  $S$ -linear map defined by  $f_\gamma(d u_\gamma) = \delta_{\gamma\gamma}$  and  $f_\gamma(dx_i) = 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Obviously,  $D_\gamma = f_\gamma d: S \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_S \xrightarrow{f_\gamma} S$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a): Apply (12.6) to  $r \times r$ -minor of rank  $r$  of

$$J(f_1, \dots, f_r; D_{\gamma_1}, \dots, D_{\gamma_m}, \partial/\partial x_1, \dots, \partial/\partial x_n)(P).$$

(a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b): If  $A$  is a regular local ring, then the ideal  $\mathcal{I}R$  is generated by part of a regular system of parameters of  $R$ , say  $\mathcal{I}R = (f_1, \dots, f_r)R$ . (Note that we can choose  $f_1, \dots, f_r \in S$ .) Moreover,  $\mathcal{I}R/\mathcal{I}^2R$  is a free  $\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{I}R = A$ -module of rank  $r$ .

Put  $M = PR$ ,  $\mathfrak{m} = M/\mathcal{I}R \subseteq A$ , and  $K = \mathcal{R}/M = A/\mathfrak{m}$  and let  $F$  denote the prime field contained in  $k$ . Since  $F$  is perfect and  $A$  regular,  $A$  is geometrically regular over  $F$ . Thus  $A$  is  $\mathfrak{m}$ -smooth over  $F$ . Moreover, since  $S$  is smooth over  $k$ ,  $S$  is smooth over  $F$  and so is its localization  $R$ .

Thus by (9.13) the sequence:

$$(*) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}R/\mathcal{I}^2R \otimes_R K \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_R \otimes_R K \rightarrow \Omega_A \otimes_R K \rightarrow 0$$

is exact where  $d$  is induced by the universal derivation  $d_R: R \rightarrow \Omega_R$ .

We use again that

$$\Omega_R \otimes_R K = \Omega_S \otimes_S K \oplus (\Omega_k \otimes_k K) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^n K dx_i$$

and write for all  $1 \leq i \leq r$ :

$$f_i = \sum_{(j)} a_{ij}(j) x_1^{j_1} \dots x_n^{j_n}$$

where  $a_{ij}(j) \in k$ . Every  $a_{ij}(j)$  can be written as

$$a_{ij}(j) = \sum_{(\gamma)} b_{ij}(j)(\gamma) u_{\gamma_1}^{j_1} \dots u_{\gamma_m}^{j_m}$$

where  $b_{ij}(j)(\gamma) \in k^p$ ,  $\gamma_i \in \Gamma$ , and  $0 \leq j_\ell < p$  for  $1 \leq \ell \leq m$ . (Note that we do not assume that  $\Gamma$  is countable!) This implies

$$(**) \quad d_R f_i = \sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij} u_{\gamma_j} d u_{\gamma_j} + \sum_{s=1}^n \partial f_i / \partial x_s dx_s$$

where  $a_{ij} = D_{\gamma_j} f_i \in R$ . Since  $(*)$  is exact,  $df_1, \dots, df_r$  are linearly independent in  $\Omega_R \otimes_R K$  implying that the  $r$  rows

$$(a_{ij}, \dots, a_{jm}, \partial f_i/\partial x_1, \dots, \partial f_i/\partial x_n) \quad 1 \leq i \leq r$$

are linearly independent in  $K^{m+n}$ . Hence the  $r$  rows

$$(D_{y_1} f_i, \dots, D_{y_m} f_i, \partial f_i/\partial x_1, \dots, \partial f_i/\partial x_n)$$

are linearly independent modulo  $M$  and (b) follows.

(a)  $\Rightarrow$  (c): Assume

$$(**) \quad df_i = \sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij} du_{y_j} + \sum_{s=1}^n \partial f_i/\partial x_s dx_s$$

with  $a_{ij} = D_{y_j} f_i \in R$  as before and set

$$L = k^p(u_\sigma)_{\sigma \in \Pi - \{y_1, \dots, y_m\}}.$$

Then  $[k:L] < \infty$  and  $\{u_{y_1}, \dots, u_{y_m}\}$  is a  $p$ -basis of  $k$  over  $L$ . In particular,

$\Omega_{k/L}$  is a  $k$ -vector space of dimension  $m$  with basis  $du_{y_1}, \dots, du_{y_m}$ .

Since  $\Omega_{R/L} \otimes_R K = (\Omega_{k/L} \otimes_R K) \oplus \bigoplus_{s=1}^n K dx_s$  the proof of (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) yields that the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{I}^2\mathbb{R}) \otimes_R K \rightarrow \Omega_{R/L} \otimes_R K \rightarrow \Omega_{A/L} \otimes_R K \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. Moreover,  $\Omega_{R/L} \otimes_R K$  is a  $K$ -vector space of dimension  $n+m$ .

In the exact sequence

$$\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{I}^2\mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{d^*} \Omega_{R/L} \otimes_R A \rightarrow \Omega_{A/L} \rightarrow 0$$

$\Omega_{R/L} \otimes_R A$  is a finite free  $A$ -module of rank  $n+m$  with basis

$du_{y_1}, \dots, du_{y_m}, dx_1, \dots, dx_n$ . By (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b)  $d^*f_1, \dots, d^*f_r \in \Omega_{R/L} \otimes_R A$  are linearly independent modulo  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Thus by Nakayama  $d^*f_1, \dots, d^*f_r$  are part of a basis of  $\Omega_{R/L} \otimes_R A$ . This implies that the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{I}^2\mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{d^*} \Omega_{R/L} \otimes_R A \rightarrow \Omega_{A/L} \rightarrow 0$$

is split exact.  $\Omega_{A/L}$  is a free  $A$ -module with

$$\text{rank } \Omega_{A/L} = \text{rank}(\Omega_{R/L} \otimes_R A) - r = n+m-r = n-r + \text{rank } \Omega_{k/L}.$$

(c)  $\Rightarrow$  (a): Consider the exact sequence

$$\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{I}^2\mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{d^*} \Omega_{R/L} \otimes_R A \rightarrow \Omega_{A/L} \rightarrow 0$$

where  $\Omega_{R/L} \otimes_R A$  and  $\Omega_{A/L}$  are free  $A$ -modules with

$$\text{rank } \Omega_{R/L} \otimes_R A - \text{rank } \Omega_{A/L} = r.$$

Thus  $\text{im}(d^*)$  is a direct summand of  $\Omega_{R/L} \otimes_R A$ , more precisely,  $\text{im}(d^*)$  is

a free  $A$ -module of rank  $r$ . Let  $f_1, \dots, f_r \in \mathbb{I}R$  (and  $f_1, \dots, f_r \in S$ ) with  $\text{im}(d^*) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r A d^* f_i$ . Since  $d^* f_1, \dots, d^* f_r$  can be extended to a basis of  $\Omega_{R/E} \otimes_R A$ , by Nakayama the images  $df_1, \dots, df_r \in \Omega_{R/E}$  can be extended to a basis of  $\Omega_{R/E}$ .

Since  $\Omega_{R/E}$  is a free  $R$ -module of rank  $n+m$  with  $m = \text{rank } \Omega_{k/E}$ ,  $\text{Der}_E(R) \cong \text{Hom}_R(\Omega_{R/E}, R) = \Omega_{R/E}$  is a free  $R$ -module of rank  $n+m$ . Let  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{n+m}$  be a basis of  $\Omega_{R/E}$  and let  $h_i: \Omega_{R/E} \rightarrow R$  be the  $R$ -linear map defined by  $h_i(\omega_j) = \delta_{ij}$ . Each  $h_i$  corresponds to the  $E$ -derivation  $D_i = h_i \circ d: R \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_{R/E} \xrightarrow{h_i} R$ . Then  $D_1, \dots, D_{n+m}$  is a basis of  $\text{Der}_E(R)$ . Consider the  $R$ -linear isomorphism

$$\sigma: \Omega_{R/E} \xrightarrow{\cong} R^{n+m}$$

defined by  $\sigma(\omega) = (h_1(\omega), \dots, h_{n+m}(\omega))$ . Since  $df_1, \dots, df_r$  are part of a basis of  $\Omega_{R/E}$ ,  $\sigma(df_1), \dots, \sigma(df_r)$  are part of a basis of  $R^{n+m}$  where

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(df_i) &= (h_1(df_i), \dots, h_{n+m}(df_i)) \\ &= (D_1 f_i, \dots, D_{n+m} f_i). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the rows

$$(D_1 f_{i+M}, \dots, D_{n+m} f_{i+M})$$

⋮

$$(D_1 f_{r+M}, \dots, D_{n+m} f_{r+M})$$

are linearly independent in  $K^{n+m}$  and there is an  $r \times r$ -minor of the matrix  $(D_i f_j + M)_{i=1, \dots, n+m; j=1, \dots, r}$ , say  $(D_i f_j + M)_{i,j=1, \dots, r}$ , so that

$$\det (D_i f_j + M)_{i,j=1, \dots, r} \neq 0.$$

Therefore  $\det (D_i f_j)_{i,j=1, \dots, r} \notin M$  and by (12.6) the ring  $R/\mathbb{I}R = A$  is regular with  $\mathbb{I}R = (f_1, \dots, f_r)R$ .

