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#### Abstract

We first prove that, for $\kappa \in(0,4)$, a whole-plane $\operatorname{SLE}(\kappa ; \kappa+2)$ trace stopped at a fixed capacity time satisfies reversibility. We then use this reversibility result to prove that, for $\kappa \in(0,4)$, a chordal $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa}$ curve stopped at a fixed capacity time can be mapped conformally to the initial segment of a whole-plane $\operatorname{SLE}(\kappa ; \kappa+2)$ trace. A similar but weaker result holds for radial $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa}$. These results are then used to study the ergodic behavior of an SLE curve near its tip point at a fixed capacity time. The proofs rely on the symmetry of backward SLE weldings and conformal removability of $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa}$ curves for $\kappa \in(0,4)$.


Mathematics Subject Classification 60D - 30C

## 1 Introduction

The Schramm-Loewner evolution SLE $_{\kappa}$, introduced by Oded Schramm, generates random curves in plane domains which are the scaling limits of a number of critical two dimensional lattice models. Many work have been done to prove the convergence of various discrete models to SLE with different parameters $\kappa$. It is also interesting to study the geometric properties of the SLE curves.

The current paper focuses on studying the tips of two versions of SLE: chordal SLE and radial SLE at some fixed capacity time. There were previous work on the tips of SLE, e.g., [3], in which the multifractal spectrum of the SLE tip is studied. This paper studies the ergodic property of the SLE near its tip. Now we explain it.

Research partially supported by NSF grants DMS-1056840 and Sloan fellowship.

[^0]Consider a chordal or radial $\operatorname{SLE}_{\kappa}(\kappa \in(0,4))$ curve $\beta$, which is parameterized by the half-plane or disc capacity. Let $h_{t}$ denote the harmonic measure of the left side of $\beta[t, 1]$ in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \backslash \beta[t, 1]$ as seen form $\infty$ (ignoring the real line and the rest of the curve). Let $v(t)$ be the (logarithm) capacity of $\beta([t, 1])$. Then as $\tau \rightarrow-\infty, h_{v^{-1}(\tau)} \rightarrow h$ in distribution, where the law of $h$ is given explicitly. Moreover, for nicely-behaved functions $f$ on $[0,1]$, the averages of $f\left(h_{v^{-1}(\tau)}\right)$ over $\tau$ converge to $\mathbb{E}[f(h)]$.

We will use results about backward SLE derived in [13]. The traditional chordal or radial $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa}$ is defined by solving a chordal or radial Loewner equation driven by $\sqrt{\kappa} B(t)$. Adding a minus sign to the (forward) Loewner equations, we get the backward Loewner equations. The backward chordal or radial SLE $_{\kappa}$ is then defined by solving a backward chordal or radial Loewner equation driven by $\sqrt{\kappa} B(t)$.

The backward radial $\operatorname{SLE}(\kappa ; \rho)$ processes resemble the forward radial $\operatorname{SLE}(\kappa ; \rho)$ processes, and play an important role in this paper. If $\kappa \in(0,4]$ and $\rho \leq-\frac{\kappa}{2}-2$, a backward radial $\operatorname{SLE}(\kappa ; \rho)$ process induces a random welding $\phi$ which is an involution (an auto homeomorphism whose inverse is itself) of the unit disc with exactly two fixed points such that for $w \neq z, w=\phi(z)$ iff $f_{t}(z)=f_{t}(w)$ when $t$ is big enough, where $\left(f_{t}\right)$ are the solutions of the backward Loewner equation. It is proven in [13] that, for $\kappa \in(0,4]$, there is a coupling of two different backward radial $\operatorname{SLE}(\kappa ;-\kappa-6)$ processes which induce the same welding.

In Sect. 4 of this paper, we use a limit procedure to define a normalized backward $\operatorname{radial} \operatorname{SLE}(\kappa ; \rho)$ trace, and prove that, up to a reflection about the unit circle, it agrees with the forward whole-plane $\operatorname{SLE}(\kappa ;-4-\rho)$ curve (Theorem 4.6). Using the symmetry of backward radial $\operatorname{SLE}(\kappa ;-\kappa-6)$ welding together with the conformal removability of SLE $_{\kappa}$ curves, we prove in Sect. 5 that, for $\kappa \in(0,4)$, a whole-plane $\operatorname{SLE}(\kappa ; \kappa+2)$ curve stopped at the time 0 satisfies reversibility (Theorem 5.1). One should keep in mind that a whole-plane $\operatorname{SLE}(\kappa ; \rho)$ trace grows from 0 with time interval $[-\infty, \infty)$, and the time 0 is when the curve reaches the capacity of the closed unit disc.

This reversibility is different from the reversibility of whole-plane $\operatorname{SLE}_{\kappa}(\kappa \leq 4)$ derived in [18], or more generally, the reversibility of whole-plane $\operatorname{SLE}_{\kappa}(\rho)(\kappa \leq$ $8, \rho>-2$ and $\rho \geq \frac{\kappa}{2}-4$ ) derived in [8], where the trace does not stop in the middle, but goes all the way to $\infty$. The methods in $[8,18]$ used couplings of two SLE processes and couplings of an SLE process with a Gaussian free field, respectively, which can not be used to derive the reversibility here. In fact, the reversibility here does not hold if $\kappa+2$ is replaced by any other number.

This reversibility of the stopped whole-plane $\operatorname{SLE}(\kappa ; \kappa+2)$ is then used to prove that, for $\kappa \in(0,4)$, a forward chordal $\operatorname{SLE}_{\kappa}$ curve stopped at a fixed capacity time can be mapped conformally to an initial segment of a whole-plane $\operatorname{SLE}(\kappa ; \kappa+2)$ curve, and the same is true up to a change of the probability measure for a forward radial $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa}$ (Theorems 5.3 and 5.4). In Sect. 6, we use the above conformal relations to derive ergodic properties of a chordal or radial SLE $_{\kappa}$ curves at a fixed capacity time (Theorem 6.6).

