

CHAPTER XIII: OPENNESS OF LOCI

Let R be a Noetherian ring and M a finitely generated R -module. We are interested in the following subsets of $\text{Spec}(R)$:

- | | |
|---|-----------------------------|
| $\text{Reg}(R) = \{P \in \text{Spec}(R) \mid R_P \text{ regular}\}$ | - the regular locus of R |
| $\text{Nor}(R) = \{P \in \text{Spec}(R) \mid R_P \text{ normal}\}$ | - the normal locus of R |
| $U_{R_i}(R) = \{P \in \text{Spec}(R) \mid R_P \text{ satisfies } (R_i)\}$ | - the (R_i) -locus of R |
| $U_{S_i}(M) = \{P \in \text{Spec}(R) \mid M_P \text{ satisfies } (S_i)\}$ | - the (S_i) -locus of M |
| $U_{\text{CM}}(M) = \{P \in \text{Spec}(R) \mid M_P \text{ Cohen-Macaulay}\}$ | - the CM-locus of M . |

If R is an arbitrary Noetherian ring these loci may not be open in $\text{Spec}(R)$.

In this chapter we want to show openness of these loci provided that R is a complete local Noetherian ring. In the next chapters we extend this result to a larger class of Noetherian rings.

§1: NAGATA'S CRITERION

(13.1) Theorem: Let R be a Noetherian ring and $U \subseteq \text{Spec}(R)$ a subset. U is open in $\text{Spec}(R)$ if and only if the following two conditions are satisfied:

- For all $P, Q \in \text{Spec}(R)$ with $Q \subseteq P$ and $P \in U$ it holds that $Q \in U$.
- For all $P \in U$ a nonempty open subset of $V(P)$ is contained in U .

Proof: If $U \subseteq \text{Spec}(R)$ is open then conditions (a) and (b) are satisfied.

Conversely, suppose (a) and (b) and set $Y = \text{Spec}(R) - U$, \bar{Y} the closure of Y in $\text{Spec}(R)$. \bar{Y} is the union of finitely many irreducible components, say $\bar{Y} = V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_r$ where $V_i = V(P_i)$ for prime ideals $P_i \in \text{Spec}(R)$.

If $P_i \in U$ for some $1 \leq i \leq r$ then by (b) there is a nonempty open subset $W \subseteq V_i$ with $W \subseteq U$. Then $Z = V_i - W$ is a closed subset of $\text{Spec}(R)$. We claim that $Y \cap V_i \subseteq Z$. If $Q \in Y \cap V_i$, then $Q_i \in Y$ and

$Q \notin U$. Since $W \subseteq U$, $Q \notin W$ and $Y \cap V_i \subseteq Z$.

Since $\bar{Y} = V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_r$,

$$Y = \bar{Y} \cap Y = (V_1 \cap Y) \cup \dots \cup (V_r \cap Y) \subseteq Z \cup \bigcup_{i \neq j} V_j$$

contradicting that V_i is an irreducible component of \bar{Y} .

Therefore $P_i \notin U$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$ and by (a) $V_i \subseteq Y \subseteq \bar{Y}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$.

Thus $Y = \bar{Y}$ and U is open in $\text{Spec}(R)$.

Let R be a ring and \mathcal{P} a property of local rings. Define

$$\mathcal{P}(R) = \{P \in \text{Spec}(R) \mid R_P \text{ has property } \mathcal{P}\}.$$

For example, \mathcal{P} can stand for properties: regular, CM, Gorenstein, etc. then

$$\mathcal{P}(R) = \text{Reg}(R), U_{\text{CM}}(R), \text{Gor}(R), \text{etc.}$$

(13.2) Definition: We say that the Nagata criterion (NC) holds for a property \mathcal{P} if the following condition is satisfied for every Noetherian ring R :

(NC) If $\mathcal{P}(R/\mathfrak{p})$ contains a nonempty open subset of $\text{Spec}(R/\mathfrak{p})$ for all $P \in \text{Spec}(R)$ then $\mathcal{P}(R)$ is open in $\text{Spec}(R)$.

(13.3) Theorem: (NC) holds for:

- (a) $\mathcal{P} \cong$ regular
- (b) $\mathcal{P} \cong$ CM
- (c) $\mathcal{P} \cong$ Gorenstein

Proof: Let R be a Noetherian ring with the property that for all $P \in \text{Spec}(R)$ the set $\mathcal{P}(R/\mathfrak{p})$ contains a nonempty open subset of $\text{Spec}(R/\mathfrak{p})$. We have to show that $\mathcal{P}(R)$ is open in $\text{Spec}(R)$. To do so we want to apply Theorem (13.1). First note that if $P, Q \in \text{Spec}(R)$ are prime ideals with $Q \subseteq P$ and R_P regular (or CM, Gorenstein) then R_Q is regular (or CM, Gorenstein) by 911, (8.29), (8.60), (10.20). Thus in all 3 cases we have to

show that $\mathcal{P}(R)$ satisfies condition (b) of Theorem (13.1).