Let  $k$  be a field,  $S = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  the polynomial ring and  $I \subseteq S$  an ideal.

Set  $B = S/I$  and consider the following subsets of  $\text{Spec}(B)$ :

$$U = \{P \in \text{Spec}(B) \mid B_P \text{ is } 0\text{-smooth over } k\} \text{ and } \text{Reg}(B) = \{P \in \text{Spec}(B) \mid B_P \text{ is a RLR}\}.$$



(12.8) Corollary: Assumptions as above. Then  $U$  and  $\text{Reg}(B)$  are open in  $\text{Spec}(B)$ .

Proof: For every prime ideal  $P \subseteq S$  with  $I \subseteq P$  we want to apply the Jacobian criteria of (5.4) and (12.7) to the ring  $B_P$ . For different prime ideals  $P, Q \subseteq S$  with  $I \subseteq P$  and  $I \subseteq Q$  it may happen that  $\text{lt}(IS_P) \neq \text{lt}(IS_Q)$ . We first reduce to open subsets of  $\text{Spec}(B)$  which contain exactly one minimal prime of  $B$ .

Let  $\text{Spec}(B) = V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_h$  with  $V_i$  the irreducible components of  $\text{Spec}(B)$ . For all  $1 \leq i \leq h$ ,  $V_i = V(q_i)$  where  $q_i \subseteq B$  is a minimal prime of  $B$ . For all  $P \in V_i \cap V_j$  with  $i \neq j$ , the ring  $B_P$  has at least two different minimal prime ideals and  $P \notin \text{Reg}(B)$ . (Notice that  $U \subseteq \text{Reg}(B)$ .) Let  $W = \bigcup_{i \neq j} (V_i \cap V_j)$ , then  $U \subseteq \text{Reg}(B) \subseteq \text{Spec}(B) - W$  and  $\text{Spec}(B) - W$  is open in  $\text{Spec}(B)$ . Moreover,

$$\text{Spec}(B) - W = \bigcup_{i=1}^h V_i \cap (\text{Spec}(B) - W),$$

that is,  $\text{Spec}(B) - W$  is the disjoint union of the open subsets  $V_i \cap (\text{Spec}(B) - W)$ . Hence it suffices to show: For all  $1 \leq i \leq h$  the sets  $[V_i \cap (\text{Spec}(B) - W)] - U$  and  $[V_i \cap (\text{Spec}(B) - W)] - \text{Reg}(B)$  are closed in  $V_i \cap (\text{Spec}(B) - W)$ .

Fix an  $i \in \{1, \dots, h\}$  and assume that  $\dim V_i = n - r = \dim(B/q_i)$  where  $q_i \subseteq B$  is a minimal prime ideal. If  $P \in V_i \cap (\text{Spec}(B) - W)$  is a prime ideal and  $P' \subseteq S$  its contraction to  $S$  then  $\text{lt} IS_{P'} = r$ . Suppose that  $I = (f_1, \dots, f_t) \subseteq S$ .

For  $U$ : Let  $M_1, \dots, M_\lambda$  denote the  $r \times r$ -minors of the Jacobian matrix  $(\partial f_i / \partial x_j)_{i=1, \dots, t; j=1, \dots, n}$ . Then by (5.4):

$$[V_i \cap (\text{Spec}(B) - W)] - U = V(\det M_1 + I, \dots, \det M_\lambda + I) \cap (\text{Spec}(B) - W) \cap V_i$$

and  $[V_i \cap (\text{Spec}(B) - W)] - U$  is closed in  $V_i \cap (\text{Spec}(B) - W)$ .

For  $\text{Reg}(B)$ : Let  $\{u_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  be a  $p$ -basis of  $k$  over the prime field  $F$  and let for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$   $D_\gamma: S \rightarrow S$  denote the derivations extended from the

maps  $\tilde{d}_\gamma: \{u_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Pi} \rightarrow k$  defined by  $\tilde{d}_\gamma(u_\gamma) = \delta_{\gamma\gamma}$ , as in the section before Theorem (12.7). For all finite subsets  $\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m\} \subseteq \Pi$  (and all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ !) consider the Jacobian matrices

$$(*) \quad J(f_1, \dots, f_t; D_{\gamma_1}, \dots, D_{\gamma_m}, \partial/\partial x_1, \dots, \partial/\partial x_n).$$

Let  $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$  be the ideal generated by the determinants of all  $r \times r$ -minors of all matrices of type  $(*)$  (modulo  $\mathcal{I}$ ). Then

$$[V_i \cap (\text{Spec}(\mathcal{B}) - W)] \cap \text{Reg}(\mathcal{B}) = V(\mathcal{J}) \cap [V_i \cap (\text{Spec}(\mathcal{B}) - W)]$$

and  $[V_i \cap (\text{Spec}(\mathcal{B}) - W)] \cap \text{Reg}(\mathcal{B})$  is closed in  $[V_i \cap (\text{Spec}(\mathcal{B}) - W)]$ .

§3: WEAK JACOBIAN CRITERIA

Recall: Let  $R$  be an integral domain,  $K = Q(R)$  its field of fractions, and  $M$  an  $R$ -module. The rank of  $M$  over  $R$ ,  $\text{rank}_R M$ , is the dimension of the  $K$ -vector space  $M \otimes_R K$ .

(12.9) Lemma: Let  $R$  be an integral domain and  $k \subseteq R$  a subring of  $R$ . If the  $k$ -derivations  $D_1, \dots, D_n \in \text{Der}_k(R)$  are linearly independent over  $R$ , then there are elements  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$  with  $\det(D_i(a_j))_{i,j=1, \dots, n} \neq 0$ .

Proof: Let  $K = Q(R)$  be the quotient field of  $R$ . Every  $k$ -derivation  $D \in \text{Der}_k(R)$  extends uniquely to a  $k$ -derivation of  $\text{Der}_k(K)$  also denoted by  $D$ . Thus there is an embedding of  $R$ -modules  $\text{Der}_k(R) \subseteq \text{Der}_k(K)$  and the derivations  $D_1, \dots, D_n$  are linearly independent in the  $K$ -vector space  $\text{Der}_k(K)$ . Consider the map  $d: K \rightarrow K^n$  defined by  $d(a) = (D_1(a), \dots, D_n(a))$  and let  $V = (\text{im } d)K \subseteq K^n$ . First we want to show that  $V = K^n$ . Let  $p_i: K^n \rightarrow K$  denote the projection on the  $i$ -th component. Then  $p_i d = D_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . If  $\dim V \leq n-1$ , then the  $K$ -linear maps  $p_1|_V, \dots, p_n|_V$  are linearly dependent in  $\text{Hom}_K(V, K) \cong V$  and there are elements  $b_i \in R$ , not all  $b_i = 0$ , with  $\sum_{i=1}^n b_i p_i|_V = 0$ . Then  $(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i p_i|_V) d = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i D_i = 0$ , a contradiction since  $D_1, \dots, D_n$  linearly independent in  $\text{Der}_k(R)$ .

