

CHAPTER VI: ARTIN APPROXIMATION

§1: WEIERSTRASS PREPARATION THEOREM

(6.1) Theorem: (Weierstrass preparation theorem for polynomial rings) let  $K$  be a field,  $R = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  the polynomial ring over  $K$ , and  $f \in R$  a polynomial with total degree  $\deg(f) > 0$ . Then there are integers  $e_i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ , such that by substituting  $t_i = x_i - x_n^{e_i}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$  we obtain:

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = f(t_1 + x_n^{e_1}, \dots, t_{n-1} + x_n^{e_{n-1}}, x_n) = \varepsilon(x_n^k + a_{k-1}x_n^{k-1} + \dots + a_0)$$

where  $\varepsilon \in K$  and  $a_i \in K[t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}]$  for  $0 \leq i \leq k-1$ .

Proof: Write

$$f = \sum_{j=1}^t \left( \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_n = j} a_{(i)} x_1^{i_1} \dots x_n^{i_n} \right)$$

where  $a_{(i)} \in K$  and  $(i) = (i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ . With  $q = t+1$  set  $e_i = q^i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$  and substitute  $t_i + x_n^{e_i}$  for  $x_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ ) in  $f$ . For each  $(i) \in \mathbb{N}^n$  with  $a_{(i)} \neq 0$  we obtain:

$$g_{(i)} = a_{(i)} x_1^{i_1} \dots x_n^{i_n} = a_{(i)} (t_1 + x_n^{e_1}) (t_2 + x_n^{e_2}) \dots (t_{n-1} + x_n^{e_{n-1}}) x_n^{i_n}$$

$g_{(i)}$  contains the unique unmixed term  $a_{(i)} x_n^{d_{(i)}}$  where

$$d_{(i)} = i_n + i_1 q + \dots + i_{n-1} q^{n-1}$$

By the choice of  $q$ , if  $(i), (j) \in \mathbb{N}^n$  with  $|i_l|, |j_l| \leq t$  and  $(i) \neq (j)$  then  $d_{(i)} \neq d_{(j)}$ .

In particular, the unmixed terms (in  $x_n$ ) of  $g_{(i)}$  and  $g_{(j)}$  do not cancel each other. Thus for  $(l) \in \mathbb{N}^n$  with

$$d_{(l)} = \max \{ d_{(i)} \mid a_{(i)} \neq 0 \}$$

we obtain

$$f = a_{(l)} (x_n^{d_{(l)}} + b_{d_{(l)}-1} x_n^{d_{(l)}-1} + \dots + b_1 x_n + b_0)$$

where  $b_j \in K[t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}]$  for all  $0 \leq j \leq d_{(l)}-1$ .

(6.2) Remark: Let  $K$  be a field,  $x_1, \dots, x_n, t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}$  variables over  $K$ , and  $e_i \in \mathbb{N}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ . The  $K$ -algebra morphism

$$\varphi: K[x_1, \dots, x_n] \longrightarrow K[t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}, x_n]$$

defined by  $\varphi(x_i) = t_i + x_n^{e_i}$  if  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$  and  $\varphi(x_n) = x_n$  is an isomorphism of the polynomial rings. By identifying  $t_i = x_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ ,  $\varphi$  defines a  $K$ -automorphism of the polynomial ring.  $\varphi$  is called a Weierstrass automorphism of  $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ .

Theorem (6.1) says that after a suitable Weierstrass automorphism every nonzero polynomial  $f \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is a product of a monic polynomial in  $x_n$  and an invertible element in  $K$ .

We want to show a similar theorem for the power series ring in finitely many variables over a field. We start with a definition and a proposition:

(6.3) Definition: Let  $K$  be a field,  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  variables over  $K$  and  $f \in (x_1, \dots, x_n) K[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$  a nonzero power series.  $f$  is called regular in the variable  $x_j$  if  $f(0, \dots, x_j, \dots, 0) \neq 0$ , that is, if

$$f = \sum_{|i| \geq 1} a_{(i)} x_1^{i_1} \dots x_n^{i_n}$$

then there is an  $h \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$  with  $a_{(0, \dots, h, \dots, 0)} \neq 0$  ( $h$  at the  $j$ th place).

(6.4) Remark: Note that  $f = \sum_{|i| \geq 0} a_{(i)} x_1^{i_1} \dots x_n^{i_n} \in K[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$  is invertible if and only if  $a_{(0)} \neq 0$ .

(6.5) Proposition: Let  $K$  be a field and  $f \in (x_1, \dots, x_n) K[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$  a nonzero power series. There are integers  $e_i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ , so that after substituting  $t_i = x_i - x_n^{e_i}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$  the power series

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = f(t_1 + x_n^{e_1}, \dots, t_{n-1} + x_n^{e_{n-1}}, x_n)$$

is regular in  $x_n$  as a power series in  $t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}, x_n$ .

Proof: Write

$$f = \sum_{(i) \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_{(i)} x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_n^{i_n}$$

and let  $j_{n-1} \in \mathbb{N}$  be minimal with  $a_{(i_1, \dots, i_{n-2}, j_{n-1}, i_n)} \neq 0$ ,  $j_{n-2} \in \mathbb{N}$  minimal with  $a_{(i_1, \dots, i_{n-3}, j_{n-2}, j_{n-1}, i_n)} \neq 0$  and so on. Finally let  $j_n \in \mathbb{N}$  so that

$$j_n = \min \{ i_n \mid a_{(j_1, \dots, j_{n-1}, i_n)} \neq 0 \}.$$

The  $n$ -tuple  $(j) = (j_1, \dots, j_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$  has the following properties:

(a)  $a_{(j_1, \dots, j_n)} \neq 0$

(b) For all  $(i) \in \mathbb{N}^n$  with  $a_{(i)} \neq 0$  and  $(i) \neq (j)$  either  $i_k = j_k$  for all  $1 \leq k \leq n-1$  and  $i_n > j_n$  or with  $k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$  maximal with  $i_k \neq j_k$  it holds that  $i_k > j_k$ .

Let  $q = \max \{ j_1, \dots, j_n \} + 1$  and set for all  $(i) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ :

$$d_{(i)} = i_n + i_1 q + \cdots + i_{n-1} q^{n-1}.$$

We claim that for all  $(i) \in \mathbb{N}^n$  with  $a_{(i)} \neq 0$  and  $(i) \neq (j)$  it holds that  $d_{(i)} \neq d_{(j)}$ .