(a) $\mathcal{P} \cong$ regular

We have to show that for all $P \in \text{Reg}(R)$ there is a nonempty open subset $W \subseteq V(P)$ with $W \subseteq \text{Reg}(R)$. Let $P \in \text{Reg}(R)$ and $x_1, \dots, x_n \in P$ a regular system of parameters of R_P . Then there is an $a \in R - P$ with

$PR_a = (x_1, \dots, x_n)R_a$, in particular, $PR_Q = (x_1, \dots, x_n)R_Q$ for all $Q \in \mathcal{D}_a$.

By assumption $\text{Reg}(R/P)$ contains a nonempty open subset \tilde{U} and \tilde{U} corresponds to an open subset $U \subseteq V(P)$. Since $V(P)$ is irreducible, $U \cap \mathcal{D}_a = W \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, for all $Q \in W$, the ring $(R/P)_Q$ is regular and PR_Q is generated by $n = \text{ht } PR_Q$ elements. Hence R_Q is a regular local ring and $W \subseteq \text{Reg}(R)$.

(b) $\mathcal{P} \cong$ CM

We have to show that for all $P \in \mathcal{U}_{\text{CM}}(R)$ there is a nonempty open subset $W \subseteq V(P)$ with $W \subseteq \mathcal{U}_{\text{CM}}(R)$.

Let $P \in \mathcal{U}_{\text{CM}}(R)$ with $\text{ht } P = n$. Then there are $y_1, \dots, y_n \in P$ so that y_1, \dots, y_n is a regular sequence of R_P . For all $1 \leq i \leq n$ consider a reduced primary decomposition of (y_1, \dots, y_i) and write $(y_1, \dots, y_i) = \mathcal{J}_i \cap K_i$ where \mathcal{J}_i is the intersection of the primary components of (y_1, \dots, y_i) which are contained in P and K_i is the intersection of primary components not contained in P . Let $a_i \in K_i - P$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ (if $K_i = R$, set $a_i = 1$), and set $a = \prod_{i=1}^n a_i$. Since $\mathcal{D}_a = \text{Spec}(R_a)$ is open in $\text{Spec}(R)$ with $\mathcal{D}_a \cap V(P) \neq \emptyset$ we may replace R by R_a and assume:

(a) y_1, \dots, y_n is an R -sequence

(b) $I = (y_1, \dots, y_n)R$ is P -primary.

Moreover, for all $Q \in V(P)$ we obtain:

$$R_Q \text{ CM} \iff (R/I)_Q \text{ CM}.$$

It remains to show that there is a nonempty open subset $W \subseteq V(P)$ with

(*) $(R/I)_Q$ and $(R/P)_Q$ CM for all $Q \in W$.

Set $\bar{R} = R/I$ and $\bar{P} = P/I$. Since I is P -primary, \bar{P} is the only minimal prime ideal of \bar{R} and there is an $r \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\bar{P}^r = 0$. Consider the filtration $0 = \bar{P}^r \subseteq \bar{P}^{r-1} \subseteq \dots \subseteq \bar{P} \subseteq \bar{R}$ of \bar{R} . For all $0 \leq i < r$, \bar{P}^i/\bar{P}^{i+1} is a finitely generated \bar{R}/\bar{P} -module. Hence for all $0 \leq i < r$ there is a $b_i \in R - P$ so that $(\bar{P}^i/\bar{P}^{i+1})_{\bar{R}_{b_i}}$ is a free \bar{R}_{b_i} -module. Let $b = \prod_{i=0}^{r-1} b_i$ and $W_1 = D_b \cap V(P)$. By assumption there is a nonempty open subset $W_2 \subseteq V(P)$ with $W_2 \subseteq U_{CM}(R/P)$. Set $W = W_1 \cap W_2$. We claim that for all $Q \in W$ the ring $(R/I)_Q = \bar{R}_Q$ is CM. We show by induction on i that for all $Q \in W$ the ring $(\bar{R}/\bar{P}^i \bar{R})_Q$ is CM.

