

CHAPTER V: JACOBIAN CRITERIA; EQUATIONS OVER HENSELIAN RINGS

§1: A JACOBIAN CRITERION FOR ÉTALE AND UNRAMIFIED MORPHISMS

(5.1) Theorem: Let  $R$  be a Noetherian ring,  $S = R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  the polynomial ring over  $R$  in  $n$  variables and  $I \subseteq S$  an ideal. Set  $T = S/I$  and let  $Q \in T$  be a prime ideal,  $W \subseteq S$  its contraction to  $S$ .

(a) The following conditions are equivalent:

(a.1)  $T$  is unramified over  $R$  in a neighborhood of  $Q$ .

(a.2) There are polynomials  $P_1, \dots, P_n \in I$  so that  $D = \det(\partial P_i / \partial x_j) \notin W$ .

(a.3) There are polynomials  $P_1, \dots, P_n \in I$  such that  $\bar{D}$  is invertible in  $T_Q$  where  $D = \det(\partial P_i / \partial x_j)$ .

(b) The following conditions are equivalent:

(b.1)  $T$  is étale over  $R$  in a neighborhood of  $Q$ .

(b.2) There are polynomials  $P_1, \dots, P_n \in I$  and  $f \in S - W$  such that

(i)  $(P_1, \dots, P_n)_S f = I_f$

(ii)  $D = \det(\partial P_i / \partial x_j) \notin W$ .

Proof: (a) Obviously, (a.2)  $\iff$  (a.3).

$T$  is an  $R$ -algebra of finite type and  $\Omega_{T/R}$  is a finitely generated  $T$ -module. Thus:

(a.1)  $\iff T_f$  is unramified over  $R$  for some  $f \in T - Q$

$\iff \Omega_{T_f/R} = (\Omega_{T/R})_f = 0$  for some  $f \in T - Q$

$\iff (\Omega_{T/R})_Q = \Omega_{T_Q/R} = 0$ .

Moreover,

$$\Omega_{S/R} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n S dx_i \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_{T/R} = \Omega_{S/R} / dI$$

where  $d: S \rightarrow \Omega_{S/R}$  is the universal derivation defined by:

$dF = \sum_{i=1}^n \partial F / \partial x_i dx_i$  for all  $F \in S = R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . Then:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\Omega_{T/R})_Q &= (\Omega_{S_W/R}) / dI_W \\
 &= (\bigoplus_{i=1}^n S_W dx_i) / dI_W \\
 &= (\bigoplus_{i=1}^n S_W dx_i) / (dI_W + I_W (\bigoplus S_W dx_i)) \\
 &= (\bigoplus_{i=1}^n T_Q dx_i) / dI_W
 \end{aligned}$$

Let  $I = (P_1, \dots, P_m)$ , then

$$dI = \sum_{j=1}^m S dP_j + I \Omega_{S/R} \subseteq \Omega_{S/R}$$

This implies that  $(\Omega_{T/R})_Q = 0$  if and only if:

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^n T_Q dx_i = \sum_{j=1}^m T_Q dP_j.$$

(a.1)  $\Rightarrow$  (a.3): Assuming (a.1), then  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n T_Q dx_i = \sum_{j=1}^m T_Q dP_j$  and there are (after renumbering, if necessary)  $P_1, \dots, P_n \in I$  so that  $dP_1, \dots, dP_n$  are a basis of  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n T_Q dx_i$ . Therefore the determinant of the transformation matrix

$D = \det(\partial P_i / \partial x_j)$  is invertible in  $T_Q$ .

(a.3)  $\Rightarrow$  (a.1): Let  $P_1, \dots, P_n \in I$  so that  $D = \det(\partial P_i / \partial x_j)$  is invertible in  $T_Q$ .

Then  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n T_Q dx_i = \sum_{j=1}^m T_Q dP_j$  and  $(\Omega_{T/R})_Q = 0$ .

(b) (b.2)  $\Rightarrow$  (b.1): By assumption  $T_f = S_f / (P_1, \dots, P_n)$  where  $f \in S-W$  and

$D = \det(\partial P_i / \partial x_j) \notin W$ . By (a) we know that there is an  $h \in S-W$  so that

$T_h$  is unramified over  $R$ . It remains to show that there is a  $g \in S-W$

so that  $T_g$  is smooth over  $R$ . Let  $g = f \cdot D$ . We claim that  $T_g$  is a smooth  $R$ -algebra and  $T$  is étale over  $R$  in a neighborhood of  $Q$ .

In order to show this let  $C$  be an  $R$ -algebra,  $\mathfrak{J} \subseteq C$  an ideal with  $\mathfrak{J}^2 = 0$ .

Consider a commutative diagram of ring morphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & T_g & \xrightarrow{\bar{u}} & \bar{C} = C/\mathfrak{J} \\
 & \nearrow \mu & \uparrow & & \uparrow \nu \\
 S & & R & \longrightarrow & C
 \end{array}$$

where  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are the natural maps. We need to find an  $R$ -algebra morphism

$\tau: S \rightarrow E$  with  $I_g \subseteq \ker(\tau)_g$ .