Hence  $V = K^n$  and there are elements  $c_1, \dots, c_n \in K$  so that the rows  $(D_1(c_1), \dots, D_n(c_1)), \dots, (D_1(c_n), \dots, D_n(c_n))$  form a basis of  $K^n$ . Let  $t, b_1, \dots, b_n \in R$  with  $t \neq 0$  and  $c_i = b_i/t$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Then  $D_i(c_j) = D_i(b_j/t) = 1/t^2 (t D_i(b_j) - b_j D_i(t))$  and the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} t D_1(b_1) - b_1 D_1(t) & \dots & t D_n(b_1) - b_1 D_n(t) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ t D_1(b_n) - b_n D_1(t) & \dots & t D_n(b_n) - b_n D_n(t) \end{bmatrix}$$

has rank  $n$ . Since  $t \neq 0$ , the rank of the  $(n+1) \times n$  matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} D_1(b_1) & \dots & D_n(b_1) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ D_1(b_n) & \dots & D_n(b_n) \\ D_1(t) & \dots & D_n(t) \end{bmatrix}$$

is also  $n$  and there are elements  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$  with  $\det(D_i(a_j)) \neq 0$ .

(12.10) Lemma: Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a local Noetherian ring,  $k \subseteq R$  a subring, and  $D \in \text{Der}_k(R)$  a  $k$ -derivation of  $R$ .  $D$  extends uniquely to a  $k$ -derivation  $\tilde{D} \in \text{Der}_k(\hat{R})$  of the completion  $\hat{R}$  of  $R$ .

Proof: For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $a \in \mathfrak{m}^n$ , it holds that  $D(a) \in \mathfrak{m}^{n-1}$ . Let  $\hat{y} \in \hat{R}$  with  $\hat{y} = \lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} y_n$  where  $y_n \in R$  and  $y_n - y_{n+k} \in \mathfrak{m}^n$  for all  $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $D(y_n - y_{n+k}) = D(y_n) - D(y_{n+k}) \in \mathfrak{m}^{n-1}$  and  $\lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} D(y_n) \in \hat{R}$  is well defined. Define  $\tilde{D}(\hat{y}) = \lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} D(y_n)$  and verify that  $\tilde{D}$  is a  $k$ -derivation of  $\hat{R}$ .

Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  be a regular local ring of dimension  $n$  which contains a coefficient field and let  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  be a regular system of parameters of  $R$ . The completion  $\hat{R} \cong k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$  of  $R$  is isomorphic to the formal power series ring in  $n$  variables over  $k$ . In the following we assume that  $k \subseteq R$  and denote by  $\partial/\partial x_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , the partial derivations of  $k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$  and the corresponding derivations of  $\hat{R}$ .

(12.11) Theorem: Assumptions as above. Then:

(a)  $\text{Der}_k(\hat{R})$  is a free  $\hat{R}$ -module with basis  $\partial/\partial x_1, \dots, \partial/\partial x_n$ .

(b) The following conditions are equivalent:

(α)  $\partial/\partial x_i \in \text{Der}_k(R)$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , that is, for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$   $\partial/\partial x_i|_R$  is a  $k$ -derivation from  $R$  into  $R$ .

- ( $\beta$ ) There are elements  $D_1, \dots, D_n \in \text{Der}_k(R)$  and  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$  so that  $D_i a_j = \delta_{ij}$ .
- ( $\gamma$ ) There are elements  $D_1, \dots, D_n \in \text{Der}_k(R)$  and  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$  so that  $\det(D_i a_j)_{i,j=1, \dots, n} \notin \mathfrak{m}$ .
- ( $\delta$ )  $\text{Der}_k(R)$  is a free  $R$ -module of rank  $n$ .
- ( $\epsilon$ )  $\text{rank}_R \text{Der}_k(R) = n$ .

Proof: (a) Identify  $\hat{R} = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  and let  $D \in \text{Der}_k(\hat{R})$ . Set  $Dx_i = y_i$ . Then for all  $f \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$

$$(*) \quad D(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \right) y_i.$$

In order to prove this formula first prove (\*) in the case where  $f$  is a polynomial in  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . In the general case, let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and write

$$f = g_N + \sum_{j=1}^q k_j n_j$$

where  $g_N \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  a polynomial of total degree  $\leq N$ ,  $k_j \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  and  $n_1, \dots, n_q$  the monomials of total degree  $N$ . Then verify that

$$D(f) - \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \right) y_i \in (x_1, \dots, x_n)^{N-1}.$$

(\*) implies that  $\text{Der}_k(\hat{R}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{R} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ . Moreover, let  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \hat{R}$  and  $D = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \in \text{Der}_k(\hat{R})$ . Obviously,  $D=0$  if and only if  $D(x_i) = a_i = 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Thus  $\text{Der}_k(\hat{R})$  is a free  $\hat{R}$ -module with basis  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}$ .

(b) Obviously,  $(\alpha) \Rightarrow (\beta) \Rightarrow (\gamma)$  and  $(\delta) \Rightarrow (\epsilon)$ .

$(\gamma) \Rightarrow (\delta)$ : Let  $D_1, \dots, D_n \in \text{Der}_k(R)$  and  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$  with  $\det(D_i a_j) \notin \mathfrak{m}$ . By Lemma (12.10) the  $k$ -derivations  $D_1, \dots, D_n \in \text{Der}_k(R)$  extend uniquely to  $k$ -derivations of  $\text{Der}_k(\hat{R})$  also denoted by  $D_1, \dots, D_n$ . By Nakayama,  $D_1, \dots, D_n$  are a basis of  $\text{Der}_k(\hat{R})$  if and only if  $D_1 + \mathfrak{m} \text{Der}_k(\hat{R}), \dots, D_n + \mathfrak{m} \text{Der}_k(\hat{R})$  are linearly independent in  $\text{Der}_k(\hat{R})/\mathfrak{m} \text{Der}_k(\hat{R})$ . Let  $y_1, \dots, y_n \in \hat{R}$  with  $\sum_{i=1}^n y_i D_i \in \mathfrak{m} \text{Der}_k(\hat{R})$ . Then  $\sum_{i=1}^n y_i D_i(a_j) \in \mathfrak{m} \hat{R}$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , or equivalently

$$(D_i(a_j)) \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} \equiv 0 \pmod{m \hat{R}^n}.$$

Since  $(D_i(a_j))$  is invertible in  $\hat{R}$  (and  $\hat{R}/m$ ) it follows that  $y_1, \dots, y_n \in m \hat{R}$  and  $D_1, \dots, D_n$  is a basis of  $\text{Der}_k(\hat{R})$ . Since  $\text{Der}_k(R) \subseteq \text{Der}_k(\hat{R})$  by Lemma (12.10), the  $k$ -derivations  $D_1, \dots, D_n \in \text{Der}_k(R)$  are linearly independent over  $R$ . Moreover, for every  $D \in \text{Der}_k(R)$  there are elements  $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \hat{R}$  with  $D = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i D_i$  in  $\text{Der}_k(\hat{R})$ . Then for all  $1 \leq j \leq n$

$$D(a_j) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i D_i(a_j) \quad \text{and}$$

$$(D_i(a_j)) \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} D(a_1) \\ \vdots \\ D(a_n) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since the matrix  $(D_i(a_j))$  is invertible in  $M_{n \times n}(R)$  it follows that  $c_1, \dots, c_n \in R$ .  $D_1, \dots, D_n$  is a basis of  $\text{Der}_k(R)$ .

( $\varepsilon$ )  $\Rightarrow$  ( $\alpha$ ): Since  $\text{rank } \text{Der}_k(R) = n$  there are derivations  $D_1, \dots, D_n \in \text{Der}_k(R)$  which are linearly independent over  $R$ . By Lemma (12.9) there are elements  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$  with  $\det(D_i(a_j))_{i,j=1, \dots, n} \neq 0$  and a similar argument as in ( $\gamma$ )  $\Rightarrow$  ( $\delta$ ) shows that  $D_1, \dots, D_n$  are linearly independent over  $\hat{R}$ . Hence there are elements  $c_{ij} \in Q(\hat{R})$  so that

$$\partial/\partial x_i = \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij} D_j.$$

Thus for all  $1 \leq h \leq n$ :

$$\delta_{ih} = \partial x_h / \partial x_i = \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij} D_j(x_h)$$

and the matrix  $(c_{ij}) \in M_{n \times n}(Q(\hat{R}))$  is inverse to the matrix  $(D_j(x_h)) \in M_{n \times n}(R)$ . This implies that  $c_{ij} \in Q(R)$  for all  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ . Hence for all  $a \in R$   $\partial/\partial x_i(a) \in Q(R) \cap \hat{R} = R$ . This shows ( $\alpha$ ).