If  $(i) = (j_1, \dots, j_{n-1}, i_n)$  then  $i_n > j_n$  and  $d_{(i)} \neq d_{(j)}$ . Suppose that there is a  $k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$  maximal with  $i_k \neq j_k$ . Then  $i_k > j_k$ . Assume that

$$d_{(j)} = j_n + j_1 q + \cdots + j_{n-1} q^{n-1} = i_n + i_1 q + \cdots + i_{n-1} q^{n-1} = d_{(i)}.$$

Then  $j_n + j_1 q + \cdots + j_k q^k = i_n + i_1 q + \cdots + i_k q^k$

and since  $i_k > j_k$ :

$$j_n + j_1 q + \cdots + j_{k-1} q^{k-1} > q^k.$$

On the other hand, since  $j_\ell \leq q-1$  for all  $1 \leq \ell \leq n$ :

$$\begin{aligned} j_n + j_1 q + \cdots + j_{k-1} q^{k-1} &\leq (q-1)(1+q+\cdots+q^{k-1}) \\ &= q^k - 1 < q^k, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Thus  $d_{(i)} \neq d_{(j)}$  for all  $(i) \in \mathbb{N}^n$  with  $a_{(i)} \neq 0$  and  $(i) \neq (j)$ .

For all  $(i) \in \mathbb{N}^n$  the polynomial in  $t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}, x_n$

$$g_{(i)} = a_{(i)} x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_n^{i_n} = a_{(i)} (t_1 + x_n^q)^{i_1} \cdots (t_{n-1} + x_n^{q^{n-1}})^{i_{n-1}} x_n^{i_n}$$

has a single unmixed term (in  $x_n$ ):  $a_{(i)} x_n^{d_{(i)}}$ . Therefore for all  $(i) \in \mathbb{N}^n$

$a_{(i)} x_n^{d_{(i)}}$  does not cancel  $a_{(j)} x_n^{d_{(j)}}$ . Thus  $f(t_1 + x_n^q, \dots, t_{n-1} + x_n^{q^{n-1}}, x_n)$  is

regular in  $x_n$  (as a power series in  $t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}, x_n$ ).

(6.6) Theorem: Let  $K$  be a field and  $f(x) \in (x_1, \dots, x_n) K[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$  a nonzero power series. Suppose that

$$f = \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} a_{(\alpha)} x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n},$$

$a_{(i)} \in K$ , is regular in  $x_n$  and that  $s \in \mathbb{N}$  is minimal with  $a_{(0, \dots, 0, s)} \neq 0$ . Then for all  $g \in K[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$  there are unique power series  $u \in K[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$  and  $r_i \in K[[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]]$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq s-1$ , so that

$$g = uf + \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} r_i x_n^i.$$

Proof: Consider  $K$ -linear maps:

$$R, H: K[[x_1, \dots, x_n]] \longrightarrow K[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$$

defined by: for  $p = \sum_{(i) \in \mathbb{N}^n} b_{(i)} x_1^{i_1} \dots x_n^{i_n}$  set:

$$R(p) = \sum_{\substack{(i) \in \mathbb{N}^n \\ i_n < s}} b_{(i)} x_1^{i_1} \dots x_n^{i_n} \quad \text{and} \quad H(p) = \frac{1}{x_n^s} \sum_{\substack{(i) \in \mathbb{N}^n \\ i_n \geq s}} b_{(i)} x_1^{i_1} \dots x_n^{i_n}.$$

Obviously,  $p = R(p) + x_n^s H(p)$  where  $H(p) \in K[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$  and  $R(p) \in K[[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]] [x_n]$  a polynomial in  $x_n$  of degree  $\deg_{x_n} R(p) < s$ . Since  $s \in \mathbb{N}$  is minimal with  $a_{(0, \dots, 0, s)} \neq 0$  we have that  $R(f) \in (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) K[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$  and  $H(f)$  is invertible in  $K[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ .

Claim: Let  $g, u \in K[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ . Then

$$H(g) = H(uf) \iff \exists r_i \in K[[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]], 0 \leq i \leq s-1, \text{ with } g = uf + \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} r_i x_n^i.$$

Pf of  $\Rightarrow$ : Let  $g, u \in K[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$  with  $H(g) = H(uf)$ . Then  $H(g - uf) = 0$  and  $g - uf \in K[[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]] [x_n]$  is a polynomial in  $x_n$  of degree  $\deg_{x_n} (g - uf) < s$ . Hence there are  $r_i \in K[[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]]$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq s-1$ , so that  $g - uf = \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} r_i x_n^i$ .

$\Leftarrow$ : Conversely, if  $g - uf = \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} r_i x_n^i$  with  $r_i \in K[[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]]$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq s-1$ , then  $H(g - uf) = 0$  and  $H(g) = H(uf)$ , since  $H$  is  $K$ -linear.

In order to prove the theorem for some  $g \in K[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$  by the claim we need to find a power series  $u \in K[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$  so that  $H(g) = H(uf)$ .

First note that for all  $u \in K[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ :

$$uf = u(R(f) + x_n^s H(f)) = uR(f) + ux_n^s H(f)$$

and therefore  $H(uf) = H(uR(f)) + uH(f)$ .

By the claim we have to show that

$$H(g) = H(uR(f)) + uH(f)$$

for some  $u \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . By assumption on  $f$   $H(f)$  is invertible in  $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  and  $R(f) \in (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . Hence by setting  $v = uH(f)$  we obtain:

$$H(uf) = H(vR(f)H(f)^{-1}) + v$$

Setting  $M = -R(f)H(f)^{-1}$  it suffices to find a power series  $v \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  so that:

$$H(g) = -H(vM) + v$$

Let  $N$  be the composition of the  $K$ -linear maps:

$$K[x_1, \dots, x_n] \xrightarrow{vM} K[x_1, \dots, x_n] \xrightarrow{H} K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$$

$N$

Then for all  $p \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$   $N(p) = H(Mp) = -H(R(f)H(f)^{-1}p)$ . If

$p \in (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})^j K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  then  $N(p) \in (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})^{j+1} K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  since

$R(f) \in (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ .

Suppose there is a  $v \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  with  $v = H(g) + N(v)$ . Then

$$v = H(g) + N(v)$$

$$= H(g) + N(H(g) + N(v))$$

$$= H(g) + N(H(g)) + N^2(H(g) + N(v))$$

$$= H(g) + N(H(g)) + N^2(H(g)) + N^3(v)$$

Since  $N^k(p) \in (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})^k K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  for all  $p \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  we may define:

$$v = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} N^k(H(g)) \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$$

where  $N^0$  denotes the identity map. In order to show that  $v = H(g) + N(v)$

let  $q \in \mathbb{N}$  and write  $v = \sum_{k=0}^q N^k(H(g)) + w_q$  where  $w_q \in (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})^{q+1} K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ .

Then:

$$v - H(g) - N(v) = \sum_{k=0}^q N^k(H(g)) + w_q - H(g) - \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} N^{k+1}(H(g)) - N(w_q)$$

$$= w_q - N^{q+1}(H(g)) - N(w_q)$$

$$\in (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})^{q+1} K[x_1, \dots, x_n].$$

Hence with this choice of  $v$ :  $v = H(g) + N(v)$  and we have shown that  $g = u f + \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} r_i x_n^i$  for some  $u \in K[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$  and  $r_i \in K[[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]]$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq s-1$ .

The proof of the existence shows that  $v = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} N^k(H(g))$  is uniquely determined by  $f$  and  $g$ . Thus  $u$  and the elements  $r_i \in K[[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]]$  are also uniquely determined.

(6.7) Corollary: (Weierstrass preparation theorem for power series rings) Let

$f = \sum_{(i) \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_{(i)} x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_n^{i_n} \in (x_1, \dots, x_n) K[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$  be regular in  $x_n$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  minimal with  $a_{(0, \dots, 0, s)} \neq 0$ . Then there are a unit  $\varepsilon \in K[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$  and power series  $r_i \in K[[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]]$  for  $0 \leq i \leq s-1$  such that

$$f = \varepsilon (x_n^s + r_{s-1} x_n^{s-1} + \cdots + r_0).$$

Moreover,  $\varepsilon$  and  $r_i$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq s-1$ , are uniquely determined by  $f$ .

Proof: By (6.6) there are power series  $u \in K[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$  and  $r_i \in K[[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]]$  so that:

$$-x_n^s = u f + \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} r_i x_n^i \quad \text{or} \quad -u f = x_n^s + \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} r_i x_n^i.$$

It remains to show that  $u$  is invertible, or equivalently,  $u(0, \dots, 0) \neq 0$ . Obviously,

$f(0, \dots, 0, x_n) = a_{(0, \dots, 0, s)} x_n^s + x_n^{s+1} h$  where  $h \in K[[x_n]]$ . Hence:

$$-u(0, \dots, 0, x_n) (a_{(0, \dots, 0, s)} x_n^s + x_n^{s+1} h) = x_n^s + r_{s-1}(0) x_n^{s-1} + \cdots + r_0(0).$$

Thus  $u(0, \dots, 0, x_n)$  is a unit in  $K[[x_n]]$ , or equivalently,  $u(0, \dots, 0) \neq 0$ .

Uniqueness follows by (6.6).

## §2: ARTIN APPROXIMATION

(6.8) Theorem: (M. Artin) Let  $K$  be a field,  $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  the polynomial ring over  $K$  and  $R = (K[x_1, \dots, x_n]_{(x_1, \dots, x_n)})^h$  the Henselization of the localized polynomial ring,  $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)R$  the maximal ideal of  $R$ . Let  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_N)$  be variables over  $R$  and  $\mathfrak{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$  an ideal of  $R[y_1, \dots, y_N]$ . If  $\hat{a} = (\hat{a}_1, \dots, \hat{a}_N) \in \hat{R}^N$  is a solution of the system of equations  $\mathfrak{f} = 0$ , then for every  $c \in \mathbb{N}$  there is an element  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_N) \in R^N$  with  $\mathfrak{f}(a) = 0$  and  $a_i \equiv \hat{a}_i \pmod{\mathfrak{m}^c \hat{R}}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq N$ .

The remainder of this section is devoted to the proof of theorem (6.6).

(6.9) Definition: Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a local Noetherian ring.  $R$  has the approximation property if for all  $\mathfrak{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_m) \in R[y_1, \dots, y_N]$ , where  $y_1, \dots, y_N$  are variables over  $R$ , it holds that the system  $\mathfrak{f} = 0$  has a solution in  $R$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{f} = 0$  has a solution in  $\hat{R}$ .

(6.10) Remark: (a) If the ring  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  has the approximation property, then  $R$  is Henselian.

(b) Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a ring with approximation property,  $\mathfrak{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_m) \in R[y_1, \dots, y_N]$  an ideal in the polynomial ring over  $R$  and  $\hat{a} = (\hat{a}_1, \dots, \hat{a}_N) \in \hat{R}^N$  with  $\mathfrak{f}(\hat{a}) = 0$ . Then for every  $c \in \mathbb{N}$  there is an element  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_N) \in R^N$  with  $\mathfrak{f}(a) = 0$  and  $a_i \equiv \hat{a}_i \pmod{\mathfrak{m}^c \hat{R}}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq N$ .

Proof: (a) If  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is a local Noetherian ring and  $R$  is not Henselian, then there is an étale neighborhood  $S = (R[x]/(f))_n$  of  $R$  with  $S \neq R$  and  $f \in R[x]$  a monic polynomial which has no root in  $R$ . Since  $\hat{R}$  is Henselian,  $f$  has a root in  $\hat{R}$ .

(b) Let  $\mathfrak{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_m) \in R[y_1, \dots, y_N]$  be an ideal and  $\hat{a} = (\hat{a}_1, \dots, \hat{a}_N) \in \hat{R}^N$

with  $f(\hat{a}) = 0$ . For any  $c \in \mathbb{N}$  consider a system of generators of  $m^c = (g_1, \dots, g_r)$  and for all  $1 \leq i \leq N$  let  $a_{i0} \in R$  with  $\hat{a}_i - a_{i0} \in m^c \hat{R}$ . Let  $z_{ij}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq N$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq r$ , be additional variables. Consider the system of equations over  $R$ :

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(y_1, \dots, y_N) &= 0 \\ &\vdots \\ f_m(y_1, \dots, y_N) &= 0 \\ y_1 - a_{10} - \sum_{j=1}^r z_{1j} g_j &= 0 \\ &\vdots \\ y_N - a_{N0} - \sum_{j=1}^r z_{Nj} g_j &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

This system has a solution in  $\hat{R}$ . Hence it has a solution in  $R$  which provides an approximation of the solution  $\hat{a}$  of  $f = 0$  modulo  $m^c \hat{R}$ .