Set  $\varphi = \bar{u} \mu$  and  $\bar{e}_i = \varphi(x_i) \in \bar{C}$  and let  $e_1, \dots, e_n \in C$  be preimages of

$e_1, \dots, e_n$ . In order to complete the proof we have to find elements  $v_1, \dots, v_n \in \mathfrak{J}$

so that  $P_i(e_1 + v_1, \dots, e_n + v_n) = 0$  in  $C$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Let  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  be variables over  $C$ , then by Taylor's formula:

$$P_i(e_1 + y_1, \dots, e_n + y_n) = P_i(e_1, \dots, e_n) + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial P_i}{\partial x_j}(e_1, \dots, e_n) y_j + \text{terms of total degree } \geq 2 \text{ in } y.$$

Notice that  $\frac{\partial P_i}{\partial y_j}(0, \dots, 0) = \frac{\partial P_i}{\partial x_j}(e_1, \dots, e_n)$ . Since  $\mathfrak{J}^2 = 0$ , in order to find  $v_1, \dots, v_n \in \mathfrak{J}$  with  $P_i(e_1 + v_1, \dots, e_n + v_n) = 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$  we need to solve the matrix equation:

$$(*) \quad \left( \frac{\partial P_i}{\partial x_j} \right) (e_1, \dots, e_n) \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_n \end{pmatrix} = (-1) \begin{pmatrix} P_1(e_1, \dots, e_n) \\ \vdots \\ P_n(e_1, \dots, e_n) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since  $D = \det \left( \frac{\partial P_i}{\partial x_j} \right)$  is invertible in  $T_{\mathfrak{g}}$ ,  $\bar{u}(D) = \det \left( \frac{\partial P_i}{\partial x_j} \right) (\bar{e}_1, \dots, \bar{e}_n)$  is invertible in  $\bar{C}$  and the matrix  $\left( \frac{\partial P_i}{\partial x_j} \right) (e_1, \dots, e_n)$  is invertible in  $C^{n \times n}$ . Thus

(\*) is solvable and there are  $v_1, \dots, v_n \in \mathfrak{J}$  with  $P_i(e_1 + v_1, \dots, e_n + v_n) = 0$  in  $C$ , since  $P_i(e_1, \dots, e_n) \in \mathfrak{J}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . The  $R$ -algebra morphism  $\tau: S \rightarrow E$  defined by  $\tau(x_i) = e_i + v_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$  factors through  $T_{\mathfrak{g}}$  yielding a lifting of  $\bar{u}$ .

(b.1)  $\Rightarrow$  (b.2): If  $T$  is étale over  $R$  in a neighborhood of  $Q$ , then  $T$  is unramified over  $R$  in a neighborhood of  $Q$  and by (a) there are  $P_1, \dots, P_n \in I$  so that:

$$\det \left( \frac{\partial P_i}{\partial x_j} \right) \notin W.$$

We want to show that there is an element  $f \notin W$  so that  $I_f = (P_1, \dots, P_n)_f$ . Since  $I$  is finitely generated it suffices to show that  $I_W = (P_1, \dots, P_n)_W$ . Set  $T' = S/(P_1, \dots, P_n)$  and  $I' = I/(P_1, \dots, P_n)$  and let  $Q'$  be the contraction of  $Q$  to  $T'$ . Consider the exact sequence of  $R$ -modules:

$$(*) \quad 0 \rightarrow (I'/I'^2)_{Q'} \rightarrow (T'/I'^2)_{Q'} \xrightarrow{\mu} T_Q \rightarrow 0$$

We claim that the sequence (\*) is split exact. Let  $g \in T' - Q'$  so that  $T_g$  is étale over  $R$  and consider the commutative diagram of ring morphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_g & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & (T'/I')_g \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \downarrow \\ R & \longrightarrow & (T'/I'^2)_g \end{array}$$

where  $\nu$  is the natural map. Since  $T_Q$  is smooth over  $R$ , the identity map lifts to an  $R$ -algebra morphism  $\psi: T_Q \rightarrow (T'/I'^2)_Q$  inducing a morphism  $\varphi: T_Q \rightarrow (T'/I'^2)_{Q'}$  with  $\mu\varphi = \text{id}$ . The sequence  $(*)$  is split exact and the sequence  $0 \rightarrow (I'/I'^2)_{Q'} \otimes_R k(P) \rightarrow (T'/I'^2)_{Q'} \otimes_R k(P) \xrightarrow{\bar{\mu}} T_Q \otimes_R k(P) \rightarrow 0$  is exact where  $P = Q \cap R$ . We want to show that  $\bar{\mu}$  is an isomorphism.