For $i=2$ consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (\bar{P}/\bar{P}^2)_Q \rightarrow (\bar{R}/\bar{P}^2)_Q \rightarrow (\bar{R}/\bar{P})_Q \rightarrow 0$$

Since $(\bar{P}/\bar{P}^2)_Q$ is a free $(\bar{R}/\bar{P})_Q$ -module, $\text{depth}(\bar{R}/\bar{P})_Q = \text{depth}(\bar{P}/\bar{P}^2)_Q$ and thus by 911, (8.22) $\text{depth}(\bar{R}/\bar{P}^2)_Q \geq \text{depth}(\bar{R}/\bar{P})_Q$. Since $(\bar{R}/\bar{P})_Q$ is CM and $\dim(\bar{R}/\bar{P}^2)_Q = \dim(\bar{R}/\bar{P})_Q$, the ring $(\bar{R}/\bar{P}^2)_Q$ is CM.

For the induction step $i \rightarrow i+1$ consider the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (\bar{P}^i/\bar{P}^{i+1})_Q \rightarrow (\bar{R}/\bar{P}^{i+1})_Q \rightarrow (\bar{R}/\bar{P}^i)_Q \rightarrow 0$$

and apply a similar argument.

(c) $\mathbb{P} \cong$ Gorenstein

We have to show that for every $P \in \text{Gor}(R)$ there is a nonempty open subset $W \subseteq V(P)$ with $W \subseteq \text{Gor}(R)$. Let $P \in \text{Gor}(R)$ with $\text{ht } P = n$. Since R_P is CM there are $x_1, \dots, x_n \in P$ which form an R_P -sequence. As in the proof of (b) there is an $a \in R - P$ so that

(α) x_1, \dots, x_n is a regular sequence in R_a

(β) The ideal $I = (x_1, \dots, x_n) R_a$ is PR_a -primary.

Replace R by R_a and assume that x_1, \dots, x_n is a regular sequence of R with $I = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ P -primary. By 911, (10.3) and (10.4) for all $Q \in V(P)$, R_Q is Gorenstein if and only if $(R/I)_Q$ is Gorenstein. We replace R by R/I and assume that P is the unique minimal prime ideal of R .

Since R_P is a 0-dimensional Gorenstein ring by 911, Theorem (10.18):

$$\text{Ext}_R^1(R/P, R) \otimes_R R_P \cong \text{Ext}_{R_P}^1(k(P), R_P) = 0$$

and

$$\text{Hom}_R(R/P, R) \otimes_R R_P \cong \text{Hom}_{R_P}(k(P), R_P) = k(P).$$

Hence there is a $b \in R - P$ so that:

$$\text{Ext}_R^1(R/P, R) \otimes_R R_b = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Hom}_R(R/P, R) \otimes_R R_b = R_b/PR_b.$$

Since P is the unique minimal prime ideal of R , $P^r = 0$ for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and there is a $c \in R - P$ so that $P^i R_c / P^{i+1} R_c$ is a free R_c/PR_c -module for all $0 \leq i < r$. Replace R by R_{bc} and assume:

- (i) $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/P, R) = 0$ and $\text{Hom}_R(R/P, R) = R/P$
 (ii) For all $0 \leq i < r$: P^i/P^{i+1} is a free R/P -module.

For all $2 \leq i < r$ consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow P^i/P^{i+1} \rightarrow P^i/P^{i+1} \rightarrow P^i/P^i \rightarrow 0$$

and the induced long exact sequence:

$$\dots \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(P^i/P^i, R) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(P^i/P^{i+1}, R) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(P^i/P^{i+1}, R) \rightarrow \dots$$

Since P^i/P^{i+1} is a free R/P -module, $\text{Ext}_R^1(P^i/P^{i+1}, R) \cong \oplus \text{Ext}_R^1(R/P, R) = 0$.

Thus by induction on i , $\text{Ext}_R^1(P, R) = 0$.

The exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow P \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/P \rightarrow 0$$

yields a long exact sequence:

$$0 = \text{Ext}_R^i(R, R) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(P, R) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{i+1}(R/P, R) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{i+1}(R, R) = 0$$

showing that $\text{Ext}_R^2(R/P, R) = 0$. Repeat the argument from above to conclude that $\text{Ext}_R^2(P, R) = 0$ which implies that $\text{Ext}_R^3(R/P, R) = 0$, etc.

This shows that $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/P, R) = 0$ for all $i > 0$.

For the last step of the proof notice that if $Q \in V(P)$ and E is an injective R -module then $\text{Hom}_R(R/Q, E) \cong (0 :_E Q)$ is an injective R/Q -module.

Claim: Let $Q \in V(P)$. Then $(R/P)_Q$ is Gorenstein if and only if R_Q is

Gorenstein.