(6.11) Remark: By (6.10)(b) in order to show Theorem (6.8) it suffices to show that every system of equations over  $R$  which has a solution in  $\hat{R}$  has a solution in  $R$ .

(6.12) lemma: In order to prove (6.8) it suffices to show: If  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_m) \in (K[x_1, \dots, x_n])[y_1, \dots, y_N]$  so that  $f(\hat{a}) = 0$  for some  $\hat{a} = (\hat{a}_1, \dots, \hat{a}_N) \in \hat{R}^N = K[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]^N$ , then there is an  $a \in R^N$ ,  $R = (K[x_1, \dots, x_n]_{(x_1, \dots, x_n)})^h$ , so that  $f(a) = 0$ .

Proof: Put  $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ ,  $\mathfrak{h} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)S$ , and suppose that every system of equations over  $S$  which has a solution in  $\hat{R} = K[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$  has a solution in  $R$ .

Let  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_m) \in R[y_1, \dots, y_N]$  and  $\hat{a} = (\hat{a}_1, \dots, \hat{a}_N) \in R^N$  with  $f(\hat{a}) = 0$ .

Consider the  $R$ -algebra morphism:

$$\varphi: R[y_1, \dots, y_N] \longrightarrow \hat{R}$$

defined by  $\varphi(y_i) = \hat{a}_i$ . Then  $\ker(\varphi) = P$  is a prime ideal of  $R[y_1, \dots, y_N]$  with  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_m) \in P$ . We may assume that  $f = P$ . Let  $P_0 = P \cap S[y_1, \dots, y_N]$  be the contraction of  $P$  to  $S[y_1, \dots, y_N]$ . Since  $R$  is a direct limit of étale neighborhoods of  $S_{\mathfrak{h}}$ , the ring  $R[y_1, \dots, y_N]$  is a direct limit of localized étale



extensions of  $S_n[y_1, \dots, y_N]$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{R}[y_1, \dots, y_N]/\mathcal{P}_0\mathcal{R}[y_1, \dots, y_N]$  is a direct limit of localized étale extensions of  $S_n[y_1, \dots, y_N]/\mathcal{P}_0$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{R}[y_1, \dots, y_N]/\mathcal{P}_0\mathcal{R}[y_1, \dots, y_N]$  is a reduced ring.

If  $\mathcal{P}_0\mathcal{R}[y_1, \dots, y_N] = \mathcal{P}$  we are done. Hence assume  $\mathcal{P}_0\mathcal{R}[y_1, \dots, y_N] \neq \mathcal{P}$  and write:

$$\mathcal{P}_0\mathcal{R}[y_1, \dots, y_N] = \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{Q}$$

where  $\mathcal{Q} \not\subseteq \mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$  is intersection of (finitely many) prime ideals which are not contained in  $\mathcal{P}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{P}_0 = (h_1, \dots, h_t) \subseteq S[y_1, \dots, y_N]$  for some polynomials  $h_i \in S[y_1, \dots, y_N]$ . Since  $\ker(\varphi) = \mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{Q} \not\subseteq \mathcal{P}$  there is an  $g \in \mathcal{Q}$  with  $g(\hat{a}) \neq 0$ . Let  $c \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $g(\hat{a}) \in \mathfrak{m}^c - \mathfrak{m}^{c+1}$  and  $c_{i0} \in S_n$  with  $\hat{a}_i - c_{i0} \in \mathfrak{m}^{c+1}\hat{R}$ . Write

$$c_{i0} = a_{i0}/b$$

where  $a_{i0}, b \in S$  and  $b \notin \mathfrak{n}$ . Choose a system of generators  $(g_1, \dots, g_r) = \mathfrak{n}^{c+1}$ ,  $g_i \in S$ , and consider the system of equations over  $S$ :

$$h_1(y_1, \dots, y_N) = 0$$

⋮

$$h_t(y_1, \dots, y_N) = 0$$

$$a_{10} - by_1 - \sum_{j=1}^r g_j z_{1j} = 0$$

⋮

$$a_{N0} - by_N - \sum_{j=1}^r g_j z_{Nj} = 0$$

where  $z_{ij}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq N$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq r$ , are additional variables.

Since the system has a solution in  $\hat{R}$ , by assumption it has a solution  $(a, d_{ij}) = (a_1, \dots, a_N, d_{ij}) \in \mathcal{R}^{N+(r)}$ . We claim that  $g(a) \neq 0$ .

Since  $a_{i0} - ba_i \in \mathfrak{m}^{c+1}$ ,  $ba_i - ba_j \in \mathfrak{m}^{c+1}$ , and thus  $\hat{a}_i - a_i \in \mathfrak{m}^{c+1}\hat{R}$ , since  $b$  is invertible in  $\mathcal{R}$ . Thus by Taylor's formula:

$$g(a) = g(\hat{a}) + \sum_{i=1}^N (dg/dy_i)(\hat{a})(a_i - \hat{a}_i) + \hat{u}$$

where  $\hat{u}$  is a sum of multiples of  $(a_j - \hat{a}_j)(a_k - \hat{a}_k)$ . Hence  $g(a) - g(\hat{a}) \in \mathfrak{m}^{c+1}\hat{R}$  and  $g(a) \neq 0$ , since  $g(\hat{a}) \notin \mathfrak{m}^{c+1}\hat{R}$ .

It remains to show that  $f(a) = 0$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{P}$ . Notice that  $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_0\mathcal{R}[y_1, \dots, y_N]$ .

Hence for all  $f \in P$   $fg \in P_0$  and thus  $f(a)g(a) = 0$ . Since  $R$  is a domain,  $f(a) = 0$ .