Throughout this paper, we use the following symbols and notation. Let $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}=$ $\mathbb{C} \cup\{\infty\}, \mathbb{D}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}, \mathbb{D}^{*}=\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \backslash \overline{\mathbb{D}}, \mathbb{T}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|=1\}$, and $\mathbb{H}=\{z \in$ $\mathbb{C}: \operatorname{Im} z>0\}$. Let $\cot _{2}(z)=\cot (z / 2)$ and $\sin _{2}(z)=\sin (z / 2)$. Let $I_{\mathbb{T}}(z)=1 / \bar{z}$ be the reflections about $\mathbb{T}$. By an interval on $\mathbb{T}$, we mean a connected subset of $\mathbb{T}$. We use $B(t)$
to denote a standard real Brownian motion. We use $C(J)$ to denote the space of real valued continuous functions on $J$. By $f: D \xrightarrow{\text { Conf }} E$ we mean that $f$ maps $D$ conformally onto $E$. By $f_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { l.u. }} f$ in $U$ we mean that $f_{n}$ converges to $f$ locally uniformly in $U$.

## 2 Loewner equations

### 2.1 Forward equations

We review the definitions and basic facts about (forward) Loewner equations. The reader is referred to [4] for details.

A set $K$ is called an $\mathbb{H}$-hull if it is a bounded relatively closed subset of $\mathbb{H}$, and $\mathbb{H} \backslash K$ is simply connected. For every $\mathbb{H}$-hull $K$, there is a unique $g_{K}: \mathbb{H} \backslash K \xrightarrow{\text { Conf }} \mathbb{H}$ such that $g_{K}(z)-z \rightarrow 0$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$. The number hcap $(K):=\lim _{z \rightarrow \infty} z\left(g_{K}(z)-z\right)$ is always nonnegative, and is called the half plane capacity of $K$. A set $K$ is called a $\mathbb{D}$-hull if it is a relatively closed subset of $\mathbb{D}$, does not contain 0 , and $\mathbb{D} \backslash K$ is simply connected. For every $\mathbb{D}$-hull $K$, there is a unique $g_{K}: \mathbb{D} \backslash K \xrightarrow{\text { Conf }} \mathbb{D}$ such that $g_{K}(0)=0$ and $g_{K}^{\prime}(0)>0$. The number dcap $(K):=\log \left(g_{K}^{\prime}(0)\right)$ is always nonnegative, and is called the disc capacity of $K$. A set $K$ is called a $\mathbb{C}$-hull if it is a connected compact subset of $\mathbb{C}$ such that $\mathbb{C} \backslash K$ is connected. For every $\mathbb{C}$-hull with more than one point, $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \backslash K$ is simply connected, and there is a unique $g_{K}: \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \backslash K \xrightarrow{\text { Conf }} \mathbb{D}^{*}$ such that $g_{K}(\infty)=\infty$ and $g_{K}^{\prime}(\infty):=\lim _{z \rightarrow \infty} z / g_{K}(z)>0$. The real number $\operatorname{cap}(K):=\log \left(g_{K}^{\prime}(\infty)\right)$ is called the whole-plane capacity of $K$. In either of the three cases, let $f_{K}=g_{K}^{-1}$.

Let $\lambda \in C([0, T))$, where $T \in(0, \infty]$. The chordal Loewner equation driven by $\lambda$ is

$$
\partial_{t} g_{t}(z)=\frac{2}{g_{t}(z)-\lambda(t)}, \quad 0 \leq t<T ; \quad g_{0}(z)=z
$$

The radial Loewner equation driven by $\lambda$ is

$$
\partial_{t} g_{t}(z)=g_{t}(z) \frac{e^{i \lambda(t)}+g_{t}(z)}{e^{i \lambda(t)}-g_{t}(z)}, \quad 0 \leq t<T ; \quad g_{0}(z)=z
$$

Let $g_{t}, 0 \leq t<T$, be the solutions of the chordal (resp. radial) Loewner equation. For each $t \in[0, T)$, let $K_{t}$ be the set of $z \in \mathbb{H}($ resp. $\in \mathbb{D})$ at which $g_{t}$ is not defined. Then for each $t, K_{t}$ is an $\mathbb{H}($ resp. $\mathbb{D})$-hull with hcap $\left(K_{t}\right)=2 t\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\operatorname{dcap}\left(K_{t}\right)=t\right)$ and $g_{K_{t}}=g_{t}$. We call $g_{t}$ and $K_{t}, 0 \leq t<T$, the chordal (resp. radial) Loewner maps and hulls driven by $\lambda$. We say that the process generates a chordal (resp. radial) trace $\beta$ if each $g_{t}^{-1}$ extends continuously to $\overline{\mathbb{H}}($ resp. $\overline{\mathbb{D}})$, and $\beta(t):=g_{t}^{-1}(\lambda(t))$ (resp. := $\left.g_{t}^{-1}\left(e^{i \lambda(t)}\right)\right), 0 \leq t<T$, is a continuous curve in $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$ (resp. $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ ). If the chordal (resp. radial) trace $\beta$ exists, then for each $t, K_{t}$ is the $\mathbb{H}$-hull generated by $\beta([0, t])$, i.e., $\mathbb{H} \backslash K_{t}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\mathbb{D} \backslash K_{t}\right)$ is the component of $\mathbb{H} \backslash \beta([0, t])$ (resp. $\mathbb{D} \backslash \beta([0, t])$ ) which is unbounded (resp. contains 0 ). Note that $\beta(0)=\lambda(0) \in \mathbb{R}$ (resp. $\left.=e^{i \lambda(0)} \in \mathbb{T}\right)$. The trace $\beta$ is called $\mathbb{H}$-simple (resp. $\mathbb{D}$-simple) if it has no self-intersections and
intersects $\mathbb{R}$ (resp. $\mathbb{T}$ ) only at its one end point, in which case we have $K_{t}=\beta((0, t])$ for $0 \leq t<T$. Since hcap $\left(K_{t}\right)=2 t$ (resp. $\operatorname{dcap}\left(K_{t}\right)=t$ ) for all $t$, we say that the chordal (resp. radial) trace is parameterized by the half-plane (resp. disc) capacity.