Notice that by (a)  $T'$  is unramified over  $R$  in a neighborhood of  $Q'$ . Hence there is an  $h \in T' - Q'$  with  $T'_h$  unramified over  $R$  and  $T'_h \otimes_R k(P)$  unramified over  $k(P)$ . By (3.3)  $T'_h \otimes_R k(P)$  is a product of fields and the localized residue class ring  $(T'/I'^2)_{Q'} \otimes_R k(P)$  and  $T_Q \otimes_R k(P)$  are fields.  $\bar{\mu}$  is an isomorphism implying that  $(I'/I'^2)_{Q'} \otimes_R k(P) = 0$ . By Nakayama  $(I'/I'^2)_{Q'} = 0$  and thus  $I'_{Q'} = 0$  yielding that  $I_W = (P_1, \dots, P_n)_W$ .

(5.2) Corollary: Let  $R, S, I$  and  $T$  be as in (5.2). Suppose that  $T$  is unramified over  $R$  in a neighborhood of  $Q$  and that there are elements  $h_1, \dots, h_n \in I$  with  $I_W = (h_1, \dots, h_n)_W$ . Then

$$\det(\partial h_i / \partial x_j) \notin W.$$

In particular, in this case  $T$  is étale over  $R$  in a neighborhood of  $Q$ .

Proof: Since  $T$  is unramified over  $R$  in a neighborhood of  $Q$ ,  $(\partial T/R) = 0$  where  $\partial T/R = (\bigoplus_{i=1}^n S dx_i) / dI = (\bigoplus_{i=1}^n T dx_i) / dI$ . Hence  $dI_Q = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n T_Q dx_i$ .

By assumption  $dI_Q = \sum_{i=1}^n T_Q dh_i$  and  $dh_1, \dots, dh_n$  form a basis of  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n T_Q dx_i$ . With  $dh_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \partial h_i / \partial x_j dx_j$  the Jacobian determinant  $\det(\partial h_i / \partial x_j)$  is invertible in  $T_Q$ . By (5.1)  $T$  is étale over  $R$  in a neighborhood of  $Q$ .

## §2: A JACOBIAN CRITERION FOR SMOOTH AFFINE ALGEBRAS

(1.3) Theorem: Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a local Noetherian ring. Suppose that  $R$  contains a field  $k$  and that  $R$  is smooth over  $k$ . Then  $R$  is a regular local ring.

Proof: Let  $x_1, \dots, x_d \in \mathfrak{m}$  be a minimal system of generators of  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Since  $R$  contains a field, the  $\mathfrak{m}$ -adic completion of  $R$  contains a coefficient field  $K$  and by Cohen's structure theorem:

$$\widehat{R} \cong K[[y_1, \dots, y_d]]/\mathfrak{I}$$

where  $y_1, \dots, y_d$  are variables over  $K$ ,  $\mathfrak{I} \subseteq K[[y_1, \dots, y_d]]$  an ideal. Put  $M = (y_1, \dots, y_d) \subseteq K[[y_1, \dots, y_d]]$ . Since  $x_1, \dots, x_d$  is a minimal set of generators of  $\mathfrak{m}$  and  $R \rightarrow \widehat{R}$  faithfully flat, we get  $\mathfrak{I} \subseteq M^2$ . Thus there is a surjective morphism:

$$\nu: R \longrightarrow K[[y_1, \dots, y_d]]/M^2.$$

We claim that  $\nu$  lifts to a morphism of rings:

$$\nu_2: R \longrightarrow K[[y_1, \dots, y_d]].$$

If  $\text{char } k \neq 0$ , then the coefficient field  $K$  may not contain  $k$ . Therefore, let  $P$  be a prime field contained in  $k$ , then there is a canonical map  $P \hookrightarrow K$ .

Moreover,  $k$  is separable and thus smooth over  $P$ . Thus  $R$  is smooth over  $P$  and there is a commutative diagram of ring morphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{\nu} & K[[y_1, \dots, y_d]]/M^2 \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ P & \longrightarrow & K[[y_1, \dots, y_d]]/M^3 \end{array}$$

By the smoothness of  $R$  over  $P$ ,  $\nu$  lifts to a ring morphism:

$$\nu_3: R \longrightarrow K[[y_1, \dots, y_d]]/M^3.$$

Using the same argument again we see that  $\nu_3$  lifts to a morphism:

$$\nu_4: R \longrightarrow K[[y_1, \dots, y_d]]/M^4.$$

Repeat the argument. Since the power series ring is complete, this yields a ring morphism:  $\nu_0: R \longrightarrow K[[y_1, \dots, y_d]]$  which lifts  $\nu$ .

Since  $\nu$  is surjective the induced map of the complete local rings

$$\hat{\nu}_0: \hat{R} \longrightarrow K[[x_1, \dots, x_d]]$$

is surjective [911, Theorem 9.29]. This implies that  $\dim(R) = \dim(\hat{R}) \geq d = \text{edim}(R)$  and  $R$  is regular.