Pf of Claim: Let $0 \rightarrow R_Q \rightarrow E^\bullet$ be an injective resolution of R_Q (as an R_Q -module). Since $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/P, R) \otimes_R R_Q \cong \text{Ext}_{R_Q}^i((R/P)_Q, R_Q) = 0$ for all $i > 0$, $0 \rightarrow (R/P)_Q \cong \text{Hom}_R(R/P, R) \otimes_R R_Q \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{R_Q}((R/P)_Q, E^\bullet)$ is an injective resolution of $(R/P)_Q$ (as an $(R/P)_Q$ -module). In order to compute $\text{Ext}_{R_Q}^i(k(Q), R_Q)$ and $\text{Ext}_{(R/P)_Q}^i(k(Q), (R/P)_Q)$ we need to consider the complexes $\text{Hom}_{R_Q}(k(Q), E^\bullet)$ and $\text{Hom}_{(R/P)_Q}(k(Q), \text{Hom}_{R_Q}((R/P)_Q, E^\bullet))$. For all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ $\text{Hom}_{R_Q}(k(Q), E^j)$ and $\text{Hom}_{(R/P)_Q}(k(Q), \text{Hom}_{R_Q}((R/P)_Q, E^j))$ are both isomorphic to $(0 : E^j(Q)Q)$ where $E^j(Q)$ is the sum of all copies of $E(R/Q)$ in the direct sum decomposition of E^j (see 911, (7.58) and (7.63)). This implies that $\text{Ext}_{R_Q}^i(k(Q), R_Q) \cong \text{Ext}_{(R/P)_Q}^i(k(Q), (R/P)_Q)$. By 911, Theorem (10.18) R_Q is Gorenstein if and only if $(R/P)_Q$ is Gorenstein.

By the hypothesis in (NC) $\text{Gor}(R/P)$ contains a nonempty open subset W . Then $W \subseteq \text{Gor}(R)$ and by (13.1) $\text{Gor}(R)$ is open in $\text{Spec}(R)$.

(13.4) Theorem: Let S be a homomorphic image of a CM-ring. Then $U_{\text{CM}}(S)$ is open in $\text{Spec}(S)$.

Proof: Let $S = R/I$ where R is a (Noetherian) CM-ring. By (NC) it suffices to show that for all $P \in \text{Spec}(R)$ the set $U_{\text{CM}}(R/P)$ contains a nonempty open subset of $\text{Spec}(R/P)$. Let $P \in \text{Spec}(R)$ with $\text{ht } P = n$ and $x_1, \dots, x_n \in P$ so that x_1, \dots, x_n form a regular sequence in R_P .

Similar to the proof of (13.3)(b) we can assume that

- (a) x_1, \dots, x_n form an R -sequence
- (b) The ideal $I = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is P -primary.

Since R is CM, so is R/I and we replace R by R/I . Then P is the unique minimal ideal of R with $P^n = 0$. By replacing R by R_a for a suitable $a \in R - a$ we assume in addition that P^i/P^{i+1} is

a free R/P -module for all $0 \leq i < r$.

Let $Q \in V(P)$. Then R_Q is CM and we want to show that $(R/P)_Q$ is CM. Set $R' = R_Q$, $P' = PR_Q$ and $Q' = QR_Q$ and consider the exact sequence:

$$(*) \quad 0 \rightarrow P'/P_2 \rightarrow R'/P_2 \rightarrow R'/P_1 \rightarrow 0.$$

By 911, Theorem (8.16) for every local Noetherian ring (T, \mathfrak{m}) and every finitely generated T -module M : $\text{depth } M = s$ if and only if $\text{Ext}_T^i(T/\mathfrak{m}, M) = 0$ for all $i < s$ and $\text{Ext}_T^s(T/\mathfrak{m}, M) \neq 0$. Applying $\text{Hom}_{R'}(R'/Q', -)$ to $(*)$ yields a long exact sequence:

$$(**) \quad \dots \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{R'}^{i-1}(R'/Q', R'/P_1) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{R'}^i(R'/Q', P'/P_2) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{R'}^i(R'/Q', R'/P_2) \rightarrow \dots$$

Moreover, by 911, Theorem (8.22) $\text{depth } R'/P_2 \geq \min(\text{depth } R'/P_1, \text{depth } P'/P_2)$ and $\text{depth } R'/P_1 = \text{depth } P'/P_2$ since P'/P_2 is a free R'/P_1 -module. Then by $(**)$ $\text{depth } R'/P_2 = \text{depth } R'/P_1$. We now proceed by induction on i .