A simple property of the chordal (resp. radial) Loewner process is the translation (resp. rotation) symmetry. Let $C \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda^{*}=\lambda+C$. Let $g_{t}^{*}$ and $K_{t}^{*}$ be the chordal (resp. radial) Loewner maps and hulls driven by $\lambda^{*}$. Then $K_{t}^{*}=C+K_{t}$ and $g_{t}^{*}(z)=C+g_{t}(z-C)\left(\right.$ resp. $K_{t}^{*}=e^{i C} K_{t}$ and $g_{t}^{*}(z)=e^{i C} g_{t}\left(z / e^{i C}\right)$ ). If $\lambda$ generates a chordal (resp. radial) trace $\beta$, then $\lambda^{*}$ also generates a chordal (resp. radial) trace $\beta^{*}$ such that $\beta^{*}=C+\beta$ (resp. $=e^{i C} \beta$ ).

Let $\kappa>0$. The chordal (resp. radial) $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa}$ is defined by solving the chordal (resp. radial) Loewner equation with $\lambda(t)=\sqrt{\kappa} B(t)$, and the process a.s. generates a chordal (resp. radial) trace, which is $\mathbb{H}$ (resp. $\mathbb{D}$ )-simple if $\kappa \in(0,4]$.

Let $T \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda \in C((-\infty, T])$. The whole-plane Loewner equation driven by $\lambda$ is

$$
\begin{cases}\partial_{t} g_{t}(z)=g_{t}(z) \frac{e^{i \lambda(t)}+g_{t}(z)}{e^{i \lambda(t)}-g_{t}(z)}, & t \leq T ; \\ \lim _{t \rightarrow-\infty} e^{t} g_{t}(z)=z, & z \neq 0 .\end{cases}
$$

It turns out that the family $\left(g_{t}\right)$ always exists, and is uniquely determined by $\left(e^{i \lambda(t)}\right)$. Moreover, there is an increasing family of $\mathbb{C}$-hulls $\left(K_{t}\right)_{-\infty<t \leq T}$ in $\mathbb{C}$ with $\bigcap_{t} K_{t}=\{0\}$ such that $\operatorname{cap}\left(K_{t}\right)=t$ and $g_{K_{t}}=g_{t}$. We call $g_{t}$ and $K_{t},-\infty<t<T$, the whole-plane Loewner maps and hulls driven by $\lambda$. We say that the process generates a whole-plane trace $\beta$ if each $g_{t}^{-1}$ extends continuously to $\overline{\mathbb{D}^{*}}$, and $\beta(t):=g_{t}^{-1}\left(e^{i \lambda(t)}\right),-\infty<$ $t<T$, is a continuous curve in $\mathbb{C}$. If the whole-plane trace $\beta$ exists, then it extends continuously to $[-\infty, T]$ with $\beta(-\infty)=0$, and for every $t, \mathbb{C} \backslash K_{t}$ is the unbounded component of $\mathbb{C} \backslash \beta([-\infty, t])$. If $\beta$ is a simple curve, then $K_{t}=\beta([-\infty, t])$ for every $t$. So we say that the whole-plane trace is parameterized by the whole-plane capacity.

### 2.2 Backward equations

Now we review the definitions and basic facts about backward Loewner equations. The reader is referred to [13] for details.

Let $T \in(0, \infty]$ and $\lambda \in C([0, T))$. The backward chordal Loewner equation driven by $\lambda$ is

$$
\partial_{t} f_{t}(z)=-\frac{2}{f_{t}(z)-\lambda(t)}, \quad 0 \leq t<T ; \quad f_{0}(z)=z
$$

The backward radial Loewner process driven by $\lambda$ is

$$
\partial_{t} f_{t}(z)=-f_{t}(z) \frac{e^{i \lambda(t)}+f_{t}(z)}{e^{i \lambda(t)}-f_{t}(z)}, \quad 0 \leq t<T ; \quad f_{0}(z)=z .
$$

Let $f_{t}, 0 \leq t<T$, be the solutions of the backward chordal (resp. radial) Loewner equation. Let $L_{t}=\mathbb{H} \backslash f_{t}(\mathbb{H})\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\mathbb{D} \backslash f_{t}(\mathbb{D})\right), 0 \leq t<T$. Then every $L_{t}$ is an
$\mathbb{H}($ resp. $\mathbb{D})$-hull with hcap $\left(L_{t}\right)=2 t\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\operatorname{dcap}\left(L_{t}\right)=t\right)$ and $f_{L_{t}}=f_{t}$. We call $f_{t}$ and $L_{t}, 0 \leq t<T$, the backward chordal (resp. radial) Loewner maps and hulls driven by $\lambda$.

Define a family of maps $f_{t_{2}, t_{1}}, t_{1}, t_{2} \in[0, T)$, such that, for any fixed $t_{1} \in[0, T)$ and $z \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \backslash\left\{\lambda\left(t_{1}\right)\right\}$, the function $t_{2} \mapsto f_{t_{2}, t_{1}}(z)$ is the solution of the first (resp. second) equation below (with the maximal definition interval):

$$
\begin{gather*}
\partial_{t_{2}} f_{t_{2}, t_{1}}(z)=-\frac{2}{f_{t_{2}, t_{1}}(z)-\lambda\left(t_{2}\right)}, \quad f_{t_{1}, t_{1}}(z)=z \\
\partial_{t_{2}} f_{t_{2}, t_{1}}(z)=-f_{t_{2}, t_{1}}(z) \frac{e^{i \lambda\left(t_{2}\right)}+f_{t_{2}, t_{1}}(z)}{e^{i \lambda\left(t_{2}\right)}-f_{t_{2}, t_{1}}(z)}, \quad f_{t_{1}, t_{1}}(z)=z . \tag{2.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

We call $\left(f_{t_{2}, t_{1}}\right)$ the backward chordal (resp. radial) Loewner flow driven by $\lambda$. Note that we allow that $t_{2}$ to be smaller than $t_{1}$ if $t_{1}>0$. If $t_{2} \geq t_{1}, f_{t_{2}, t_{1}}$ is defined on the whole $\mathbb{H}$ (resp. $\mathbb{D}$ ); and this is not the case if $t_{2}<t_{1}$. The following lemma is obvious.