(5.4) Theorem: Let  $k$  be a field,  $S = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  the polynomial ring over  $k$  and  $I, P \subseteq S$  ideals with  $P$  a prime ideal and  $I \subseteq P$ . Set  $R = S_P$ ,  $PR = M$ ,  $A = R/IR$ ,  $MA = m$ ,  $R/M = A/m = K$  and suppose that  $\text{ht } I = r$  and  $IR = (f_1, \dots, f_r)$  where  $f_1, \dots, f_r \in S$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(a)  $\text{rank}(\partial f_i / \partial x_j \pmod{P}) = r$

(b)  $A$  is smooth over  $k$ .

(c) The module of differentials  $\Omega_{A/k}$  is a free  $A$ -module of rank  $n-r$ .

(d)  $A$  is a domain, its field of fractions  $Q(A)$  is separable over  $k$ , and the module of differentials  $\Omega_{A/k}$  is a free  $A$ -module.

Proof: (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b): By assumption there are  $D_1, \dots, D_r \in \{\partial/\partial x_1, \dots, \partial/\partial x_n\}$  and  $g_1, \dots, g_r \in \{f_1, \dots, f_r\}$  so that  $\det(D_i g_j) \notin M$ . Consider the  $K$ -linear map  $\varphi: M/M^2 \rightarrow K^r$  defined by  $\varphi(f) = (D_1 f, \dots, D_r f)$ . Since  $\det(D_i g_j) \notin M$ ,  $\varphi(g_1), \dots, \varphi(g_r)$  are linearly independent over  $K$ . Hence  $g_1, \dots, g_r$  are linearly independent in  $M/M^2$ .

Since  $R$  is a regular local ring with maximal ideal  $M$ , the elements  $g_1, \dots, g_r$  generate a prime ideal  $Q$  of height  $r$  in  $R$ . Thus  $Q = IR = (g_1, \dots, g_r)$ , since  $Q \subseteq IR$  and  $\text{ht } IR = r$ . Moreover,  $A$  is a regular local ring.

Let  $C$  be a  $k$ -algebra,  $N \subseteq C$  an ideal with  $N^2 = 0$ . Consider a commutative diagram of ring morphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{u} & C/N \\ \varepsilon \uparrow & & \uparrow \nu \\ R & \searrow \tau & C \\ \uparrow & & \\ k & \xrightarrow{\quad} & C \end{array}$$

Since  $R$  is the localization of a polynomial ring over  $k$ ,  $R$  is smooth over  $k$  and there is a  $k$ -algebra morphism  $\tau: R \rightarrow C$  with  $\nu\tau = \bar{u}\epsilon$ .

Set  $\tau(x_i) = u_i \in C$  and let  $y_i = x_i + I \in A$  denote the image of  $x_i$  in  $A$ .

Then  $\nu(u_i) = \bar{u}(y_i)$  and we have to find elements  $e_i \in N$  so that the modified  $k$ -algebra morphism  $\tau': R \rightarrow C$  defined by  $\tau'(x_i) = u_i + e_i$  factors through  $A$ , or equivalently, that  $g_j(u_i + e_i, \dots, u_n + e_n) = 0$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq r$ . Using the Taylor formula and  $N^2 = 0$  we obtain:

$$(*) \quad g_i(u_i + e_i, \dots, u_n + e_n) = g_i(u_i, \dots, u_n) + \sum_{j=1}^n (\partial g_i / \partial x_j)(u_i, \dots, u_n) e_j$$

for all  $1 \leq i \leq r$ . (\*) yields a matrix equation:

$$\begin{pmatrix} g_1(u+e) \\ \vdots \\ g_r(u+e) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} g_1(u) \\ \vdots \\ g_r(u) \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \partial g_1 / \partial x_j(u) \\ \vdots \\ \partial g_r / \partial x_j(u) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e_1 \\ \vdots \\ e_n \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $u+e = (u_1 + e_1, \dots, u_n + e_n)$  and  $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$ . By renumbering  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , if necessary, we may assume that

$$\det (\partial g_i / \partial x_j)_{1 \leq i, j \leq r} \notin M.$$

Hence  $\det (\partial g_i / \partial x_j(u))_{1 \leq i, j \leq r}$  is invertible in  $C$  and there is an invertible  $r \times r$  matrix  $\Delta \in C^{r \times r}$  so that:

$$\Delta \begin{pmatrix} g_1(u+e) \\ \vdots \\ g_r(u+e) \end{pmatrix} = \Delta \begin{pmatrix} g_1(u) \\ \vdots \\ g_r(u) \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & * \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & * \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e_1 \\ \vdots \\ e_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore there are elements  $e_1, \dots, e_n \in N$  so that

$$0 = \Delta \begin{pmatrix} g_1(u) \\ \vdots \\ g_r(u) \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & * \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & * \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e_1 \\ \vdots \\ e_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

The morphism  $\bar{u}$  lifts to a  $k$ -algebra morphism  $u: A \rightarrow C$  and  $A$  is smooth over  $k$ .