(12.12) Proposition: Let  $R$  be a regular ring and  $P \subseteq R$  a prime ideal of height  $r$ . The following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) There are derivations  $D_1, \dots, D_r \in \text{Der}(R)$  and elements  $a_1, \dots, a_r \in P$  so that  $\det(D_i(a_j))_{i,j=1, \dots, r} \notin P$ .
- (b) For all  $Q \in \text{Spec}(R)$  with  $Q \subseteq P$ ,  $\text{ht } Q = s < r$ , and  $(R/Q)_P$  a regular local ring, there are derivations  $D_1, \dots, D_s \in \text{Der}(R)$  and elements  $b_1, \dots, b_s \in Q$  so that  $\det(D_i(b_j))_{i,j=1, \dots, s} \notin P$ .

Proof: Obviously, (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a).

(a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b): Let  $Q \subseteq R$  be a prime ideal with  $Q \subseteq P$ ,  $\text{ht } Q = s < r$ , and  $(R/Q)_P$  a regular local ring. By (a) there are  $a_1, \dots, a_r \in P$  so that  $\det(D_i(a_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq r} \notin P$ .

By (12.6)  $a_1, \dots, a_r$  forms a regular system of parameters of  $PR_P$ . Let  $b_1, \dots, b_s \in Q$  be a minimal system of generators of  $Q \cdot R_P$ , since  $(R/Q)_P$  regular such a system exists. Extend  $b_1, \dots, b_s$  to a regular system of parameters of  $PR_P$ , say  $b_1, \dots, b_s, \dots, b_r \in P$ . Then there are elements  $c_{kj} \in R_P$  so that for all  $1 \leq k \leq r$ :  $a_k = \sum_{j=1}^r c_{kj} b_j$  with  $\det(c_{kj}) \notin PR_P$ . Then for all  $1 \leq i, k \leq r$ :

$$D_i(a_k) \equiv \sum_{j=1}^r c_{kj} D_i(b_j) \pmod{PR_P}$$

and thus

$$(D_i(a_k)) \equiv (c_{kj}) (D_i(b_j)) \pmod{PM_{r \times r}(R_P)}.$$

Therefore  $\det(D_i(b_j)) \notin P$ , in particular,

$$\text{rank } \mathcal{J}(b_1, \dots, b_r, D_1, \dots, D_r)(P) = r.$$

This implies

$$\text{rank } \mathcal{J}(b_1, \dots, b_s, D_1, \dots, D_r)(P) = s$$

which proves (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b).

(12.13) Definition: Let  $R$  be a regular ring and  $P \subseteq R$  a prime ideal. The weak Jacobian condition ( $W\mathcal{J}$ ) holds in  $P$  if condition (a) of Proposition (12.12) is satisfied. We say that  $R$  satisfies the weak Jacobian condition if ( $W\mathcal{J}$ ) holds for every  $P \in \text{Spec}(R)$ .

If  $k \subseteq R$  is a subring we write  $(WJ)_k$  if condition (a) of (12.12) is satisfied for  $P \in \text{Spec}(R)$  and derivations  $D_1, \dots, D_r \in \text{Der}_k(R)$ .

(12.14) Corollary: Let  $R$  be a regular ring which satisfies  $(WJ)$  and let  $P, Q \subseteq R$  be prime ideals with  $Q \subseteq P$  and  $\text{ht } Q = s$ . The following are equivalent:

(a)  $(R/Q)_P$  is a regular local ring.

(b) There are elements  $D_1, \dots, D_s \in \text{Der}(R)$ ,  $b_1, \dots, b_s \in Q$  with  $\det(D_i(b_j)) \notin P$ .

Proof: (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b): By Proposition (12.12)

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a): By Proposition (12.6)



#### §4: REGULARITY CRITERIA FOR COMPLETE LOCAL RINGS R WITH $\mathbb{Q} \in R$

(12.15) Theorem: Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  be an  $n$ -dimensional local Noetherian domain and  $\ell \subseteq R$  a subfield of characteristic 0 with  $\text{trdeg}_{\ell} k = r < \infty$ . Then  $\text{Der}_{\ell}(R)$  is isomorphic to a submodule of  $R^{n+r}$ . In particular,  $\text{Der}_{\ell}(R)$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module with

$$\text{rank } \text{Der}_{\ell}(R) \leq \dim R + \text{trdeg}_{\ell} k.$$

Proof: Let  $u_1, \dots, u_r$  be a transcendence basis of  $k$  over  $\ell$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathfrak{m}$  a system of parameters of  $R$ . Consider the map  $\varphi: \text{Der}_{\ell}(R) \rightarrow R^{n+r}$  defined by  $\varphi(D) = (Du_1, \dots, Du_r, Dx_1, \dots, Dx_n)$ . Obviously  $\varphi$  is  $R$ -linear and it remains to show that  $\varphi$  is injective. Let  $D \in \text{Der}_{\ell}(R)$  with  $\varphi(D) = 0$ , that is,  $Du_i = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r$  and  $Dx_j = 0$  for  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . Extend  $D$  to an  $\ell$ -derivation of the completion  $\widehat{R}$ . By the proof of (8.18)(b) we may assume that the formal power series ring  $S = k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$  is contained in  $\widehat{R}$  and that  $\widehat{R}$  is a finite  $S$ -module. Since  $k$  is separable algebraic over  $\ell(u_1, \dots, u_r)$  and  $Du_i = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r$ , we obtain that  $D|_k = 0$  and hence  $D|_S = 0$ .

Let  $a \in R \subseteq \widehat{R}$ . Then there is a nonzero polynomial of minimal degree  $f(t) \in S[t]$  with  $f(a) = 0$ . Since  $\text{char } k = 0$ ,  $f'(t) \neq 0$  and thus  $f'(a) \neq 0$  since  $\deg f' < \deg f$ . Moreover,  $0 = D(f(a)) = f'(a)D(a)$ . By assumption  $a \in R$ ,  $D(a) \in R$ , and  $R$  a domain, hence every nonzero element of  $R$  is regular in  $\widehat{R}$ . This implies  $D(a) = 0$  for all  $a \in R$ .

(12.16) Theorem: Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  be a regular local ring. Assume that  $R$  contains a coefficient field of characteristic 0 also denoted by  $k (\subseteq R)$ .

Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(a)  $(W\mathfrak{f})_k$  holds for  $\mathfrak{m}$ .

$$(b) \text{ rank}_R \text{Der}_k(R) = \dim R$$

$$(c) (WJ)_k \text{ holds for all } P \in \text{Spec}(R)$$

Furthermore, if these conditions hold, then for every  $P \in \text{Spec}(R)$  every  $k$ -derivation of  $\text{Der}_k(R/P)$  is induced by a  $k$ -derivation of  $\text{Der}_k(R)$  and

$$\text{rank}_R \text{Der}_k(R/P) = \dim R/P.$$

Proof: (a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (b): By (12.15) and (12.9)