Lemma 2.1 (i) For any $t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3} \in[0, T), f_{t_{3}, t_{2}} \circ f_{t_{2}, t_{1}}$ is a restriction of $f_{t_{3}, t_{1}}$. In particular, this implies that $f_{t_{1}, t_{2}}=f_{t_{2}, t_{1}}^{-1}$.
(ii) For any fixed $t_{0} \in[0, T), f_{t_{0}+t, t_{0}}, 0 \leq t<T-t_{0}$, are the backward chordal (resp. radial) Loewner maps driven by $\lambda\left(t_{0}+t\right), 0 \leq t<T-t_{0}$. Especially, $f_{t, 0}=f_{t}, 0 \leq t<T$.
(iii) For any fixed $t_{0} \in[0, T), f_{t_{0}-t, t_{0}}, 0 \leq t \leq t_{0}$, are the forward chordal (resp. radial) Loewner maps driven by $\lambda\left(t_{0}-t\right), 0 \leq t \leq t_{0}$.

We say that a backward chordal (resp. radial) Loewner process driven by $\lambda \in$ $C\left([0, T)\right.$ ) generates a family of backward chordal (resp. radial) traces $\beta_{t}, 0 \leq t \leq T$, if for each fixed $t_{0} \in(0, T)$, the forward chordal (resp. radial) Loewner process driven by $\lambda\left(t_{0}-t\right), 0 \leq t \leq t_{0}$, generates a chordal (resp. radial) trace, which is $\beta_{t_{0}}\left(t_{0}-t\right), 0 \leq t \leq t_{0}$. Equivalently, this means that, for each $t_{0}, \beta_{t_{0}}:\left[0, t_{0}\right] \rightarrow$ $\overline{\bar{H}}$ (resp. $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ ) is continuous, and or any $t_{2} \geq t_{1} \geq 0, f_{t_{2}, t_{1}}$ extends continuously to $\overline{\mathbb{H}}($ resp. $\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ such that $\beta_{t_{2}}\left(t_{1}\right)=f_{t_{2}, t_{1}}\left(\lambda\left(t_{1}\right)\right)$ (resp. $\left.f_{t_{2}, t_{1}}\left(e^{i \lambda\left(t_{1}\right)}\right)\right)$. Taking $t_{2}=t_{1}=t$, we get $\beta_{t}(t)=\lambda(t) \in \mathbb{R}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.=e^{i \lambda(t)} \in \mathbb{T}\right)$. Moreover, the equality $f_{t_{2}, t_{1}} \circ f_{t_{1}, t_{0}}=$ $f_{t_{2}, t_{0}}, t_{2} \geq t_{1} \geq t_{0} \geq 0$, holds after the continuation, and so we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{t_{2}, t_{1}}\left(\beta_{t_{1}}(t)\right)=\beta_{t_{2}}(t), \quad t_{2} \geq t_{1} \geq t \geq 0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The backward chordal (resp. radial) SLE $_{\kappa}$ is defined to be the backward chordal (resp. radial) Loewner process driven by $\sqrt{\kappa} B(t), 0 \leq t<\infty$. The existence of the forward chordal (resp. radial $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa}$ ) trace together with Lemma 2.1 and the translation (resp. rotation) symmetry implies that the backward chordal (resp. radial) $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa}$ process generates a family of backward chordal (resp. radial) traces, which are $\mathbb{H}$ (resp. $\mathbb{D}$ )-simple, if $\kappa \leq 4$.

Remark One should keep in mind that each $\beta_{t}$ is a continuous function defined on $[0, t], \beta_{t}(0)$ is the tip of $\beta_{t}$, and $\beta_{t}(t)$ is the root of $\beta_{t}$, which lies on $\mathbb{R}$. The parametrization is different from a forward chordal Loewner trace.

For every $\mathbb{H}$ (resp. $\mathbb{D}$ )-hull $L, g_{L}$ extends analytically to $\mathbb{R} \backslash \bar{L}$ (resp. $\mathbb{T} \backslash \bar{L}$ ), and maps $\mathbb{R} \backslash \bar{L}$ (resp. $\mathbb{T} \backslash \bar{L}$ ) to an open subset of $\mathbb{R}$ (resp. $\mathbb{T}$ ). The set $S_{L}:=\mathbb{R} \backslash g_{L}(\mathbb{R} \backslash \bar{L})$ (resp. := $\mathbb{T} \backslash g_{L}(\mathbb{T} \backslash \bar{L})$ ) is a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}($ resp. $\mathbb{T})$, and is called the support of $L$. The map $f_{L}$ then extends analytically to $\mathbb{R} \backslash S_{L}$ (resp. $\mathbb{T} \backslash S_{L}$ ). If $\left(L_{t}\right)_{0 \leq t<T}$ are $\mathbb{H}$ (resp. $\mathbb{D}$ )hulls generated by a backward chordal (resp. radial) Loewner process, then each $S_{L_{t}}$ is an interval on $\mathbb{R}($ resp. $\mathbb{T})$, and $S_{L_{t_{1}}} \subset S_{L_{t_{2}}}$ if $t_{1}<t_{2}$ (c.f. Lemmas 2.7 and 3.3 in [13]). The following is Lemma 3.5 in [13].

Lemma 2.2 Let $L_{t}, 0 \leq t<\infty$, be $\mathbb{D}$-hulls generated by a backward radial Loewner process. Then $\bigcup_{t} S_{L_{t}}$ is equal to either $\mathbb{T}$ or $\mathbb{T}$ without a single point.

Now we review the welding induced by a backward Loewner process. See Section 3.5 of [13] for details.