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (d): By (2.15) the sequence:  $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{I}R/\mathbb{I}^2R \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_{R/k} \otimes A \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_{A/k} \rightarrow 0$

is split exact. Since  $\Omega_{R/k} \otimes_R A$  is a free  $A$ -module and  $A$  is a local ring, the module of differentials  $\Omega_{A/k}$  is free. Since  $A$  is smooth over  $k$ , by (5.3)  $A$  is a regular local ring and its field of quotients  $Q(A)$  is smooth over  $k$ .

By (2.20)  $Q(A)$  is separable over  $k$ .

(d)  $\Rightarrow$  (c): By assumption  $Q(A) = L$  is separable and finitely generated over  $k$ .

By (1.31)  $L$  is separably generated over  $k$ . Since  $\text{trdeg}_k L = \dim S/I = n-r$  there

is a separating transcendence basis  $y_1, \dots, y_{n-r}$  of  $L$  over  $k$ . We claim that

$dy_1, \dots, dy_{n-r}$  is a basis of  $\Omega_{L/k}$ . In order to prove this let  $E = k(y_1, \dots, y_{n-r}) \subseteq L$

$L$  is separable algebraic over  $E$  and by (2.14) the sequence of  $L$ -vector spaces:

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{E/k} \otimes_E L \rightarrow \Omega_{L/k} \rightarrow \Omega_{L/E} \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. Since  $L$  is separable algebraic over  $E$ ,  $\Omega_{L/E} = 0$  and

$dy_1, \dots, dy_{n-r}$  is a basis of  $\Omega_{L/k}$ . Therefore:

$$\text{rk}(\Omega_{A/k}) = \dim_L(\Omega_{L/k}) = \text{trdeg}_k L = n-r = \dim(S/I).$$

(c)  $\Rightarrow$  (a): Consider the exact sequence of  $A$ -modules (see (1.12)):

$$\mathbb{I}R/\mathbb{I}^2R \xrightarrow{\bar{\delta}} \Omega_{R/k} \otimes_R A \rightarrow \Omega_{A/k} \rightarrow 0$$

where  $\bar{\delta}(a + \mathbb{I}^2R) = \delta(a) + \mathbb{I}\Omega_{R/k}$ . Let  $N = \text{im}(\bar{\delta})$ . Since  $A$  is local and  $\Omega_{A/k}$

is a free  $A$ -module,  $N$  is a free  $A$ -module of rank  $r$ .  $N$  is generated

by  $(\partial f_i / \partial x_1, \dots, \partial f_i / \partial x_n) \in \Omega_{R/k} \otimes_R A$  for  $1 \leq i \leq t$ . Since any basis of  $N$

is part of a basis of  $\Omega_{R/k} \otimes_R A$ , assertion (a) follows.



### § 3: EQUATIONS OVER HENSELIAN RINGS

(5.5) Lemma: Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  be a quasi local Henselian ring,  $f \in R[x]$  a polynomial, and set  $\bar{f} = f + \mathfrak{m}R[x] \in k[x]$ . Suppose that there is an  $\bar{a} \in k$  with  $\bar{f}(\bar{a}) = 0$  and  $\bar{f}'(\bar{a}) \neq 0$ . Then there is an element  $a \in R$  with

$$f(a) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad a + \mathfrak{m} = \bar{a} \in k.$$

Proof: Consider the natural  $R$ -algebra morphism:

$$\varphi: (R[x]/(f))_{\mathfrak{f}'} \longrightarrow k$$

defined by  $\varphi(x) = \bar{a}$ . By (5.1)  $T = (R[x]/(f))_{\mathfrak{f}'}$  is étale over  $R$  in the neighborhood of every  $Q \in \text{Spec}(T)$  with  $Q \cap R = \mathfrak{m}$ . In particular, with  $\mathfrak{n} = \ker(\varphi)$ ,  $T_{\mathfrak{n}}$  is locally étale over  $R$ . Since  $T_{\mathfrak{n}}/\mathfrak{n}T_{\mathfrak{n}} = k$  and  $R$  Henselian,  $T_{\mathfrak{n}} \cong R$ . Thus there is an element  $a \in R$  with  $f(a) = 0$  and  $a + \mathfrak{m} = \bar{a} \in k$ .

(5.6) Theorem (Implicit Function Theorem) Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  be a quasi local Henselian ring.

$S = R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  the polynomial ring over  $R$ , and  $I = (f_1, \dots, f_n) \subseteq S$  an ideal. Let

$\mathfrak{J} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$  be an ideal in  $R$  and  $\bar{a} = (\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_n) \in (R/\mathfrak{J})^n$  an element so that:

(a)  $f_i(\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_n) = 0$  in  $R/\mathfrak{J}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

(b) The Jacobian determinant  $\det(\partial f_i / \partial x_j)(\bar{a})$  is invertible in  $R/\mathfrak{J}$ .