In order to continue with the proof of theorem (6.8) we need to use a property of affine rings which will be proved in the next chapter: let  $K$  be a field and  $A$  a local  $K$ -algebra which is essentially of finite type over  $K$ . Then  $A$  and  $A^h$  are Nagata rings. This implies that if in addition  $A$  is a domain with field of quotients  $L = Q(A)$ , then for every minimal prime  $Q \subseteq \hat{A}$  the field  $k(Q) = (\hat{A}/Q)_Q$  is separable over  $L$ .

Let  $K$  be a field,  $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  the polynomial ring over  $K$ ,  $L = Q(S)$  its field of quotients, and  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_m) \in S[y_1, \dots, y_N]$  an ideal. If  $\hat{a} = (\hat{a}_1, \dots, \hat{a}_N) \in \hat{R}^N = K[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]^N$  with  $f(\hat{a}) = 0$  consider the  $S$ -algebra morphism

$$\psi: S[y_1, \dots, y_N] \longrightarrow \hat{R} = K[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$$

defined by  $\psi(y_i) = \hat{a}_i$ . Then  $P = \ker \psi$  is a prime ideal of  $S[y_1, \dots, y_N]$  and there are field extensions:

$$L = Q(S) \subseteq E = Q(S[y_1, \dots, y_N]/P) \subseteq Q(\hat{R}) = K((x_1, \dots, x_n)).$$

Since  $Q(\hat{R})$  is separable over  $L$ , by (1.26)  $E$  is separable over  $L$  and by (2.20)  $E$  is smooth over  $L$ .

We may suppose that  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_m) = P$  with  $\text{ht } P = N - r$ . Since  $P \cap S = 0$ ,  $\dim(L[y_1, \dots, y_N]/PL[y_1, \dots, y_N]) = r$  and  $(L[y_1, \dots, y_N]/PL[y_1, \dots, y_N])_P \otimes_{PL[y_1, \dots, y_N]} E$  is smooth over  $L$ . By (5.4) there is an  $(N-r) \times (N-r)$  minor of the Jacobian matrix:

$$\left( \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial y_j} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq N}}$$

say

$$\delta = \det \left( \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial y_j} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq N-r}$$

with  $\delta \neq 0$  in  $S[y_1, \dots, y_N]/P$ . Consider  $\delta = \delta(x, y) \in S[y_1, \dots, y_N] = K[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_N]$  as a polynomial in  $x_i$  and  $y_j$  and notice that

$$\delta(x, \hat{a}) \neq 0 \text{ in } K[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$$

since  $P = \ker \psi$ .

(6.13) Lemma: It suffices to show:

For every  $c \in \mathbb{N}$  there is an element  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_N) \in R^N$  so that

$$f_1(a) = \dots = f_{N-r}(a) = 0$$

and  $\hat{a}_i \equiv a_i \pmod{m^c R}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq N$ .

Proof: By the proof of (5.4)(a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) we know that

$$P \subseteq S[y_1, \dots, y_N]_P = (f_1, \dots, f_{N-r}) \subseteq S[y_1, \dots, y_N]_P.$$

This implies that

$$\text{rad}(f_1, \dots, f_{N-r}) = P \cap Q$$

where  $Q$  is a finite intersection of prime ideals not containing  $P$  or  $Q = S[y_1, \dots, y_N]$ .

Let  $g \in Q - P$ , then  $g(x, \hat{a}) \neq 0$  since  $P = \ker \psi$ . Assume that  $g(x, \hat{a}) \in \hat{m}^d - \hat{m}^{d+1}$

and let  $c \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $c > d$ . We claim that if  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_N) \in R^N$  with

$$f_1(a) = \dots = f_{N-r}(a) = 0$$

and  $\hat{a}_i \equiv a_i \pmod{m^c R}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq N$ , then  $h(a) = 0$  for all  $h \in P$ .

By Taylor's formula:

$$g(x, a) = g(x, \hat{a}) + \sum_{j=1}^N \left( \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_j} \right) (\hat{a}) (a_j - \hat{a}_j) + \hat{u}$$

where  $\hat{u}$  is the sum of multiples of  $(a_i - \hat{a}_i)(a_j - \hat{a}_j)$ . Hence  $g(x, a) - g(x, \hat{a}) \in m^c \hat{R}$

with  $g(x, \hat{a}) \notin m^c \hat{R}$ . Thus  $g(x, a) \neq 0$ . Since  $P \cap Q \subseteq \text{rad}(f_1, \dots, f_{N-r})$ , for all  $h \in P$

there is a  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $(gh)^t(a) = 0$  and thus  $h(a) = 0$ , since  $R$  is a domain.

This shows that it suffices to prove the following statement:

- (\*)  $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Let } f_1, \dots, f_m \in S[y_1, \dots, y_N] \text{ be polynomials in } x_i \text{ and } y_j \text{ with } m \leq N \text{ and} \\ \hat{a} = (\hat{a}_1, \dots, \hat{a}_N) \in \hat{R}^N = K[x_1, \dots, x_N] \text{ an element with the following} \\ \text{properties:} \\ \text{(a) } f_i(\hat{a}) = 0 \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq m \\ \text{(b) The Jacobian } \delta(x, y) = \det \left( \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial y_j} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} \text{ satisfies that} \\ \delta(x, \hat{a}) \neq 0 \end{array} \right.$

Then we have to show:

$$(*) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{For all } c \in \mathbb{N} \text{ there is an element } a = (a_1, \dots, a_N) \in R^N \text{ with} \\ \text{(i) } f_i(a) = 0 \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq m \\ \text{(ii) } \hat{a}_j \equiv a_j \pmod{m^c R} \text{ for all } 1 \leq j \leq N. \end{array} \right.$$

Recall Tougeron's theorem (5.9):

Let  $(R, m, k)$  be a local Noetherian Henselian ring,  $f_1, \dots, f_m \in R[y_1, \dots, y_N]$ , and

$$\Delta = \left( \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial y_j} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq N}}$$

the Jacobian matrix of  $f_1, \dots, f_m$ . For a fixed element  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_N) \in R^N$

consider the  $R$ -linear map:

$$\varphi: R^N \xrightarrow{\Delta(a)} R^m$$

defined by multiplication by  $\Delta(a)$ . Let  $C = R^m / \text{im } \varphi$  and  $I = \text{ann}_R(C)$ .