Suppose $L=\beta$ is an $\mathbb{H}$ (resp. $\mathbb{D}$ )-simple curve. Then $S_{\beta}$ is the union of two intervals on $\mathbb{R}$ (resp. $\mathbb{T}$ ), which intersects at one point, and $f_{\beta}$ extends continuously to $S_{\beta}$, and maps the two intervals onto the two sides of $\beta$. Every point on $\beta$ except the tip point has two preimages. The welding $\phi_{\beta}$ induced by $\beta$ is the involution of $S_{\beta}$ with exactly one fixed point which is the $f_{\beta}$-pre-image of the tip of $\beta$, such that for $x \neq y \in S_{\beta}, y=\phi_{\beta}(x)$ if and only if $f_{\beta}(x)=f_{\beta}(y)$.

Suppose a backward chordal (resp. radial) Loewner process generates a family of $\mathbb{H}($ resp. $\mathbb{D})$-simple traces $\left(\beta_{t}\right)_{0 \leq t<T}$. Then for any $t_{1}<t_{2}, S_{\beta_{t_{1}}}$ is contained in the interior of $S_{\beta_{t_{2}}}$, and $\phi_{\beta_{t_{1}}}$ is a restriction of $\phi_{\beta_{t_{2}}}$. The latter can be seen from $f_{t_{2}, t_{1}} \circ f_{t_{1}}=f_{t_{2}}$. So the process naturally induces a welding $\phi$ which is an involution of the open interval $\bigcup_{0 \leq t<T} S_{\beta_{t}}$ on $\mathbb{R}$ (resp. $\mathbb{T}$ ) such that $\left.\phi\right|_{S_{\beta_{t}}}=\phi_{\beta_{t}}$ for each $t$. The welding has only one fixed point: $\lambda(0) \in \mathbb{R}$ (resp. $e^{i \lambda(0)} \in \mathbb{T}$ ). Consider the radial case and suppose $T=\infty$. Lemma 2.2 and the properties of $S_{\beta_{t}}$ 's imply that $\mathbb{T} \backslash \bigcup_{0 \leq t<\infty} S_{\beta_{t}}$ contains exactly one point, say $w_{0}$. We call $w_{0}$ the joint point of the process, which is the only point such that $f_{t}\left(w_{0}\right) \in \mathbb{T}$ for all $t \geq 0$. In this case we extend $\phi$ to an involution of $\mathbb{T}$ with exactly two fixed points: $e^{i \lambda(0)}$ and $w_{0}$.

## 3 SLE ( $\kappa$; $\rho$ ) processes

In this section, we review the definitions of the forward and backward radial SLE $(\kappa ; \rho)$ processes, respectively, as well as the whole-plane $\operatorname{SLE}(\kappa ; \rho)$ process.

Let $\kappa>0$ and and $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $\sigma \in\{1,-1\}$. The case $\sigma=1$ (resp. $=-1$ ) corresponds to the forward (resp. backward) process. Let $z \neq w \in \mathbb{T}$. Choose $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $e^{i x}=z, e^{i y}=w$, and $0<x-y<2 \pi$. Let $\lambda(t)$ and $q(t), 0 \leq t<T$, be the solution of the system of SDE:

$$
\begin{cases}d \lambda(t)=\sqrt{\kappa} d B(t)+\sigma \frac{\rho}{2} \cot _{2}(\lambda(t)-q(t)) d t, & \lambda(0)=x  \tag{3.1}\\ d q(t)=\sigma \cot _{2}(q(t)-\lambda(t)) d t, & q(0)=y\end{cases}
$$

If $\sigma=1$ (resp. $=-1$ ), the forward (resp. backward) radial Loewner process driven by $\lambda$ is called a forward (resp. backward) $\operatorname{SLE}(\kappa ; \rho)$ process started from $(z ; w)$. Recall that $\cot _{2}(z)=\cot (z / 2)$. The appearance of $\cot _{2}$ comes from the covering forward
and backward radial Loewner equations. Since $\cot _{2}$ has period $2 \pi$, it is easy to see that the definition does not depend on the choice of $x, y$.

Let $Z_{t}=\lambda(t)-q(t)$. Then $\left(\frac{1}{2} Z_{\frac{4}{\kappa}} t\right)$ is a radial Bessel process of dimension $\delta:=$ $\frac{4}{\kappa} \sigma\left(\frac{\rho}{2}+1\right)+1$ (see "Appendix B"). Thus, $T=\infty$ if $\delta \geq 2 ; T<\infty$ if $\delta<2$.

Lemma 3.1 Let $\kappa>0$ and $\rho \leq-\frac{\kappa}{2}-2$. Let $L_{t}, 0 \leq t<\infty$, be $\mathbb{D}$-hulls generated by a backward radial $\operatorname{SLE}(\kappa ; \rho)$ process started from $(z ; w)$. Then $\bigcup_{t \geq 0} S_{L_{t}}=\mathbb{T} \backslash\{w\}$.

Proof Since $\sigma=-1$ for the backward equation, $\rho \leq-\frac{\kappa}{2}-2$ implies that $\delta \geq 2$, and so $T=\infty$. Let $f_{t}, 0 \leq t<\infty$, be the conformal maps generated by the backward $\operatorname{radial} \operatorname{SLE}(\kappa ; \rho)$ process. Formula (3.1) in the case $\sigma=-1$ implies that $e^{i q(t)}=$ $f_{t}(w), 0 \leq t<\infty$. This means that $w \notin S_{L_{t}}, 0 \leq t<\infty$. The conclusion then follows from Lemma 2.2.