(c)  $\Rightarrow$  (a): trivial

(a)  $\Rightarrow$  (c): Let  $\hat{R}$  be the completion of  $R$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in R$  a regular system of parameters. Then  $\hat{R} \cong k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ . Since  $(WJ)_k$  holds at  $m$  in  $R$ , by Theorem (12.11) the partial derivations  $\partial/\partial x_i$  restrict to  $k$ -derivations of  $R$  and form a basis of  $\text{Der}_k(R)$  (see the proof of (12.11)). Let  $P \in R$  be a prime ideal and  $v: R \rightarrow R/P$  the natural map. Note that a  $k$ -derivation  $D' \in \text{Der}_k(R/P)$  is induced by the  $k$ -derivation  $D \in \text{Der}_k(R)$  if the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{D} & R \\ v \downarrow & & \downarrow v \\ R/P & \xrightarrow{D'} & R/P \end{array}$$

commutes. Let  $D' \in \text{Der}_k(R/P)$ . Then  $D'v \in \text{Der}_k(R, R/P)$  and  $D'v$  extends uniquely to a  $k$ -derivation  $D'v \in \text{Der}_k(\hat{R}, \hat{R}/P\hat{R})$ . Thus  $D'v$  is uniquely determined by its values on  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . Let  $b_1, \dots, b_n \in R$  with  $D'v(x_i) = v(b_i) = b_i + P$ . Set  $D = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \partial/\partial x_i \in \text{Der}_k(R)$ . Then  $vD(x_i) = v(b_i) = D'v(x_i)$  and  $D'$  is induced by  $D$ . Moreover,  $\text{Der}_k(R, R/P)$  is a free  $R/P$ -module with basis  $v\partial/\partial x_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ .  $\text{Der}_k(R/P)$  can be identified with the submodule

$$N = \{ \delta \in \text{Der}_k(R, R/P) \mid \delta(p) = 0 \text{ for all } p \in P \}.$$

Assume that  $\mathfrak{p} = r$  and  $P = (p_1, \dots, p_t)$ . Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \partial/\partial x_i \in \text{Der}_k(R/P) = N \iff \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \partial p_j / \partial x_i = 0 \text{ in } R/P \text{ for all } 1 \leq j \leq t.$$

$$\iff (\partial P_j / \partial x_i) \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{bmatrix} = 0 \text{ in } (R/P)^n.$$

Hence

$$\text{rank Der}_k(R/P) = n - \text{rank } J(P_1, \dots, P_t; \partial/\partial x_1, \dots, \partial/\partial x_n)(P).$$

(Note that the dimension of the null space of the  $t \times n$  matrix  $(\partial P_j / \partial x_i)$  equals  $n - \text{rank } (\partial P_j / \partial x_i)$ .)

By (12.6)  $\text{rank } J(P_1, \dots, P_t; \partial/\partial x_1, \dots, \partial/\partial x_n)(P) \leq r$  and therefore  $\text{rank Der}_k(R/P) \geq n - r$ . On the other hand  $\dim R/P = n - r$  and hence by (12.15)  $\text{rank Der}_k(R/P) \leq n - r$ . Therefore  $\text{rank Der}_k(R/P) = n - r$  and  $\text{rank } J(P_1, \dots, P_t; \partial/\partial x_1, \dots, \partial/\partial x_n)(P) = r$ . By (12.12)  $(WJ)_k$  holds at  $P$ .

(12.17) Corollary: Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic 0. The formal power series ring  $S = k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$  over  $k$  satisfies  $(WJ)_k$ .

Proof: Obviously,  $\det(\partial x_j / \partial x_i) = 1 \notin \mathfrak{m} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)S$  and  $(WJ)_k$  holds at  $\mathfrak{m}$ . By (12.16)  $S$  satisfies  $(WJ)_k$ .

Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic 0,  $S = k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$  the formal power series ring over  $k$ ,  $P \in S$  a prime ideal and  $I \in S$  an ideal with  $I \subseteq P$  and  $\dim I S_P = r$ . Set  $R = (S/I)_P$ .

(12.18) Corollary: Assumptions as above. Then the following are equivalent:

(a)  $R$  is a regular local ring.

(b) If  $I = (a_1, \dots, a_t) \in S$  then

$$\text{rank } J(a_1, \dots, a_t; \partial/\partial x_1, \dots, \partial/\partial x_n)(P) = r.$$

Proof: (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b): First note that by (12.6):

$$\text{rank } J(a_1, \dots, a_t; \partial/\partial x_1, \dots, \partial/\partial x_n)(P) \leq r.$$

Moreover, since  $R = (S/I)_P$  regular, there are elements  $b_1, \dots, b_r \in I$  and derivations  $D_1, \dots, D_r \in \text{Der}_k(S)$  with  $\det(D_i(b_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq r} \notin P$ .

Since  $I = (a_1, \dots, a_t)$  there is an  $r \times t$  matrix  $U \in M_{r \times t}(S)$  so that

$$\begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_r \end{bmatrix} = U \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_t \end{bmatrix}$$

This implies that

$$(D_i(b_j)) \equiv U (D_i(a_j)) \pmod{PS^{t \times r}}.$$

Moreover, by (12.11)  $\text{Der}_k(S)$  is a free  $S$ -module with basis  $\partial/\partial x_1, \dots, \partial/\partial x_n$ .

Hence there is an  $r \times n$  matrix  $V \in M_{r \times n}(S)$  so that

$$\begin{bmatrix} D_1 \\ \vdots \\ D_r \end{bmatrix} = V \begin{bmatrix} \partial/\partial x_1 \\ \vdots \\ \partial/\partial x_n \end{bmatrix}$$

and therefore

$$(D_i(a_j)) = V (\partial a_j / \partial x_i).$$

This shows that

$$(D_i(b_j)) \equiv UV (\partial a_j / \partial x_i) \pmod{PS^{r \times r}}$$

and  $\text{rank } J(a_1, \dots, a_t; \partial/\partial x_1, \dots, \partial/\partial x_n)(P) \geq r$ .

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a): By (12.6).

§5: MORE ON FIELDS OF CHARACTERISTIC  $p > 0$ 

(12.19) Definition: Let  $k$  be a field.

(a) A subfield  $k' \subseteq k$  is called cofinite in  $k$  if  $[k:k'] < \infty$ .

(b) A family of subfields  $\mathcal{F} = \{k_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$  of  $k$  is called a directed family if for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$  there is an  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  so that  $k_\gamma \subseteq k_\alpha \cap k_\beta$ .

(12.20) Lemma: Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic  $p > 0$  and  $l \subseteq k$  a subfield.

Then there is a directed family  $\mathcal{F} = \{k_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$  of subfields of  $k$  so that

(a) For all  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ :  $l \subseteq k_\alpha \subseteq k$  and  $[k:k_\alpha] < \infty$ , that is,  $k_\alpha$  is cofinite in  $k$ .

(b)  $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Gamma} k_\alpha = l(k^p)$ .

Proof: Let  $B$  be a  $p$ -basis of  $k$  over  $l$  and  $\Gamma$  the set of all finite subsets of  $B$ . For all  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  set  $k_\alpha = l(k^p, B - \alpha)$ . Then  $\mathcal{F} = \{k_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$  is a directed family which satisfies (a) and (b).

(12.21) Proposition: Let  $k$  be a field and  $\{k_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$  a directed family of subfields of  $k$  with  $l = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Gamma} k_\alpha$ . Let  $V$  be a vector space over  $k$  and  $v_1, \dots, v_n \in V$  linearly independent over  $l$ . Then there is an  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  so that  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  are linearly independent over  $k_\alpha$ .

Proof: For all  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  let  $q(\alpha)$  be the dimension of the  $k_\alpha$ -subspace  $\sum_{i=1}^n k_\alpha v_i$  of  $V$  and  $q = \max\{q(\alpha) \mid \alpha \in \Gamma\}$ . Then there is a  $\beta \in \Gamma$  with  $q = q(\beta)$ .

If  $q < n$  we may suppose that  $v_1, \dots, v_q$  are linearly independent over  $k_\beta$  and that there are elements  $c_i \in k_\beta$  with  $v_n = \sum_{i=1}^q c_i v_i$ . Since  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  are linearly independent over  $l$  there is an  $1 \leq i \leq q$  with  $c_i \notin l$  and thus  $c_i \notin k_\gamma$  for some  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Let  $\delta \in \Gamma$  with  $k_\delta \subseteq k_\beta \cap k_\gamma$  then  $v_1, \dots, v_q, v_n$  are linearly independent over  $k_\delta$ , a contradiction. Hence  $q = n$ .