Then there is an  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in R^n$  so that for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$   $f_i(a) = 0$  and  $a_i + \mathfrak{J} = \bar{a}_i$ .

Proof: Consider the  $R$ -algebra morphism:

$$\varphi: T = R[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I \longrightarrow R/\mathfrak{J}$$

defined by  $\varphi(x_i) = \bar{a}_i$  and let  $Q = \varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{m})$  and  $W \subseteq S$  the preimage of  $Q$  in  $S$ .

Since  $\det(\partial f_i / \partial x_j)(\bar{a})$  invertible in  $R/\mathfrak{J}$ , the Jacobian  $\det(\partial f_i / \partial x_j) \notin W$  and  $T_Q$  is locally étale over  $R$  by (5.1). Moreover,  $T_Q/\mathfrak{a}T_Q = k$  and thus  $T_Q \cong R$  (as  $R$ -algebras), since  $R$  is Henselian. Hence there are elements  $a_i \in R$  with

$a_i + \mathfrak{J} = \bar{a}_i$  and  $f_i(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

(5.7) Theorem: (Newton Lemma) Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  be a quasi local Henselian ring. Suppose that  $f \in R[x]$  is a polynomial and  $a \in R$  an element with  $f(a) \equiv 0 \pmod{(f'(a))^2 \mathfrak{m}}$ . Then there is an element  $b \in R$  with  $f(b) = 0$  and  $a \equiv b \pmod{f'(a) \mathfrak{m}}$ .

Proof: Let  $y$  be another variable over  $R$ . Then by Taylor's formula:

$$f(a + f'(a)y) = f(a) + f'(a)^2 y + f'(a)^2 y^2 g(y)$$

where  $g(y) \in R[y]$ . By assumption there is an element  $c \in \mathfrak{m}$  with  $f(a) = c f'(a)^2$ . Hence:

$$\begin{aligned} f(a + f'(a)y) &= c f'(a)^2 + f'(a)^2 y + f'(a)^2 y^2 g(y) \\ &= f'(a)^2 h(y) \end{aligned}$$

where  $h(y) = c + y + y^2 g(y) \in R[y]$ . Setting  $y = 0$  we obtain in  $k$ :

$$\bar{h}(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{h}'(0) \neq 0.$$

By (5.5) there is an element  $d \in \mathfrak{m}$  so that  $\bar{h}(d) = 0$ . Hence  $f(a + f'(a)d) = f'(a)^2 h(d) = 0$ .  $b = a + f'(a)d \in R$  is a root of  $f$  with  $b \equiv a \pmod{f'(a) \mathfrak{m}}$ .

(5.8) Theorem: Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  be a quasi local Henselian ring and  $F = (f_1, \dots, f_r) \in R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  an ideal in the polynomial ring over  $R$ . Let

$$\Delta = \left( \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j} \right)_{1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq n}$$

denote the Jacobian matrix of  $f_1, \dots, f_r$  and let  $D$  be an  $r \times r$ -minor of  $\Delta$ .

Suppose that there is an element  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in R^n$  so that

$$F(a) \equiv 0 \pmod{(D(a))^2 \mathfrak{m}}.$$

Then there is a  $b = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in R^n$  with

$$F(b) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad b_i \equiv a_i \pmod{D(a) \mathfrak{m}} \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Proof: For  $r > n$  the statement is trivial. Hence we may assume that  $r \leq n$  and that:

$$D = \det \left( \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq r}.$$

If  $r < n$  we complete  $f_1, \dots, f_r$  to a system of  $n$  polynomials by setting

$f_{r+1} = x_{r+1} - a_{r+1}, \dots, f_n = x_n - a_n$ . Hence we may assume  $r = n$ ,  $F = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$ , and

$D = \det (\partial f_i / \partial x_j)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ . Obviously, the assumptions of the theorem are still valid.

Let  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  be variables over  $R$ . Then by Taylor's formula for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ :

$$\begin{aligned} f_i(a + D(a)y) &= f_i(a_1 + D(a)y_1, \dots, a_n + D(a)y_n) \\ &= f_i(a) + \sum_{j=1}^n (\partial f_i / \partial x_j)(a) D(a)y_j + D(a)^2 g_i(a) \end{aligned}$$

where  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ ,  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ , and  $g_i(y) \in R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  a polynomial in which every term has total degree at least 2. Hence:

$$\begin{bmatrix} f_1(a + D(a)y) \\ \vdots \\ f_n(a + D(a)y) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f_1(a) \\ \vdots \\ f_n(a) \end{bmatrix} + D(a) \Delta(a) \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} + D(a)^2 \begin{bmatrix} g_1(y) \\ \vdots \\ g_n(y) \end{bmatrix}$$