Assume that $\delta \geq 2$, which means that $\rho \geq \frac{\kappa}{2}-2$ if $\sigma=1$ and $\rho \leq-\frac{\kappa}{2}-2$ if $\sigma=-1$. From Corollary $8.2,\left(Z_{t}\right)$ has a unique stationary distribution $\mu_{\delta}$ which has a density proportional to $\sin _{2}(x)^{\delta-1}$, and the stationary process is reversible. Let $\left(\bar{Z}_{t}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ denote the stationary process. Let $\bar{y}$ be a random variable with uniform distribution $U_{[0,2 \pi)}$ on $[0,2 \pi)$ such that $\bar{y}$ is independent of $\left(\bar{Z}_{t}\right)$. Let $\bar{q}(t)=\bar{y}-\sigma \int_{0}^{t} \cot _{2}\left(\bar{Z}_{s}\right) d s$ and $\bar{\lambda}(t)=\bar{q}(t)+\bar{Z}_{t}, t \in \mathbb{R}$. If $\sigma=1$ (resp. $=-1$ ), the forward (resp. backward) radial Loewner process driven by $\bar{\lambda}(t), 0 \leq t<\infty$, is called a stationary forward (resp. backward) radial $\operatorname{SLE}(\kappa ; \rho)$ process. Equivalently, a stationary forward (resp. backward) radial SLE $(\kappa ; \rho)$ process is a forward (resp. backward) radial SLE $(\kappa ; \rho)$ process started from a random pair $\left(e^{i \bar{x}}, e^{i \bar{y}}\right)$ with $(\bar{x}, \bar{x}-\bar{y}) \sim U_{[0,2 \pi)} \times \mu_{\delta}$. If $\sigma=1$, the whole-plane Loewner process driven by $\bar{\lambda}(t), t \in \mathbb{R}$, is called a wholeplane $\operatorname{SLE}(\kappa ; \rho)$ process.

It is easy to verify the following Markov-type relation between a whole-plane $\operatorname{SLE}(\kappa ; \rho)$ process and a forward radial $\operatorname{SLE}(\kappa ; \rho)$ process. Recall that $I_{\mathbb{T}}(z)=1 / \bar{z}$ is the reflection about $\mathbb{T}$. Let $g_{t}$ and $K_{t}, t \in \mathbb{R}$, be maps and hulls generated by a whole-plane $\operatorname{SLE}(\kappa ; \rho)$ process. Let $t_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $I_{\mathbb{T}} \circ g_{t_{0}+t} \circ g_{t_{0}}^{-1} \circ I_{\mathbb{T}}$ and $I_{\mathbb{T}} \circ$ $g_{t_{0}}\left(K_{t_{0}+t} \backslash K_{t_{0}}\right), t \geq 0$, are maps and hulls generated by a stationary forward radial $\operatorname{SLE}(\kappa ; \rho)$ process.

Using the reversibility of the stationary radial Bessel processes of dimension $\delta \geq 2$, we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2 Let $\kappa>0$ and $\rho \leq-\frac{\kappa}{2}-2$. Let $\lambda(t), t \geq 0$, be a driving function of a stationary backward radial SLE $(\kappa ; \rho)$ process. Thenfor any $t_{0}>0, \lambda\left(t_{0}-t\right), 0 \leq t \leq$ $t_{0}$, is a driving function up to time $t_{0}$ of a stationary forward radial $\operatorname{SLE}(\kappa ;-4-\rho)$ process; and $\lambda(-t),-\infty<t \leq 0$, is a driving function up to time 0 of a whole-plane $\operatorname{SLE}(\kappa ;-4-\rho)$ process.

Girsanov's theorem implies that many properties of forward or backward radial $\operatorname{SLE}_{\kappa}$ process carry over to radial $\operatorname{SLE}(\kappa ; \rho)$ processes. For example, a forward (resp. backward) radial SLE $(\kappa ; \rho)$ process generates a forward radial trace (resp. a family of backward radial traces). If $\kappa \leq 4$ and $\rho \leq-\frac{\kappa}{2}-2$, then a backward radial SLE $(\kappa ; \rho)$ process induces a welding, say $\phi$, of $\mathbb{T}$ with two fixed points. Suppose the process is started from $(z ; w)$. From $e^{i \lambda(0)}=e^{i\left(q(0)+Z_{0}\right)}=e^{i x}=z$ we see that $z$ is one fixed
point of $\phi$. Lemma 3.1 implies that $w$ is the joint point of the process, and so is the other fixed point of $\phi$.

Corollary 3.3 Let $\kappa>0$ and $\rho \leq-\frac{\kappa}{2}-2$. Let $\left(\beta_{t}\right)$ be a family of backward radial traces generated by a stationary backward radial SLE $(\kappa ; \rho)$ process. Let $\beta$ be a stationary forward radial $\operatorname{SLE}(\kappa ;-4-\rho)$ trace. Then for every fixed $t_{0} \in(0, \infty), \beta_{t_{0}}(t), 0 \leq t \leq t_{0}$, has the same distribution as $\beta\left(t_{0}-t\right), 0 \leq t \leq t_{0}$.

Remark One special value of $\rho$ is -4 . Theorem 6.8 in [13] implies that, if $\kappa \in(0,4]$, a stationary backward radial $\operatorname{SLE}(\kappa ;-4)$ process is a stationary backward radial $\operatorname{SLE}_{\kappa}$ process, i.e., the process driven by $\lambda(t)=\bar{x}+\sqrt{\kappa} B(t)$, where $\bar{x}$ is a random variable uniformly distributed on $[0,2 \pi)$ and independent of $B(t)$. So the above corollary provides a connection between a family of stationary backward radial SLE $_{\kappa}$ traces and a stationary forward radial $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa}$ trace.

We are especially interested in the backward radial SLE $(\kappa ;-\kappa-6)$ processes. The proposition below is Corollary 4.8 in [13].

Proposition 3.4 Let $\kappa>0$ and $z_{0} \neq z_{\infty} \in \mathbb{T}$. Let $f_{t}$ and $L_{t}, 0 \leq t<\infty$, be the backward radial SLE $(\kappa ;-\kappa-6)$ maps and hulls started from $\left(z_{0}, z_{\infty}\right)$. Let $W$ be a Möbius transformation with $W(\mathbb{D})=\mathbb{H}, W\left(z_{0}\right)=0$, and $W\left(z_{\infty}\right)=\infty$. Then there is a strictly increasing function $v$ with $v([0, \infty))=[0, \infty)$ such that $W^{\mathcal{H}}\left(L_{v(t)}\right), 0 \leq$ $t<\infty$, are the $\mathbb{H}$-hulls driven by a backward chordal SLE $_{\kappa}$ process.