(12.22) Proposition: Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic  $p > 0$ ,  $l \subseteq k$  a subfield, and  $\mathcal{F} = \{k_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$  a directed family of subfields with  $l \subseteq k_\alpha \subseteq k$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- $\prod_{\alpha \in \Gamma} k_\alpha(k^p) = l(k^p)$
- The natural map  $\Omega_{k/l} \longrightarrow \varinjlim_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \Omega_{k/k_\alpha}$  is injective.
- If  $u_1, \dots, u_n \in k$  are  $p$ -independent over  $l$ , then there is an  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  with  $u_1, \dots, u_n$   $p$ -independent over  $k_\alpha$ .
- For every  $p$ -basis  $B$  of  $k$  over  $l$  and every finite subset  $U$  of  $B$  there is an  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  with  $U$   $p$ -independent over  $k_\alpha$ .
- There is a  $p$ -basis  $B$  of  $k$  over  $l$  so that for every finite subset  $U$  of  $B$  there is an  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  with  $U$   $p$ -independent over  $k_\alpha$ .

Proof: First note that if  $\mathcal{F} = \{k_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$  is a directed family of subfields of  $k$  so is  $\mathcal{F}' = \{k_\alpha(k^p)\}_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$ .

(a)  $\Rightarrow$  (c): Let  $U = \{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$  be  $p$ -independent over  $l$ . Then the  $p^n$  monomials  $u_1^{v_1} \dots u_n^{v_n}$  with  $0 \leq v_i < p$  are linearly independent over  $l(k^p)$ .

By (12.21) there is an  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  so that  $u_1^{v_1} \dots u_n^{v_n}$ ,  $0 \leq v_i < p$ , are linearly independent over  $k_\alpha(k^p)$ .

(c)  $\Rightarrow$  (d) and (d)  $\Rightarrow$  (e): trivial

(e)  $\Rightarrow$  (b): Let  $B$  be a  $p$ -basis of  $k$  over  $l$  and assume that for every finite subset  $U \subseteq B$  there is an  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  with  $U$   $p$ -independent over  $k_\alpha$ . Let  $w \in \Omega_{k/l}$  with  $w \neq 0$ . Then there are elements  $u_1, \dots, u_n \in B$  and  $c_1, \dots, c_n \in l(k^p)$  so that  $w = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i d_{k/l} u_i$ . By assumption there is an  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  with  $u_1, \dots, u_n$   $p$ -independent over  $k_\alpha$ . Moreover,  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  extends to a  $p$ -basis of  $k$  over  $k_\alpha$ . Hence the image of  $w$  in  $\Omega_{k/k_\alpha}$  is nonzero and the natural map  $\Omega_{k/l} \longrightarrow \varinjlim_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \Omega_{k/k_\alpha}$  is injective.

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a): If  $a \in k - l(k^p)$  then  $d_{k/l}(a) \neq 0$  in  $\Omega_{k/l}$ . ( $a$  extends to a  $p$ -basis). Hence there is an  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  with  $d_{k/k_\alpha}(a) \neq 0$  and  $a \notin k_\alpha(k^p)$ .

(12.23) Proposition: Let  $k$  be a subfield of characteristic  $p > 0$ ,  $l \subseteq k$  a subfield, and  $\mathcal{F} = \{k_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$  a directed family of subfields with  $l \subseteq k_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  and  $\prod_{\alpha \in \Gamma} k_\alpha(k^p) = l(k^p)$ . Let  $k \subseteq K$  be a field extension so that either

- (a)  $K$  is separable over  $k$  or  
 (b)  $K$  is finitely generated over  $k$ .

Then  $\prod_{\alpha \in \Gamma} k_\alpha(K^p) = l(K^p)$ .

Proof: Note that  $\mathcal{F}' = \{k_\alpha(k^p)\}_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$  is a directed family of subfields of  $k$  and  $\mathcal{G} = \{k_\alpha(K^p)\}_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$  is a directed family of subfields of  $K$ .

(a) Suppose that  $k \subseteq K$  is separable and let  $B$  be a  $p$ -basis of  $k$  over  $l$ ,  $C$  a  $p$ -basis of  $K$  over  $k$ . By (2.14) the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{k/l} \otimes_k K \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{K/l} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{K/k} \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. Hence  $B \cup C$  is a  $p$ -basis of  $K$  over  $l$ . Let  $b_1, \dots, b_m \in B$  and  $c_1, \dots, c_n \in C$  be distinct elements. By (12.22) there is an  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  so that  $b_1, \dots, b_m$  are  $p$ -independent over  $k_\alpha$  (as subfield of  $k$ ). Since the

sequence  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{k/k_\alpha} \otimes_{k_\alpha} K \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{K/k_\alpha} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{K/k} \rightarrow 0$  is exact,  $b_1, \dots, b_m, c_1, \dots, c_n$  are  $p$ -independent over  $k_\alpha$  and the statement follows by (12.22).

(b) If  $k \subseteq K$  is finitely generated,  $K$  can be obtained from  $k$  (in finitely many steps) by a succession of extensions  $k' \subseteq k'(x)$  where

- (i)  $x$  is separable over  $k'$   
 (ii)  $x^p = a \in k'$ .

By (a) it suffices to consider the case where  $K = k(x)$  with  $x \notin k$  and  $x^p = a \in k$ .

Case 1:  $a \in l(K^p)$

Let  $B$  be a  $p$ -basis of  $k$  over  $l$ . Since  $l(K^p) = l(k^p(a)) = l(k^p)$ ,  $B \cup \{x\}$  is a  $p$ -basis of  $K$  over  $l$ . Let  $U \subseteq B \cup \{x\}$  be a finite subset with  $U_0 = U \cap B = \{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$ . By (12.22) there is an  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  so that  $U_0$  is  $p$ -independent over  $k_\alpha$ , that is, the set  $\{b_1^{i_1}, \dots, b_n^{i_n} \mid 0 \leq i_j < p\}$

is linearly independent over  $k_\alpha(k^P)$ . Then  $\{b_i^{i_1} \dots b_n^{i_n} x^i \mid 0 \leq i_j, i < p\}$  is linearly independent over  $k_\alpha(k^P) = k_\alpha(k^P(a)) = k_\alpha(k^P)$ . The statement follows with (12.22).

Case 2:  $a \notin L(k^P)$

In this case  $\{a\}$  can be extended to a  $p$ -basis of  $k$  over  $L$ , say  $B \cup \{a\}$  where  $a \notin B$ . Then  $B \cup \{x\}$  is a  $p$ -basis of  $K$  over  $L$ . If  $b_1, \dots, b_n \in B$  then by (12.22) there is an  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  with  $b_1, \dots, b_n, a$   $p$ -independent over  $k_\alpha$ . Then  $b_1, \dots, b_n, x \in K$  are  $p$ -independent over  $k_\alpha$  (as a subfield of  $K$ ) and by (12.22)

$$\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Gamma} k_\alpha(k^P) = L(k^P).$$

(12.24) Proposition: let  $k$  be a field of characteristic  $p > 0$  and  $\mathcal{F} = \{k_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$  a directed family of cofinite subfields  $k_\alpha \subseteq k$  with  $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Gamma} k_\alpha = k^P$ . Let  $k \subseteq K$  be a finite field extension. Then there is an  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  so that for all subfields  $k' \subseteq k_\alpha$  with  $[k:k'] < \infty$ :  $\text{rank}_K \Omega_{K/k'} = \text{rank} \Omega_{K/k'}$ .

Proof: Consider a chain of intermediate fields  $k = l_0 \subseteq l_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq l_t = K$  where  $l_i = l_{i-1}(x_i)$  with either  $x_i$  separable algebraic over  $l_{i-1}$  or  $x_i^p \in l_{i-1}$  and  $x_i \notin l_{i-1}$ . By (12.23) for all  $0 \leq i \leq t$ :  $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Gamma} k_\alpha(l_i^P) = l_i^P$ . Thus for all  $1 \leq i \leq t$   $x_i^p \notin l_{i-1}^P$  and there is an  $\alpha_i \in \Gamma$  with  $x_i^p \notin k_{\alpha_i}(l_{i-1}^P)$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}$  is directed there is an  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  with  $k_\alpha \subseteq k_{\alpha_i}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq t$ . In particular,  $x_i^p \notin k_\alpha(l_{i-1}^P)$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq t$ .