Suppose that $\text{depth } R'/P_1 = \text{depth } R'/P_2 = \dots = \text{depth } R'/P_i$ and consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow P^i/P_{i+1} \rightarrow R'/P_{i+1} \rightarrow R'/P_i \rightarrow 0.$$

The same argument as above yields that $\text{depth } R'/P_{i+1} = \text{depth } R'/P_i$.

Since $P^r = 0$ it follows that $\text{depth } R_Q = \text{depth } (R/P)_Q$ and $(R/P)_Q$ is CM. Thus there is a nonempty open subset $W \subseteq \text{Spec}(R/P)$ with $W \subseteq U_{\text{CM}}(R/P)$ and $U_{\text{CM}}(S)$ is open in $\text{Spec}(S)$.

(13.5) Theorem: Let S be the homomorphic image of a Gorenstein ring. Then $\text{Gor}(S)$ is open in $\text{Spec}(S)$.

Proof: Let $S = R/I$ where R is a (Noetherian) Gorenstein ring. By (NC) it suffices to show that for all $P \in \text{Spec}(R)$ the set $\text{Gor}(R/P)$ is open in $\text{Spec}(R/P)$. Let $P \in \text{Spec}(R)$ with $\text{ht } P = n$ and $x_1, \dots, x_n \in P$ so that x_1, \dots, x_n form a regular sequence of R_P . Similar to the proof of (13.3)(b) we can assume that:

- (α) x_1, \dots, x_n form an R -sequence.
 (β) The ideal $I = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is P -primary.

Since R is Gorenstein, so is R/I and we replace R by R/I and assume that P is the unique minimal prime ideal of R . Replacing R by R_a for a suitable $a \in R - P$ we assume in addition that

- (i) R/P is a free R/P -module
 (ii) $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/P, R) = 0$ and $\text{Hom}_R(R/P, R) = R/P$.

Under these assumptions the proof of (13.3)(c) shows that for all $Q \in V(P)$:

R_Q is Gorenstein $\iff (R/P)_Q$ is Gorenstein.

Since R is Gorenstein, $(R/P)_Q$ is Gorenstein for all $Q \in V(P)$. Thus there is a nonempty open subset W of $\text{Spec}(R/P)$ with $W \subseteq \text{Gor}(R/P)$.

By (13.1) $\text{Gor}(R/P)$ is open in $\text{Spec}(R/P)$.

Recall that S is an R -algebra essentially of finite type over R if S is the localization of an R -algebra of finite type.

(13.6) Corollary: Let R be a complete local Noetherian ring and S an R -algebra essentially of finite type over R . Then $U_{\text{cm}}(S)$ and $\text{Gor}(S)$ are open in $\text{Spec}(S)$.

Proof: S is a homomorphic image of a regular ring.

(13.7) Corollary: Let K be a field and S a K -algebra essentially of finite type over K . Then $U_{\text{cm}}(S)$ and $\text{Gor}(S)$ are open in $\text{Spec}(S)$.

(13.8) Remark: Theorems (13.4) and (13.5) are not true for \mathbb{P}^n regular. There is an example of a regular local ring R and a prime ideal $P \in R$ so that $\text{Reg}(R/P)$ is not open in $\text{Spec}(R/P)$.

§2: THE REGULAR LOCUS

(13.9) Lemma: Let $K \subseteq L$ be a finitely generated field extension. Then there is a finite purely inseparable field extension $K \subseteq K'$ so that $L(K')$ is separable over K' .

Proof: We may assume that $\text{char } K = p > 0$ and set $E = K^{p^{-\infty}}$.

Claim: $E \otimes_K L$ is a local Noetherian ring of dimension 0.

Prf of claim: Since $E \otimes_K L$ is the localization of a finitely generated E -algebra, $E \otimes_K L$ is a Noetherian ring. Let $w = \sum_{i=1}^r a_i \otimes l_i \in E \otimes_K L$. Then there is an $e \in \mathbb{N}$ so that with $q = p^e$: $a_i^q \in K$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $w^q = \sum_{i=1}^r a_i^q \otimes l_i^q = 1 \otimes \sum_{i=1}^r a_i^q l_i^q$. Thus either $w^q = 0$ or w^q is a unit in $E \otimes_K L$. This shows that the nilradical of $E \otimes_K L$ is maximal and $E \otimes_K L$ is a local Noetherian ring of dimension 0.