That the range of $v$ is $[0, \infty)$ is a part of the statement of Corollary 4.8 in [13]: up to a time change, $W^{\mathcal{H}}\left(L_{t}\right)$ is a (complete) backward chordal SLE $_{\kappa}$ process. See the end of the proof of a similar proposition: Theorem 4.6 in [13].

The symbol $W^{\mathcal{H}}(L)$ is defined in Section 2.3 of [13]. Theorem 2.20 in [13] ensures that for a $\mathbb{D}$-hull $L$ and a Möbius transformation $W$ from $\mathbb{D}$ onto $\mathbb{H}$ with $W^{-1}(\infty) \notin S_{L}$, there is a unique Möbius transformation $W^{L}$ from $\mathbb{D}$ onto $\mathbb{H}$ such that $W^{L}(L)$ is an $\mathbb{H}$-hull, and $W^{L} \circ f_{L}^{\mathbb{D}}=f_{W^{L}(L)}^{\mathbb{H}} \circ W$ holds in $\mathbb{D}$. The $W^{\mathcal{H}}(L)$ is then defined to be the $\mathbb{H}$-hull $W^{L}(L)$. Since $z_{\infty}$ is the joint point of the process, $W^{-1}(\infty)=z_{\infty} \notin S_{L_{t}}$ for each $t$, and so $W^{L_{t}}$ and $W^{\mathcal{H}}\left(L_{t}\right)$ are well defined.

Write $W_{t}=W^{L_{t}}, 0 \leq t<\infty$. Let $\lambda$ be the driving function for the backward radial Loewner process $\left(L_{t}\right)$. Let $\widehat{\lambda}$ be the driving function for the backward chordal process $\left(W^{\mathcal{H}}\left(L_{v(t)}\right)=W_{v(t)}\left(L_{v(t)}\right)\right)$. Then (4.10) in [13] implies that $W_{t}\left(e^{i \lambda(t)}\right)=\widehat{\lambda}(v(t))$. In fact, in (4.10) of [13], the $\widetilde{W}$ satisfies that $e^{i \widetilde{W}(z)}=W\left(e^{i z}\right)$, and the $\lambda^{*}(t)$ corresponds to the $\widehat{\lambda}(v(t))$ here. Let $f_{t}$ (resp. $\widehat{f_{t}}$ ), $f_{t_{2}, t_{1}}$ (resp. $\widehat{f_{t_{2}}, t_{1}}$ ), and $\left(\beta_{t}\right)$ (resp. $\left.\widehat{\beta_{t}}\right), 0 \leq t<\infty$, be the backward radial (resp. chordal) Loewner maps, flows, and traces driven by $\lambda$ (resp. $\widehat{\lambda}$ ). Then we have $W_{t} \circ f_{t}=\widehat{f}_{v^{-1}(t)} \circ W$ in $\mathbb{D}$ for any $t \geq 0$. Applying this equality to $t=t_{2}$ and $t=t_{1}$, where $t_{2} \geq t_{1} \geq 0$, and using Lemma 2.1, we get
 $\widehat{f}_{v^{-1}\left(t_{2}\right), v^{-1}\left(t_{1}\right)} \circ W_{t_{1}}$ in $\mathbb{D}$, and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\beta}_{t_{2}}\left(t_{1}\right) & \left.=\widehat{f_{t_{2}, t_{1}}} \widehat{\lambda}\left(t_{1}\right)\right)=\widehat{f_{t_{2}}, t_{1}} \circ W_{v\left(t_{1}\right)}\left(e^{i \lambda\left(v\left(t_{1}\right)\right)}\right) \\
& =W_{v\left(t_{2}\right)} \circ f_{v\left(t_{2}\right), v\left(t_{1}\right)}\left(e^{i \lambda\left(v\left(t_{1}\right)\right)}\right)=W_{v\left(t_{2}\right)}\left(\beta_{v\left(t_{2}\right)}\left(v\left(t_{1}\right)\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the proposition above implies the following corollary.

Corollary 3.5 Let $\kappa>0$ and $z_{0} \neq z_{\infty} \in \mathbb{T}$. Let $\beta_{t}, 0 \leq t<\infty$, be the backward radial $\operatorname{SLE}(\kappa ;-\kappa-6)$ traces started from $\left(z_{0}, z_{\infty}\right)$. Then there exist a strictly increasing function $v$ with $v([0, \infty))=[0, \infty)$, and a family of Möbius transformations $\left(W_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ with $W_{t}(\mathbb{D})=\mathbb{H}$, such that $\widehat{\beta}_{t}:=W_{v(t)} \circ \beta_{v(t)} \circ v, 0 \leq t<\infty$, are backward chordal traces generated by a backward chordal $S L E_{\kappa}$ process.

The following proposition is Theorem 6.1 in [13].
Proposition 3.6 Let $\kappa \in(0,4]$. Let $z_{1} \neq z_{2} \in \mathbb{T}$. There is a coupling of two backward radial SLE $(\kappa ;-\kappa-6)$ processes, one started from $\left(z_{1} ; z_{2}\right)$, the other started from $\left(z_{2} ; z_{1}\right)$, such that the two processes induce the same welding.