By assumption there are elements  $c_i \in m$  so that  $f_i(a) = D(a)^2 c_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and therefore

$$\begin{bmatrix} f_1(a) \\ \vdots \\ f_n(a) \end{bmatrix} = D(a)^2 \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{bmatrix}$$

Let  $\Delta' \in R^{n \times n}$  be the adjoint matrix of  $\Delta(a)$ . Then  $\Delta(a) \Delta' = D(a) E$  where  $E$  is the  $n \times n$  identity matrix. In particular,  $D(a)^2 E = D(a) \Delta(a) \Delta' E$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} f_1(a + D(a)y) \\ \vdots \\ f_n(a + D(a)y) \end{bmatrix} &= D(a) \Delta(a) \left[ \Delta' \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} + \Delta' \begin{bmatrix} g_1(y) \\ \vdots \\ g_n(y) \end{bmatrix} \right] \\ &= D(a) \Delta(a) \left[ \begin{bmatrix} d_1 \\ \vdots \\ d_n \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} l_1(y) \\ \vdots \\ l_n(y) \end{bmatrix} \right] \end{aligned}$$

for some  $d_i \in m$  and  $l_i(y) \in R[y_1, \dots, y_n]$ . For  $1 \leq i \leq n$  consider the polynomial

$$h_i(y) = d_i + y_i + l_i(y) \in R[y_1, \dots, y_n].$$

Since each term of  $l_i(y)$  has total degree at least 2 we have:

$$\left( \frac{\partial h_i}{\partial y_j} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} = \begin{bmatrix} 1+q_1(y) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & * \\ * & & & \\ & & & & 1+q_n(y) \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $q_i(y) \in R[y_1, \dots, y_n]$  are polynomials with  $q_i(0) = 0$ . The entries outside the diagonal are also polynomials with constant term 0. Hence  $h_i(0) \in \mathfrak{m}$  and  $\det(\partial h_i / \partial y_j)(0) \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$ . By the implicit function theorem (5.6) there are elements  $t_1, \dots, t_n \in R$  with  $t_i \in \mathfrak{m}$  and  $h_j(t_1, \dots, t_n) = 0$  for all  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ . Then with  $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in R^n$ :

$$\begin{bmatrix} f_1(a+D(a)t) \\ \vdots \\ f_n(a+D(a)t) \end{bmatrix} = D(a)\Delta(a) \begin{bmatrix} h_1(t) \\ \vdots \\ h_n(t) \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

$a+D(a)t \in R^n$  is a solution of  $F=0$  with  $a_i+D(a)t_i \equiv a_i \pmod{D(a)\mathfrak{m}}$ .

Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  be a local Noetherian Henselian ring and  $f_1, \dots, f_m \in R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  polynomials over  $R$ . Let  $\Delta$  denote the  $m \times n$  Jacobian matrix

$$\Delta = \left( \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_n} \end{bmatrix}$$

For every  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in R^n$  the matrix  $\Delta(a)$  defines an  $R$ -linear transformation:

$$R^n \xrightarrow{\Delta(a)} R^m.$$

We denote by  $C(a) = R^m / \text{im}(\Delta(a))$  the cokernel of  $\Delta(a)$  and by  $I(a) = \text{ann}_R(C(a))$  the annihilator of the  $R$ -module  $C(a)$ .

(5.9) Theorem: (Tougeron) Under assumptions and notations as above, let  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in R^n$  so that

$$f_i(a) \equiv 0 \pmod{I(a)^2 \mathfrak{m}^d} \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i \leq m.$$

Then there is an element  $b = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in R^n$  with

$$f_i(b) = 0 \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i \leq m \quad \text{and}$$

$$b_j \equiv a_j \pmod{I(a)\mathfrak{m}^d} \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

Proof: Set  $I = I(a)$  and let  $d_1, \dots, d_r \in R$  be a system of generators of  $I$ ;  $e_1 = (1, \dots, 0), \dots, e_m = (0, \dots, 1)$  the canonical basis of  $R^m$ . Then for all  $1 \leq j \leq r, 1 \leq i \leq m$ :  $d_j e_i \in \text{im}(\Delta(a))$ .

By definition of  $\Delta(a)$  we have that:

$$\text{im}(\Delta(a)) = \sum_{k=1}^n R \begin{bmatrix} \partial f_1 / \partial x_k(a) \\ \vdots \\ \partial f_m / \partial x_k(a) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus for all  $1 \leq j \leq r$  there is an  $n \times m$ -matrix  $N_j \in R^{n \times m}$  so that:

$$d_j E = \Delta(a) N_j$$

where  $E$  denotes the  $m \times m$  identity matrix. By assumption for all  $1 \leq k \leq m$ :

$$f_k(a) = \sum_{i,j=1}^r d_i d_j c_{kij}$$

where  $c_{kij} \in m^d$ . Let  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  be variables and consider the system:

$$f_1(a_1 + t_1, \dots, a_n + t_n) = 0$$

$\vdots$

$$f_m(a_1 + t_1, \dots, a_n + t_n) = 0.$$

We want to find solutions for  $t_i$  in the ideal  $I m^d$ . Let  $w_{ij}, 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq r$ , be variables and write:

$$t_i = \sum_{j=1}^r d_j w_{ij}$$

Then by Taylor's formula:

$$f_i(a_1 + \sum d_j w_{1j}, \dots, a_n + \sum d_j w_{nj}) =$$

$$f_i(a) + \sum_{k=1}^n \partial f_i / \partial x_k(a) \sum_{j=1}^r d_j w_{kj} + \sum_{i,j,k} d_j d_k q_{ijk}$$

where  $q_{ijk} \in R[w_{ij}]$   $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m$  and every term of  $q_{ijk}$  has total degree at least 2. This yields a matrix equation:

$$\begin{bmatrix} f_1(a + \sum d_j w_{1j}) \\ \vdots \\ f_m(a + \sum d_j w_{nj}) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f_1(a) \\ \vdots \\ f_m(a) \end{bmatrix} + \Delta(a) \begin{bmatrix} \sum_j d_j w_{1j} \\ \vdots \\ \sum_j d_j w_{nj} \end{bmatrix} + \sum_{j,k} d_j d_k \begin{bmatrix} q_{1jk} \\ \vdots \\ q_{mjk} \end{bmatrix}$$

Since

$$\begin{bmatrix} f_1(a) \\ \vdots \\ f_m(a) \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{i,k} d_j d_k \begin{bmatrix} c_{1jk} \\ \vdots \\ c_{mjk} \end{bmatrix}$$

we obtain:

$$\begin{bmatrix} f_1(a + \sum d_j w_j) \\ \vdots \\ f_m(a + \sum d_j w_j) \end{bmatrix} = \Delta(a) \begin{bmatrix} \sum d_j w_{1j} \\ \vdots \\ \sum d_j w_{mj} \end{bmatrix} + \sum_{j,k} d_j d_k \begin{bmatrix} q_{1jk} + c_{1jk} \\ \vdots \\ q_{mjk} + c_{mjk} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Write

$$\Delta(a) \begin{bmatrix} \sum d_j w_{1j} \\ \vdots \\ \sum d_j w_{mj} \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{j=1}^r d_j \Delta(a) \begin{bmatrix} w_{1j} \\ \vdots \\ w_{mj} \end{bmatrix}$$

and use  $d_j E = \Delta(a) N_j$  and  $d_j d_k E = d_j \Delta(a) N_k$  to obtain:

$$\sum_{j,k} d_j d_k \begin{bmatrix} q_{1jk} + c_{1jk} \\ \vdots \\ q_{mjk} + c_{mjk} \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{j=1}^r d_j \Delta(a) \sum_{k=1}^r N_k \begin{bmatrix} q_{1jk} + c_{1jk} \\ \vdots \\ q_{mjk} + c_{mjk} \end{bmatrix}$$

yielding

$$\begin{bmatrix} f_1(a + \sum d_j w_j) \\ \vdots \\ f_m(a + \sum d_j w_j) \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{j=1}^r d_j \Delta(a) \left[ \begin{bmatrix} w_{1j} \\ \vdots \\ w_{mj} \end{bmatrix} + \sum_{k=1}^r N_k \begin{bmatrix} q_{1jk} + c_{1jk} \\ \vdots \\ q_{mjk} + c_{mjk} \end{bmatrix} \right]$$

We want to solve the system of equations which is given by setting the inside of the brackets equal to zero, i.e. consider

$$h_{ij} = w_{ij} + g_{ij}(w)$$

where  $g_{ij}(w)$  is the  $ij$ -entry of the matrix

$$\sum_{k=1}^r N_k \begin{bmatrix} q_{1jk} + c_{1jk} \\ \vdots \\ q_{mjk} + c_{mjk} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Every term of the polynomial  $q_{ijk}$  has total degree at least 2,  $c_{ijk} \in m^d$ , and hence:

$$\left( \frac{\partial h_{ij}}{\partial w_{tk}} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} 1+p_1 & & * \\ & \ddots & \\ * & & 1+p_t \end{bmatrix}$$

with  $t = rk$ . Moreover, each  $p_i$  has constant term 0 and so has every entry outside

the diagonal. Hence  $h_{ij}(0) \equiv 0 \pmod{m^d}$  and  $(\partial h_{ij} / \partial u_{ek})(0)$  invertible in  $R/m^d$ . By the implicit function theorem (5.6) the system of equations  $h_{ij} = 0$  has a solution  $(u_{ek}) \in R^{rn}$  with  $u_{ek} \in m^d$ . Then

$$b_i = a_i + \sum_{j=1}^r d_j u_{ij}$$

is a solution of the system  $f_i = 0$  with  $b_i \equiv a_i \pmod{I m^d}$ .