Let $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq E \otimes_K L$ be the maximal ideal and let

$$x_j = \sum_{i=1}^r a_{ij} \otimes l_{ij}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq s$$

be generators of \mathfrak{m} . Set $K' = K(a_{ij} | 1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq s)$. Obviously, K' is a finite purely inseparable extension of K . Moreover, $K'^{p^{-\infty}} = K^{p^{-\infty}} = E$ and $E \otimes_{K'} L(K')$ is a local Noetherian ring of dimension 0. Let $\varphi: E \otimes_K L \rightarrow E \otimes_{K'} L(K')$ be the ring morphism defined by $\varphi(a \otimes b) = a \otimes b$. φ is surjective with $\mathfrak{m} = \text{nil}(E \otimes_K L) \subseteq \ker \varphi$. Thus $E \otimes_{K'} L(K')$ is a field and by (1.31) and (1.33) $L(K')$ is separable over K' .

(13.10) Definition: Let R be a Noetherian ring.

- R is called Reg-0 if $\text{Reg}(R)$ contains a nonempty open subset of $\text{Spec}(R)$.
- R is called Reg-1 if $\text{Reg}(R)$ is open in $\text{Spec}(R)$.
- R is called Reg-2 if every finitely generated R -algebra is Reg-1.

The aim is to show that complete local Noetherian rings are Reg-2.

(13.11) Theorem: Let R be a Noetherian ring. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) R is Reg-2, that is, every finitely generated R -algebra is Reg-1.
- (b) Every finite R -algebra is Reg-1.
- (c) For all $P \in \text{Spec}(R)$ and all finite purely inseparable field extensions $k(P) \subseteq K$ there is a finite R -algebra S with:
 - (i) $R/P \subseteq S \subseteq K$
 - (ii) S is Reg-0
 - (iii) $Q(S) = K$.

Proof: Obviously, (a) \Rightarrow (b) \Rightarrow (c).

(c) \Rightarrow (a): Let S be a finitely generated R -algebra. Since (NC) holds for $\mathbb{P}^1 \cong \text{regular}$ we have to show that for all $Q \in \text{Spec}(S)$ the ring S/Q is Reg-0. Thus it is to show: if S is a domain and a finitely generated R -algebra then S is Reg-0. Let $P \in \text{Spec}(R)$ with $R/P \subseteq S$. Since condition (c) is also satisfied by all rings R/P with $P \in \text{Spec}(R)$ we may assume that $R \subseteq S$ where R and S are domains.

Apply condition (c) to the finite purely inseparable field extension $K = Q(R) \subseteq K$. Then there is a finite R -algebra $R \subseteq R' \subseteq K$ which is Reg-0. Notice that there is an element $b \in R - (0)$ with $R_b = R'_b$ and that $\text{Spec}(R)$ is irreducible. Thus R is Reg-0 and there is an $a \in R$ with R_a a regular ring. Obviously, it is enough to show that S_a is Reg-0 and we may assume that R is a regular ring and S a finitely generated R -algebra with $R \subseteq S$. In addition we assume that R and S are domains. Set $K = Q(R)$ and $L = Q(S)$.

Case 1: L is separable over K .

Since L is finitely generated over K there is a separating transcendence

basis $t_1, \dots, t_n \in S$ of L over K . (See the proof of (1.31) to conclude that S contains a separating transcendence basis of L over K). Set $R' = R[t_1, \dots, t_n]$ and $K' = Q(R') = K(t_1, \dots, t_n)$. R' is a regular ring. Moreover, there is a vector space basis $w_1, \dots, w_t \in S$ of L over K' .

Claim 1: There is an $f \in R' - (0)$ so that S_f is a finite free R'_f -module.

Pf of Claim 1: Since S is finitely generated over R , S is finitely generated over R' , say $S = R'[x_1, \dots, x_r]$. Moreover, each x_i is algebraic over $K' = Q(R')$ and satisfies a nontrivial equation:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n_i} a_{ij} x_i^j = 0$$

with $a_{ij} \in R'$ and $a_{in_i} \neq 0$. Set $f_1 = \prod_{i=1}^r a_{in_i} \neq 0$. Then S_{f_1} is finite over R'_{f_1} , and we extend w_1, \dots, w_t to a system of generators of the R'_{f_1} -module S_{f_1} , say $w_1, \dots, w_t, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_s$. Since w_1, \dots, w_t is a basis of L over K' there is an element $f_2 \in R' - (0)$ with $f_2 \sigma_i \in \sum_{j=1}^t R'_{f_1} w_j$ for all $1 \leq i \leq s$. Then with $f = f_1 f_2$ the ring S_f is a finite free R'_f -module and Claim 1 is proven.