Then Tougeron's theorem states:

If there is an  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_N) \in R^N$  so that

$$f_i(a) \equiv 0 \pmod{I^2 m^d}$$

for all  $1 \leq i \leq m$  and some  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $d > 0$ , then there is a  $b = (b_1, \dots, b_N) \in R^N$  with

$$f_i(b) = 0 \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq m$$

$$\text{and } b_j \equiv a_j \pmod{I m^d} \text{ for all } 1 \leq j \leq N.$$

Let  $f_1, \dots, f_m \in S[y_1, \dots, y_N]$  with  $m \leq N$ ,

$$\Delta = \left( \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial y_j} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq N}}$$

the Jacobian matrix, and

$$\delta = \delta(x, y) = \det \left( \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial y_j} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq m}.$$

Let  $R = (K[x_1, \dots, x_n]_{(x_1, \dots, x_n)})^h$ ,  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in R^N$  and

$$\varphi: R^N \xrightarrow{\Delta(a)} R^m$$

multiplication by  $\Delta(a)$ . With  $C = R^m / \text{im } \varphi$  and  $I = \text{ann}_R(C)$  we claim:

(6.14) Lemma:  $\delta(x, a) \in I$

Proof: Consider the  $m \times N$  Jacobian matrix

$$\Delta = \left( \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial y_j} \right)_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq N}} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial y_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial y_N} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial y_1} & \dots & \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial y_N} \end{bmatrix}$$

Then there is an  $N \times m$ -matrix  $\Gamma$  so that:

$$\Delta \Gamma = \begin{bmatrix} \delta & & & \\ & \ddots & & 0 \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & \delta \end{bmatrix}$$

Let  $e_j$  be the  $j^{\text{th}}$  canonical basis vector of  $R^N$  and  $\Gamma_j$  the  $j^{\text{th}}$  column of  $\Gamma$ . Then

$$\delta(x, a) e_j = \Delta(a) \Gamma_j(a) e_j = \Delta(a) \Gamma_j(a)$$

and  $\delta(x, a) e_j \in \text{im } \varphi$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq N$ . Thus  $\delta(x, a) \in I$ .

Therefore in order to prove (\*\*\*) by (5.9) (Tougeron) it suffices to show:

$$(***) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{For every } c \in \mathbb{N} \text{ there is an } a^0 = (a_1^0, \dots, a_N^0) \in R^N \text{ so that} \\ \text{(a) } f_i(a^0) \equiv 0 \pmod{\delta^2(x, a^0) m^c} \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i \leq m \\ \text{(b) } \hat{a}_j \equiv a_j^0 \pmod{m^c \hat{R}} \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq j \leq N. \end{array} \right.$$

(6.15) Lemma: Let  $n \geq 1$  and suppose that Theorem (6.8) has been shown for equations over  $R_0 = (K[x_1, \dots, x_n]_{(x_1, \dots, x_k)})^h$  where  $0 \leq k < n$ . Let  $R = (K[x_1, \dots, x_n]_{(x_1, \dots, x_n)})^h$ ,  $g, f_1, \dots, f_m \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m]$  polynomials, and  $\hat{a} = (\hat{a}_1, \dots, \hat{a}_N) \in \hat{R}^N = K[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]^N$  with

$$g(x, \hat{a}) \neq 0$$

$$f_i(x, \hat{a}) = 0 \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i \leq m.$$

Then for every  $c \in \mathbb{N}$  there is an element  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_N) \in R^N$  so that:

$$f_i(x, a) \equiv 0 \pmod{(g(x, a))} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{a}_j \equiv a_j \pmod{(m^c \hat{R})} \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i \leq m; 1 \leq j \leq N.$$

(6.16) Lemma: Lemma (6.15) implies Theorem (6.8).

Proof: We have to show that under the assumptions of (\*) conditions (\*\*\*) follow.

Let  $f_1, \dots, f_m \in S[\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_N] = K[x_1, \dots, x_n, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_N]$  with  $m \leq N$ ,

$$\delta = \delta(x, y) = \det \left( \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial y_j} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq m}$$

the Jacobian, and  $\hat{a} = (\hat{a}_1, \dots, \hat{a}_N) \in \hat{R}^N$  with

$$(a) \quad f_i(x, \hat{a}) = 0 \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i \leq m$$

$$(b) \quad \delta(x, \hat{a}) \neq 0$$

We want to show (\*\*\*), that is,

For all  $c \in \mathbb{N}$  there is an  $a^c = (a_1^c, \dots, a_N^c) \in R^N$  so that

$$(x) \quad f_i(a^c) \equiv 0 \pmod{\delta^2(x, a^c) m^c} \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i \leq m$$

$$(y) \quad \hat{a}_j \equiv a_j^c \pmod{m^c \hat{R}} \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq j \leq N.$$

We can assume that  $\hat{a} \neq (0, \dots, 0)$ . Hence after renumbering - if necessary - set

$$g(x, y) = \delta^2(x, y) x_1^c$$

to obtain

$$f_i(x, \hat{a}) = 0 \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i \leq m$$

$$\text{and} \quad g(x, \hat{a}) \neq 0.$$

By Lemma (6.15) for every  $c \in \mathbb{N}$  there is an element  $a^c = (a_1^c, \dots, a_N^c) \in R^N$  with

$$f_i(x, a^c) \equiv 0 \pmod{g(x, a^c)} \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i \leq m$$

$$\hat{a}_j \equiv a_j^c \pmod{m^c \hat{R}}.$$

Thus conditions (\*\*\*) are satisfied and the theorem follows.