The proof is by induction on  $i$ . Let  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  be as above and suppose that  $\text{rank}_{e_i} \Omega_{l_i/k'} = \text{rank}_K \Omega_{K/k'}$  for all  $k' \subseteq k_\alpha$  with  $[k:k'] < \infty$ . Let  $k'$  be a subfield with  $k' \subseteq k_\alpha$ ,  $[k:k'] < \infty$ , and  $l_{i+1} = l_i(x_{i+1})$ . We need to distinguish two cases:

Case 1:  $x_i$  is separable over  $l_i$ .

By (2.14) the sequence  $0 \rightarrow \Omega_{l_i/l_i} \otimes_{l_i} l_{i+1} \rightarrow \Omega_{l_{i+1}/k'} \rightarrow \Omega_{l_{i+1}/l_i} \rightarrow 0$  is exact. Since  $l_{i+1}$  is separable algebraic over  $l_i$ ,  $\Omega_{l_{i+1}/l_i} = 0$  and  $\Omega_{l_i/k'} \otimes_{l_i} l_{i+1} \cong \Omega_{l_{i+1}/k'}$ . Thus by induction hypothesis  $\text{rank}_{e_{i+1}} \Omega_{l_{i+1}/k'} =$



$$\text{rank}_{e_i} \Omega_{e_i/k'} = \text{rank}_k \Omega_k/k'.$$

Case 2:  $x_{i+1}^p \in \mathfrak{l}_i$  and  $x_{i+1} \notin \mathfrak{l}_i$

Set  $a = x_{i+1}^p$ , then  $\mathfrak{l}_{i+1} \cong \mathfrak{l}_i[t]/(t^p - a)$  and by (1.12) the sequence

$$(t^p - a)/(t^p - a)^2 \xrightarrow{\delta} \Omega_{\mathfrak{l}_i[t]/k'} \otimes_{\mathfrak{l}_i[t]} \mathfrak{l}_{i+1} \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathfrak{l}_{i+1}/k'} \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact where

$$\Omega_{\mathfrak{l}_i[t]/k'} \otimes_{\mathfrak{l}_i[t]} \mathfrak{l}_{i+1} = (\Omega_{\mathfrak{l}_i/k'} \otimes_{\mathfrak{l}_i} \mathfrak{l}_{i+1}) \oplus \mathfrak{l}_{i+1} dt$$

where  $d: \mathfrak{l}_i[t] \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathfrak{l}_i[t]/k'}$  is the universal  $k'$ -derivation. Since  $a = x_{i+1}^p \notin k'(\mathfrak{l}_i^p)$

$\delta(t^p - a) = \delta(-a) \neq 0$  in  $\Omega_{\mathfrak{l}_i/k'}$ . Thus  $\text{rank}_{e_{i+1}} \text{im}(\delta) = 1$  and therefore

$$\text{rank}_{e_{i+1}} \Omega_{\mathfrak{l}_{i+1}/k'} = \text{rank}_{e_i} \Omega_{\mathfrak{l}_i/k'} = \text{rank}_k \Omega_k/k'.$$

## §6: NAGATA'S REGULARITY CRITERION

(12.25) Theorem: (Nagata) Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic  $p > 0$ ,  $S = k[[t_1, \dots, t_n]]$  the formal power series ring over  $k$ , and  $P \subseteq S$  a prime ideal. Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{k_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$  be a directed family of cofinite subfields of  $k$  with  $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Gamma} k_\alpha = k^p$ . Then there is an  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  so that for every intermediate field  $k^p \subseteq k' \subseteq k_\alpha$  with  $[k:k'] < \infty$  the following formula holds:

$$\text{rank}_{S/P} \text{Der}_{k'}(S/P) = \dim(S/P) + \text{rank}_k \text{Der}_{k'}(k).$$

Proof: let  $T = S/P$  and  $L = Q(T)$  its field of quotients. Suppose that  $\dim T = n$  and let  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  be a system of parameters of  $T$ . By (10.14)  $T$  is finite over the formal power series ring  $R = k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ . Set  $K = Q(R)$ , the field of quotients of  $R$ , and note that  $[L:K] < \infty$ . Let  $k'$  be an intermediate field  $k^p \subseteq k' \subseteq k$  with  $[k:k'] < \infty$  and let  $u_1, \dots, u_r$  be a  $p$ -basis of  $k$  over  $k'$ . Then  $u_1, \dots, u_r, x_1, \dots, x_n$  is a  $p$ -basis of  $R$  over  $R' = k'[[x_1^p, \dots, x_n^p]]$ , that is,  $R^p \subseteq R'$  and  $\{u_i^{v_i} - u_r^{v_r} x_1^{u_1} - x_n^{u_n} \mid 0 \leq v_i, u_j < p\}$  is a basis of the free  $R'$ -module  $R$ .  $\Omega_{R/R'}$  is generated by  $du_1, \dots, du_r, dx_1, \dots, dx_n$  and  $\Omega_{K/Q(R')} = \Omega_{R/R'} \otimes_R K$  is a  $K$ -vector space with basis  $du_1, \dots, du_r, dx_1, \dots, dx_n$ . Thus  $\Omega_{R/R'}$  is a free  $R$ -module with  $\text{rank}_R \Omega_{R/R'} = n+r = \dim R + \text{rank}_k \Omega_{k/k'}$ . For all  $d \in \text{Der}_{k'}(R)$ ,  $d|_{R'} = 0$  and therefore  $\text{Der}_{k'}(R) \cong \text{Hom}_R(\Omega_{R/R'}, R)$ . This shows the assertion if  $T = R$  (for all intermediate fields  $k^p \subseteq k' \subseteq k$  with  $[k:k'] < \infty$ ).

In the general case  $T$  is a finite  $R'$ -module and  $\Omega_{T/R'}$  is a finite  $T$ -module. Since  $\text{Der}_{k'}(T) = \text{Der}_{R'}(T) \cong \text{Hom}_T(\Omega_{T/R'}, T)$  we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Der}_{k'}(T) \otimes_T L &\cong \text{Hom}_T(\Omega_{T/R'}, T) \otimes_T L \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_L(\Omega_{T/R'} \otimes_T L, L) && \text{by 911, Theorem (6.62)} \\ &= \text{Hom}_L(\Omega_{L/Q(R')}, L) \\ &\cong \Omega_{L/Q(R')} \end{aligned}$$

With  $k' = Q(R')$  we have that

$$\text{rank}_T \text{Der}_{k'}(T) = \text{rank}_L \Omega_{L/k'}.$$

Since

$$\text{rank}_K \Omega_{K/k'} = \dim T + \text{rank}_K \text{Der}_{k'}(k)$$

it suffices to find an  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  so that for all intermediate fields  $k^p \subseteq k' \subseteq k_\alpha$  with  $[k:k'] < \infty$  it holds that

$$\text{rank}_L \Omega_{L/k'} = \text{rank}_K \Omega_{K/k'}.$$

In order to apply (12.24) for all  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  set  $R_\alpha = k_\alpha[[x_1^p, \dots, x_n^p]]$  and  $K_\alpha = Q(R_\alpha)$ . Then  $\mathcal{O} = \{K_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$  is a directed family of subfields of  $K$ . We claim that  $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Gamma} K_\alpha = K^p$ . Let  $y \in \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Gamma} K_\alpha$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . Then there are  $a, b \in R_\alpha = k_\alpha[[x_1^p, \dots, x_n^p]]$  with  $y = a/b = ab^{p-1}/b^p$  and  $b^p \in R^p \subseteq k^p[[x_1^p, \dots, x_n^p]] \subseteq R_\beta$  for all  $\beta \in \Gamma$ . Hence for all  $\beta \in \Gamma$  with  $k_\beta \subseteq k_\alpha$ ,  $yb^p \in R_\alpha \cap K_\beta$ . Since  $R_\alpha$  is flat over  $R_\beta$  ( $R_\alpha$  is a free  $R_\beta$ -module),  $R_\alpha \cap K_\beta = R_\beta$  and  $yb^p \in \bigcap_{\beta \in \Gamma} R_\beta = k^p[[x_1^p, \dots, x_n^p]]$ . Thus  $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Gamma} K_\alpha = K^p$  and the assertion follows by (12.24).

Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic  $p > 0$ ,  $S = k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$  the formal power series ring over  $k$ , and  $I, P \subseteq S$  ideals with  $I \subseteq P$  and  $P$  a prime ideal. Set  $A = (S/I)_p$  and suppose that  $\text{ht } IS_p = r$  and that  $I = (f_1, \dots, f_r)$  with  $f_i \in S$ . Let  $\{u_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  be a  $p$ -basis of  $k$  (over  $k^p$ ). For all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  let  $D_\lambda \in \text{Der}(k)$  be the derivation defined by  $D_\lambda(u_\sigma) = \delta_{\lambda\sigma}$ .  $D_\lambda$  extends to a derivation  $D_\lambda: S \rightarrow S$  by defining  $D_\lambda(x_i) = 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , that is,  $D_\lambda$  acts only on the coefficients of a power series of  $S$ .

(12.26) Theorem: (Nagata's Jacobian criterion) Under assumptions as above the following conditions are equivalent:

- $A$  is a regular local ring.
- There are finitely many elements  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m \in \Lambda$  so that

$$\text{rank } \mathcal{J}(f_1, \dots, f_r; D_{\lambda_1}, \dots, D_{\lambda_m}, \partial/\partial x_1, \dots, \partial/\partial x_n)(P) = r.$$

Proof: (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a): By (12.6)

(a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b): Let  $B = \{u_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  denote the  $p$ -basis of  $k$  over its prime field and let  $\Gamma$  be the set of all finite subsets of  $B$ . For all  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  let  $k_\alpha = k^p(B - \alpha)$ . Then  $\mathcal{F} = \{k_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$  is a directed family of subfields of  $k$  with  $[k: k_\alpha] < \infty$  for all  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  and  $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Gamma} k_\alpha = k^p$ .

By (12.25) there is an  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  so that for all intermediate fields  $k^p \subseteq k' \subseteq k_\alpha$  with  $[k: k'] < \infty$

$$\text{rank}_{S/P} \text{Der}_k(S/P) = \dim(S/P) + \text{rank}_k \text{Der}_k(k)$$

Let  $l = k_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha = \{u_{\lambda_1}, \dots, u_{\lambda_s}\}$ . Then  $\alpha$  is a  $p$ -basis of  $k$  over  $l$  and  $[k: l] = p^s$ . In the following let  $u_i = u_{\lambda_i}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq s$  and  $T = l[x_1^p, \dots, x_n^p]$ . Then  $S$  is a finite free  $T$ -module with

$$S \cong T[z_1, \dots, z_s, y_1, \dots, y_n] / (z_i^p - u_i^p, y_j^p - x_j^p) \quad 1 \leq i \leq s, 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

With  $T[z_1, \dots, z_s, y_1, \dots, y_n] = T[z, y]$  and  $\mathcal{J} = (z_i^p - u_i^p, y_j^p - x_j^p) \quad 1 \leq i \leq s, 1 \leq j \leq n$  we have an exact sequence

$$\mathcal{J}/\mathcal{J}^2 \xrightarrow{\delta} \Omega_{T[z, y]/T} \otimes_{T[z, y]} S \longrightarrow \Omega_{S/T} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Obviously,  $\text{im}(\delta) = 0$  and  $\Omega_{T[z, y]/T}$  is a free  $T[z, y]$ -module of rank  $s+n$ . Thus  $\Omega_{S/T}$  is a free  $S$ -module with basis  $du_1, \dots, du_s, dx_1, \dots, dx_n$ .

Let  $v: S \rightarrow S/P$  be the natural map.

Claim: Every  $l$ -derivation  $D' \in \text{Der}_l(S/P)$  is induced by an  $l$ -derivation  $D \in \text{Der}_l(S)$ , that is, for all  $D' \in \text{Der}_l(S/P)$  there is a  $D \in \text{Der}_l(S)$  so that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S & \xrightarrow{D} & S \\ v \downarrow & & \downarrow v \\ S/P & \xrightarrow{D'} & S/P \end{array} \quad \text{commutes.}$$

Pf. of Claim: Let  $D' \in \text{Der}_l(S/P)$ .  $D'$  is uniquely determined by

$D'(v(u_i)), \dots, D'(v(u_s)), D'(v(x_1)), \dots, D'(v(x_n))$ . Let  $c_1, \dots, c_s, b_1, \dots, b_n \in S$  with  $D'(v(u_i)) = v(c_i)$  and  $D'(v(x_j)) = v(b_j)$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq s, 1 \leq j \leq n$  and set

$$D = \sum_{i=1}^s c_i D_{\delta_i} + \sum_{j=1}^n b_j \partial_{x_j} \in \text{Der}_k(S).$$

For any  $S$ -module  $M$  any  $k$ -derivation  $\delta: S \rightarrow M$  is determined by  $\delta(u_i)$  and  $\delta(x_j)$  where  $1 \leq i \leq s, 1 \leq j \leq n$ . Since for all  $1 \leq i \leq s, 1 \leq j \leq n$ :  $D_{\delta_i}(u_j) = \delta_{ij}$ ,  $D_{\delta_i}(x_j) = 0$ ,  $\partial_{x_j}(u_r) = 0$ , and  $\partial_{x_j}(x_r) = \delta_{jr}$ , it follows that  $vD(u_i) = D'(v(u_i))$  and  $vD(x_j) = D'(v(x_j))$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq s, 1 \leq j \leq n$  and therefore  $vD = D'v$ . This proves the claim.

Note that if  $D$  and  $D'$  are as in the claim then  $D(g) \in P$  for all  $g \in P$ . Similar to the proof of (12.16) we identify  $\text{Der}_k(S/P)$  with the following submodule of  $\text{Der}_k(S, S/P)$ :

$$N = \{ \delta \in \text{Der}_k(S, S/P) \mid \delta(g) = 0 \text{ for all } g \in P \}.$$

$\text{Der}_k(S, S/P) \cong \text{Hom}_k(\Omega_{S/k}, S/P) \cong \Omega_{S/k} \otimes_S S/P$  is a free  $S/P$ -module with basis  $\{vD_{\delta_i}, v\partial_{x_j}\}_{1 \leq i \leq s, 1 \leq j \leq n}$ . Therefore

$$v \left( \sum_{i=1}^s a_i D_{\delta_i} + \sum_{j=1}^n b_j \partial_{x_j} \right) \in \text{Der}_k(S/P) = N \iff$$

$$(*) \quad \sum_{i=1}^s a_i D_{\delta_i}(g_m) + \sum_{j=1}^n b_j \partial_{x_j}(g_m) \in P \text{ for all } 1 \leq m \leq \ell$$

where  $P = (g_1, \dots, g_\ell)$ .

(\*) can be written as:

$$J(g_1, \dots, g_\ell; D_{\delta_1}, \dots, D_{\delta_s}, \partial_{x_1}, \dots, \partial_{x_n}) \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_s \\ b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_n \end{bmatrix} \equiv 0 \pmod{P}.$$

This implies that

$$\text{rank}_{S/P} \text{Der}_k(S/P) = n+s - \text{rank } J(g_1, \dots, g_\ell; D_{\delta_1}, \dots, D_{\delta_s}, \partial_{x_1}, \dots, \partial_{x_n})(P)$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank}_{S/P} \text{Der}_k(S/P) &= \dim(S/P) + \text{rank}_k \text{Der}_k(k) \\ &= n+s - \ell + P \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\text{rank } \mathcal{J}(g_1, \dots, g_e; D_{\lambda_1}, \dots, D_{\lambda_e}, \partial/\partial x_1, \dots, \partial/\partial x_n)(P) = \text{ht } P.$$

By assumption  $A = (S/\mathcal{I})_P$  is a regular local ring, hence  $\mathcal{I}S_P = \mathcal{Q}S_P$  is a prime ideal with  $\mathcal{I}S_P = (f_1, \dots, f_t)S_P$ . By (12.12) there are derivations

$D_{\lambda_1}, \dots, D_{\lambda_q}, \partial/\partial x_1, \dots, \partial/\partial x_n \in \text{Der}(S)$  so that

$$\text{rank } \mathcal{J}(f_1, \dots, f_t; D_{\lambda_1}, \dots, D_{\lambda_q}, \partial/\partial x_1, \dots, \partial/\partial x_n)(P) = r = \text{ht } \mathcal{I}S_P.$$