Since R'_f is a regular ring, we replace R by R'_f and S by S_f and assume that S is a finite free R -module and a domain and that the field extension $Q(R) = K \subseteq L = Q(S)$ is separable algebraic. Let w_1, \dots, w_t be a basis of S over R . Consider

$$d = \det (\text{tr}_{L/K} (w_i w_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq t}.$$

Then $d \in R$ and $d \neq 0$ since L is separable over K .

Claim 2: S_d is a regular ring.

Pf of Claim 2: Let $Q \in \text{Spec}(S)$ with $d \notin Q$ and $P = Q \cap R$. Then

$S_P = \sum_{i=1}^t R_P w_i$ is a free R_P -module and

$$\bar{S}_P = S \otimes_R k(P) = \sum_{i=1}^t k(P) \bar{w}_i$$

is a $k(P)$ -vector space of dimension t . Moreover,

$$\bar{d} = \det (\text{tr}_{\bar{S}/k(P)} (\bar{w}_i \bar{w}_j)) \neq 0 \text{ in } k(P).$$

Then by Bourbaki, Algebra, Chap. V, §8, Prop. 1, \bar{S}_P is étale over $k(P)$.

Hence by (3.3) \bar{S}_P is a product of fields and by 911, Theorem (8.63)

S_Q is a regular local ring.

This shows that $\text{Reg}(S)$ contains a nonempty open subset.

Case 2: L is not separable over K .

By Lemma (13.9) there is a finite purely inseparable field extension $K \subseteq K'$ so that $L(K')$ is separable over K' . By assumption (c) there is a finite R -algebra R' so that R' is Reg-0 and $Q(R') = K'$. Set $S' = S[R'] \subseteq L(K')$. By case 1 S' is Reg-0 .

Claim 3: S is Reg-0 .

Pf of claim 3: Let $w_1, \dots, w_r \in S'$ with $L(K') = L(w_1, \dots, w_r)$. Since S' is a finite S -module there are elements $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_r \in S'$ so that

$$S' = \sum_{i=1}^r S w_i + \sum_{j=1}^r S \mu_j.$$

Hence there is an element $g \in S - (0)$ so that

$$S'_g = \sum_{i=1}^r S_g w_i$$

and S'_g is a finite free S_g -module. Let $Q \in \text{Spec}(S')$ with $g \notin Q$ and set $P = Q \cap S$. The local extension $S_P \rightarrow S'_Q$ is faithfully flat and by 911, Theorem (8.63) S_P is regular if S'_Q is. In particular, S is Reg-0 since S' is Reg-0 .

(13.12) Proposition: Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a complete local Noetherian ring with $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq R$.

Then R is Reg-2 .

Proof: By (13.11) it suffices to show: For all $P \in \text{Spec}(R)$ the ring R/P is Reg-0 . Hence we may assume that (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) is a complete local Noetherian domain which contains the rational numbers. By Cohen's structure theorems (8.17) and (8.19) R contains a coefficient field and $R \cong k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]/\mathfrak{a}$. Set $S = k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ and $\mathfrak{n} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and suppose that $\text{ht } \mathfrak{a} = r$. By Theorem (12.11) $(WJ)_k$ holds for $\mathfrak{n} \in S$. Since S contains a field of characteristic 0, by Theorem (12.16) $(WJ)_k$ holds for every prime ideal $P \subseteq S$. Moreover, $\text{Der}_k(S)$ is a free S -module with

basis $\partial/\partial x_1, \dots, \partial/\partial x_n$ and by (12.14) for all $P \in S$ with $Q \subseteq P$ the following conditions are equivalent:

(a) $(S/Q)_P$ is regular

(b) $\text{rank } J(g_1, \dots, g_r; \partial/\partial x_1, \dots, \partial/\partial x_n)(P) = r$

where $Q = (g_1, \dots, g_r)$. Let $J \subseteq S$ be the ideal generated by Q and the $r \times r$ -minors of the Jacobian matrix $(\partial g_i / \partial x_j)$. Then by (12.14)

$(S/Q)_P$ is regular $\iff J \not\subseteq P$.

$\text{Reg}(S/Q)$ is open in $\text{Spec}(S/Q)$ and R is $\text{Reg}-2$.

(13.13) Proposition: Let R be a complete local Noetherian ring of equal characteristic $p > 0$. Then R is $\text{Reg}-2$.

Proof: By (13.11) we have to show:

Let $P \in R$ be a prime ideal and $k(P) \subseteq L$ a finite purely inseparable field extension. Then there is a finite R -algebra S with

(i) $R/P \subseteq S \subseteq L$

(ii) S is $\text{Reg}-0$

(iii) $Q(S) = L$.