Proof of (6.15): Let  $g, f_1, \dots, f_m \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_N]$  and  $\hat{a} = (\hat{a}_1, \dots, \hat{a}_N) \in \hat{R}^N$  with:

$$g(x, \hat{a}) \neq 0$$

$$f_i(x, \hat{a}) = 0 \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i \leq m.$$

Note that for all  $\hat{b} \in \hat{R}^N$  with  $\hat{a}_j \equiv \hat{b}_j \pmod{m^c \hat{R}}$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq N$ ,

$$g(x, \hat{a}) \equiv g(x, \hat{b}) \pmod{m^c \hat{R}}.$$

In particular, if  $g(x, \hat{a})$  is invertible then  $g(x, \hat{b})$  is invertible provided that

$$\hat{a} \equiv \hat{b} \pmod{m^c \hat{R}^N} \quad \text{and } c \geq 1.$$

By the Weierstrass preparation theorem there are integers  $e_i \in \mathbb{N}$  so that with

$$t_i = x_i + x_n^{e_i} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1$$

$$t_n = x_n$$

$g(x, \hat{a}) = g(t, \hat{a})$  can be written as:

$$g(t, \hat{a}) = \varepsilon \hat{h}(t_n)$$

where  $\varepsilon \in K[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$  invertible and

$$\hat{h}(t_n) = t_n^r + \hat{h}_{r-1} t_n^{r-1} + \dots + \hat{h}_0$$

where for all  $0 \leq i \leq r-1$

$$\hat{h}_i \in K[[t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}]].$$

Replacing  $t$  by  $x$  we may assume that

$$g(x, \hat{a}) = \varepsilon \hat{h}(x_n)$$

where  $\varepsilon \in K[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$  is a unit and  $h(x_n)$  is a monic polynomial in  $x_n$  of degree  $r$  over the power series ring  $K[[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]]$ .

Obviously, the ring

$$\hat{B} = K[[x_1, \dots, x_n]] / (g(x, \hat{a})) = K[[x_1, \dots, x_n]] / (h(x_n))$$

is a finite extension of the power series ring  $K[[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]]$ . Let  $z_{\nu j}, 1 \leq \nu \leq N, 0 \leq j \leq r-1$  be variables and put:

$$z_{\nu}^* = \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} z_{\nu j} x_n^j \quad \text{for } 1 \leq \nu \leq N.$$

Substitute  $z_{\nu}^*$  for  $y_{\nu}$  and consider  $g, f_1, \dots, f_m$  as polynomials in  $K[x_i, z_{\nu j}]$

where  $1 \leq \nu \leq N, 1 \leq i \leq n$ , and  $0 \leq j \leq r-1$ . Finally introduce variables  $w_0, \dots, w_{r-1}$  and write

$$w(x_n) = x_n^r + w_{r-1} x_n^{r-1} + \dots + w_1 x_n + w_0.$$

Since  $w(x_n)$  is monic in  $x_n$  we have the following equations in the polynomial ring  $K[x_i, z_{\nu j}, w_{\mu}]$ :

$$g(x, z^*) = w(x_n) Q + \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} G_j x_n^j$$

$$f_i(x, z^*) = w(x_n) Q_i + \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} F_{ij} x_n^j \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i \leq m$$

where

$$Q, Q_i \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n, z_{vj}, w_\mu]$$

$$G_j, F_{ij} \in K[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, z_{vj}, w_\mu].$$

We want to investigate a system of equations over the polynomial ring  $K[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]$  which relates to the old system ( $f_i = 0$ ) via the polynomials  $G_j$  and  $F_{ij}$ . First note that for all  $1 \leq v \leq n$ :

$$\hat{a}_v = \hat{h}(x_n) \hat{q}_v + \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \hat{a}_{vj} x_n^j$$

where  $\hat{q}_v \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  and  $\hat{a}_{vj} \in K[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]$  for all  $v$  and  $j$ . Let

$$\hat{a}_v^* = \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} a_{vj} x_n^j.$$

Then for all  $1 \leq v \leq n$ :

$$\hat{a}_v \equiv \hat{a}_v^* \pmod{(\hat{h}(x_n))}$$

and hence by Taylor:

$$g(x, \hat{a}^*) \equiv g(x, \hat{a}) \pmod{(\hat{h}(x_n))}$$

$$f_i(x, \hat{a}^*) \equiv f_i(x, \hat{a}) \pmod{(\hat{h}(x_n))} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq m.$$

Substituting  $\hat{a}_{vj}$  for  $z_{vj}$  and  $\hat{h}_\mu$  for  $w_\mu$  we have

$$G_j(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, \hat{a}_{vj}, \hat{h}_\mu) = 0 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq j \leq r-1$$

$$F_{ik}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, \hat{a}_{vj}, \hat{h}_\mu) = 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq m, 0 \leq k \leq r-1.$$

This yields a system of equations over the polynomial ring  $K[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]$ :

$$G_j(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, z_{vj}, w_\mu) = 0, \quad 0 \leq j \leq r-1$$

$$F_{ik}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, z_{vj}, w_\mu) = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m, 0 \leq k \leq r-1.$$

By induction hypothesis this system is solvable in  $R_0 = (K[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}])_{(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})}^h$  with solutions being arbitrarily close to  $\hat{a}_{vj}$  and  $\hat{h}_\mu$ . Let  $c \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $a_{vj}, h_\mu \in R_0$  with

$$G_j(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, a_{vj}, h_\mu) = 0 \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq j \leq r-1$$

$$F_{ik}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, a_{vj}, h_\mu) = 0 \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i \leq m, 0 \leq k \leq r-1$$

and

$$\hat{a}_{vj} \equiv a_{vj} \pmod{m_0^c R_0}$$

$$\hat{h}_\mu \equiv h_\mu \pmod{m_0^c R_0} \quad \text{for all } v, j, \mu,$$

where  $m_0 = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) R_0$  is the maximal ideal of  $R_0$ . Let  $q_v \in R$  so that

$$\hat{q}_v \equiv q_v \pmod{m^c R}$$



and set:

$$h(x_n) = x_n^r + h_{r-1} x_n^{r-1} + \dots + h_1 x_n + h_0$$

$$a_y^* = \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} a_{yj} x_n^j$$

$$a_y = h(x_n) q_y + a_y^*$$

Then:

$$a_y \equiv a_y^* \pmod{h(x_n)}$$

$$g(x, a^*) \equiv 0 \pmod{h(x_n)}$$

$$f_i(x, a^*) \equiv 0 \pmod{h(x_n)}$$

and therefore by Taylor:

$$g(x, a) \equiv 0 \pmod{h(x_n)}$$

$$f_i(x, a) \equiv 0 \pmod{h(x_n)} \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i \leq m.$$

Let  $c > r$ , then  $g(x, a)$  is regular in  $x_n$  since  $g(x, \hat{a})$  contains an unmixed term  $x_n^c$ .

Moreover,  $x_n^r$  is the smallest unmixed term in  $g(x, a)$  (and  $g(x, \hat{a})$ ). Therefore

$g(x, a) = \gamma h(x_n)$  where  $\gamma \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  invertible. Hence

$$f_i(x, a) \equiv 0 \pmod{g(x, a)} \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i \leq m$$

$$g(x, a) \neq 0$$

$$\hat{a}_y \equiv a_y \pmod{m^c R}$$

for  $c \in \mathbb{N}$  sufficiently large. This shows the lemma.