Let $P \in \text{Spec}(R)$ and $k(P) \subseteq L$ a finite purely inseparable field extension. Replace R by R/P and assume that $L = k(P)(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ with $[L:k(P)] = q = p^r$ for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $L^q \subseteq k(P)$. We may assume that x_1, \dots, x_m are integral over R and put $S = R[x_1, \dots, x_m]$. Obviously, S satisfies conditions (i) and (iii).

Claim: S is a complete local ring.

Pf of Claim: Since S is a finite R -module, S is complete with respect to the Jacobson radical of S . It remains to show that S is local. Consider the set

$$\mathfrak{n} = \{y \in S \mid y^q \in \mathfrak{m} \subseteq R\}.$$

Obviously, \mathfrak{n} is an ideal of S . If $x \in S - \mathfrak{n}$, then $x^q \notin \mathfrak{m}$ and x^q is a unit of R .

Thus \mathfrak{n} is the maximal ideal of S and S is local.

Then $S \cong k[y_1, \dots, y_n]/Q$ where $k = S/\mathfrak{m}$. Set $T = k[y_1, \dots, y_n]$ and assume that $\text{ht } Q = r$ with $Q = (g_1, \dots, g_t)$. Moreover, let $\{u_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Gamma}$ be a p -basis of k over k^p and let $D_\lambda \in \text{Der}(T)$ be the derivations defined by $D_\lambda(u_\lambda) = \delta_{\lambda\lambda}$ and $D_\lambda(y_i) = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. If $P \subseteq T$ is a prime ideal with $Q \subseteq P$ then by (12.26) the following conditions are equivalent:

(a) $(T/Q)_P$ is regular

(b) There are $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s \in \Gamma$ so that

$$\text{rank } J(g_1, \dots, g_t; D_{\lambda_1}, \dots, D_{\lambda_s}, \partial/\partial y_1, \dots, \partial/\partial y_n)(P) = r.$$

Let $J \subseteq T$ be the ideal generated by Q and all $r \times r$ minors of matrices of the form: $J(g_1, \dots, g_t; D_{\lambda_1}, \dots, D_{\lambda_s}, \partial/\partial y_1, \dots, \partial/\partial y_n)$ where $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s$ is running through all finite subsets of Γ . Then

$$(T/Q)_P \text{ is regular} \iff J \not\subseteq P$$

and $\text{Reg}(T/Q)$ is open in $\text{Spec}(T/Q)$.

(13.14) Theorem: Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a complete local Noetherian ring. Then R is Reg-2.

Proof: By (13.11) we have to show:

For every prime ideal $P \subseteq R$ and every purely inseparable field extension $k(P) \subseteq L$ there is a finite R -algebra S with

(i) $R/P \subseteq S \subseteq L$

(ii) $\text{Reg}(S)$ is Reg-0.

(iii) $Q(S) = L$.

Let $P \subseteq R$ be a prime ideal. If $\text{char } k(P) > 0$, then R/P is a complete local Noetherian ring of equal characteristic $p > 0$. The assertion follows with (13.13)

If $\text{char } k(P) = 0$ and $\text{char } k = 0$, R is a Reg-2 ring by (13.12). If $\text{char } k(P) = 0$ and $\text{char } k = p > 0$, then $L = k(P)$ for all finite purely inseparable field extensions of $k(P)$ and it remains to show:

Let R be a complete local Noetherian domain of unequal characteristic.

Then R is Reg-0.

By Cohen's structure theorem (10.14) there is a complete regular local ring $S \subseteq R$ so that R is a finite module over S . Set $Q(R) = L$ and $Q(S) = k$. Similar to the proof of (13.11) (see Claim 1) there is an element $a \in S - (0)$ so that R_a is a finite free S_a -module with basis $w_1, \dots, w_n \in R$. Consider the discriminant:

$$d = \det (\text{tr}_{L/k} (w_i w_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \in S_a.$$

Since L is separable over k it follows that $d \neq 0$. Let $Q \subseteq R$ be a prime ideal with $ad \notin Q$ and set $P = Q \cap S$. The embedding $S_P \rightarrow R_Q$ is faithfully flat with S_P a regular local ring. Moreover, with $\bar{R} = R_Q \otimes_S k(P) = (R/P)_P$ we have that

$$\bar{d} = \det (\text{tr}_{\bar{R}/k(P)} (\bar{w}_i \bar{w}_j)) \neq 0 \text{ in } k(P)$$

and by Bourbaki, Algebra, Chap. V, §8, Prop.1 the ring \bar{R} is étale over $k(P)$. Thus \bar{R} is a product of fields and by 911, Theorem (8.63) the ring R_Q is regular. This shows that R is Reg-0.