(6.17) Corollary: Let  $K$  be a field,  $R = (K[x_1, \dots, x_n]_{(x_1, \dots, x_n)})^h$ , and  $I \subseteq R$  an ideal.

The ring  $T = R/I$  has the approximation property.

Proof: Let  $I = (h_1, \dots, h_t) \subseteq R$  and  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_m) \in T[y_1, \dots, y_N]$  polynomials over  $T$ .

Suppose that there is an element  $\hat{a} = (\hat{a}_1, \dots, \hat{a}_N) \in \hat{T}^N$  with  $f_i(\hat{a}) = 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . Choose elements

$$F = (F_1, \dots, F_m) \in R[y_1, \dots, y_N] \quad \text{and}$$

$$\hat{b} = (\hat{b}_1, \dots, \hat{b}_N) \in \hat{R}^N$$

so that  $F_i + IR[y_1, \dots, y_N] = f_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m$  and  $\hat{b}_j + I\hat{R} = \hat{a}_j$  for  $1 \leq j \leq N$ .

Then  $F_i(\hat{b}) \in I\hat{R}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq m$  and there are elements  $\hat{c}_{ij} \in \hat{R}$  with

$$F_i(\hat{b}) = \sum_{j=1}^t \hat{c}_{ij} h_j.$$

Let  $z_{ij}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq t$  be additional variables. The system

$$(*) \begin{cases} F_1(y) - \sum_{j=1}^t z_{1j} h_j = 0 \\ \vdots \\ F_m(y) - \sum_{j=1}^t z_{mj} h_j = 0 \end{cases}$$

has the solution  $(\hat{b}, \hat{c})$  in  $\hat{R}$ . Hence by (6.8) (\*) has a solution in  $R$  which yields a solution of the system  $f_i = 0$  in  $T$ .

The next three results on rings with approximation property are mentioned without proofs.

(6.18) Theorem: (M. Artin) There is a function  $\beta: \mathbb{N}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  with the following property: Let  $K$  be a field,  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_m) \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_N]$  polynomials over  $K$  of total degree  $\deg(f_i) \leq d$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . Suppose that there is an element  $\bar{a} = (\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_N) \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]^N$  so that

$$f_i(x, \bar{a}) \equiv 0 \pmod{(x_1, \dots, x_n)^\beta} \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq m,$$

where  $\beta = \beta(n, N, d, \alpha)$ . Then there is an element  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_N) \in R^N$ , where  $R = (K[x_1, \dots, x_n]_{(x_1, \dots, x_n)})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$ , with

$$\begin{aligned} f_i(x, a) &= 0 \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq m \text{ and} \\ a_j &\equiv \bar{a}_j \pmod{(x_1, \dots, x_n)^\alpha} \text{ for all } 1 \leq j \leq N. \end{aligned}$$

(6.19) Corollary: Let  $K$  be a field and  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_m) \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_N]$  polynomials over  $K$ . Suppose that for all  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$  there is an element  $\bar{a}_\beta = (\bar{a}_{\beta 1}, \dots, \bar{a}_{\beta N}) \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]^N$  with

$$f_i(x, \bar{a}_\beta) \equiv 0 \pmod{(x_1, \dots, x_n)^\beta} \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq m.$$

Then the system  $f_i = 0$  has a solution in  $R = (K[x_1, \dots, x_n]_{(x_1, \dots, x_n)})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$ .

(6.20) Definition: A local Noetherian ring  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is said to have the strong

approximation property if  $R$  satisfies the following condition: Let  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_t) \in R[y_1, \dots, y_n]$  be polynomials over  $R$  so that for all  $c \in \mathbb{N}$  there is an element  $a_c \in R^N$  with  $f_i(a_c) \equiv 0 \pmod{m^c}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq t$ . Then there is an element  $a \in R^N$  with  $f_i(a) = 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq t$ .

(6.21) Theorem: (M. Artin and others) A complete local Noetherian ring  $(\hat{R}, \mathfrak{m})$  has the strong approximation property.

(6.22) Corollary: A local Noetherian ring  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  with the approximation property has the strong approximation property.

As an application of the approximation property (and the strong approximation property) we show:

(6.23) Theorem: (M. Hochster) Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a local Noetherian factorial ring with approximation property. Then  $\hat{R}$  is factorial.

Proof: If  $R$  is a local Noetherian ring with approximation property then  $R$  is excellent. In particular, the completion of a local normal excellent ring is normal. Hence  $\hat{R}$  is a local normal ring and a domain. Since in a Noetherian domain every nonunit is a finite product of irreducible elements, it remains to show that every irreducible element  $\hat{p}$  of  $\hat{R}$  is a prime element. Suppose that there are elements  $\hat{f}, \hat{g} \in \hat{R}$  with  $\hat{p} \mid \hat{f}\hat{g}$ . Thus there is an  $\hat{h} \in \hat{R}$  with  $\hat{h}\hat{p} = \hat{f}\hat{g}$ . Consider the equation  $HP = FG$  over  $R$  where  $H, P, F, G$  are variables. Since  $R$  has the approximation property, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there are elements  $h_n, p_n, f_n, g_n \in R$  with

$$h_n p_n = f_n g_n$$

$$\text{and } \hat{h} \equiv h_n, \hat{p} \equiv p_n, \hat{f} \equiv f_n, \hat{g} \equiv g_n \pmod{\mathfrak{m}^n}.$$

If the elements  $p_n \in R$  are reducible for infinitely many  $n$  (i.e.  $p_n = u_n v_n$  where  $u_n, v_n \in R$  and neither  $u_n$  nor  $v_n$  a unit), then, since  $\widehat{R}$  has the strong approximation property,  $\widehat{p}$  is reducible in  $\widehat{R}$ . Thus there is an  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $p_n$  a prime element of  $R$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $n \geq n_0$ . Hence there are infinitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $p_n \mid f_n$  or  $p_n \mid g_n$ . Assume that  $p_n \mid f_n$  for infinitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then by the strong approximation property  $\widehat{p}$  divides  $\widehat{f}$ .