Remark If $\delta=\frac{4}{\kappa} \sigma\left(\frac{\rho}{2}+1\right)+1 \in(1,2)$, we may define a forward (resp. backward) $\operatorname{radial} \operatorname{SLE}(\kappa ; \rho)$ process in the case $\sigma=1$ (resp. $\sigma=-1$ ) such that the time interval of the process is $[0, \infty)$. First, the second remark in "Appendix B" says that a radial Bessel process $\left(X_{t}\right)$ of dimension $\delta>0$ started from $(x-y) / 2$ can be defined for all $t \geq 0$. Second, the transition density of ( $X_{t}$ ) given by Proposition (8.1) (which is also true in the case $\delta \in(0,2))$ shows that, if $\delta>1$, then $\cot \left(X_{t}\right), 0 \leq t<\infty$, is locally integrable. Thus, if $\delta>1$, we may let $q(t)=y-\sigma \int_{0}^{t} \cot _{2}\left(Z_{s}\right) d s$ and $\lambda(t)=$ $q(t)+Z_{t}, 0 \leq t<\infty$, where $Z_{t}=2 X_{\frac{\kappa}{4} t}$, and use $\lambda$ as the driving function to define a forward (resp. backward) radial $\operatorname{SLE}(\kappa ; \rho)$ process. The corresponding stationary processes are similarly defined. Lemma 3.2 still holds thanks to the reversibility of the stationary radial Bessel process in the case $\delta \in(1,2)$. But Girsanov's theorem does not apply beyond the time that $\lambda(t)-q(t)$ hits $\{0,2 \pi\}$.

## 4 Normalized backward radial Loewner trace

In general, a backward chordal (resp. radial) Loewner process does not naturally generate a single curve even if the backward chordal (resp. radial) traces $\left(\beta_{t}\right)$ exist, because they may not satisfy $\beta_{t_{1}} \subset \beta_{t_{2}}$ when $t_{1} \leq t_{2}$. A normalization method was introduced in [13] to define a normalized backward chordal Loewner trace (under certain conditions). In this section we will define a normalized backward radial Loewner trace.

Lemma 4.1 Let $\lambda \in C([0, \infty))$, and $\left(f_{t_{2}, t_{1}}\right)$ be the backward radial Loewner flow driven by $\lambda$. Define $F_{t_{2}, t_{1}}=e^{t_{2}} f_{t_{2}, t_{1}}, t_{2} \geq t_{1} \geq 0$. Then for every fixed $t_{0} \in[0, \infty), F_{t, t_{0}}$ converges locally uniformly in $\mathbb{D}$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ to a conformal map, denoted by $F_{\infty, t_{0}}$, which satisfies that $F_{\infty, t_{0}}(0)=0, F_{\infty, t_{0}}^{\prime}(0)=e^{t_{0}}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\infty, t_{2}} \circ f_{t_{2}, t_{1}}=F_{\infty, t_{1}}, \quad t_{2} \geq t_{1} \geq 0 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, let $G_{s}=I_{\mathbb{T}} \circ F_{\infty,-s}^{-1} \circ I_{\mathbb{T}}$ and $K_{s}=\mathbb{C} \backslash I_{\mathbb{T}} \circ F_{\infty,-s}(\mathbb{D}),-\infty<s \leq 0$. Then $G_{s}$ and $K_{s}$ are whole-plane Loewner maps and hulls driven by $\lambda(-s),-\infty<s \leq 0$.

Proof Lemma 2.1(ii) implies that, if $t_{2} \geq t_{1} \geq 0$, then $f_{t_{2}, t_{1}}$ is a conformal map on $\mathbb{D}$ with $f_{t_{2}, t_{1}}(0)=0$ and $f_{t_{2}, t_{1}}^{\prime}(0)=e^{-\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right)}$. Thus, every $F_{t_{2}, t_{1}}$ is a conformal map on $\mathbb{D}$ that satisfies $F_{t_{2}, t_{1}}(0)=0$ and $F_{t_{2}, t_{1}}^{\prime}(0)=e^{t_{1}}$. Koebe's distortion theorem (c.f. [1]) implies that, for every fixed $t_{1},\left(F_{t_{2}, t_{1}}\right)_{t_{2} \geq t_{1}}$ is a normal family. Let $S$ be a
countable unbounded subset of $[0, \infty)$, and write $S_{\geq t}=\{x \in S: x \geq t\}$ for every $t \geq 0$. Using a diagonal argument, we can find a positive sequence $t_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ such that for any $x \in S,\left(F_{t_{n}, x}\right)$ converges locally uniformly in $\mathbb{D}$. Let $F_{\infty, x}$ denote the limit. Lemma 7.2 implies that $F_{\infty, x}$ is a conformal map on $\mathbb{D}$, and satisfies $F_{\infty, x}(0)=0$ and $F_{\infty, x}^{\prime}(0)=e^{x}$.

Let $x_{2} \geq x_{1} \in S$. From $f_{t_{n}, x_{2}} \circ f_{x_{2}, x_{1}}=f_{t_{n}, x_{1}}$ we conclude that $F_{\infty, x_{2}} \circ f_{x_{2}, x_{1}}=$ $F_{\infty, x_{1}}$. For $t \in[0, \infty)$, choose $x \in S_{\geq t}$ and define the conformal map $F_{\infty, t}=$ $F_{\infty, x} \circ f_{x, t}$ on $\mathbb{D}$. Lemma 2.1(i) and $F_{\infty, x_{2}} \circ f_{x_{2}, x_{1}}=F_{\infty, x_{1}}$ for $x_{2} \geq x_{1} \in S$ imply that the definition of $F_{\infty, t}$ does not depend on the choice of $x \in S_{\geq t}$, and (4.1) holds.

From (2.1) we see that $f_{t_{2}, t_{1}}$ commutes with the reflection $I_{\mathbb{T}}(z)=1 / \bar{z}$. Since $f_{t_{2}, t_{1}}^{-1}=f_{t_{1}, t_{2}}$, using (4.1) we get $G_{s_{1}}=f_{-s_{1},-s_{2}} \circ G_{s_{2}}$ if $s_{1} \leq s_{2} \leq 0$. From (2.1) we see that $G_{s}$ satisfies the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{S} G_{S}(z)=G_{s}(z) \frac{e^{i \lambda(-s)}+G_{s}(z)}{e^{i \lambda(-s)}-G_{s}(z)}, \quad-\infty<s \leq 0 \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\widehat{F}_{\infty, t}(z)=F_{\infty, t}\left(e^{-t} z\right), t \geq 0$. Then each $\widehat{F}_{\infty, t}$ is a conformal map defined on $e^{t} \mathbb{D}$, and satisfies $\widehat{F}_{\infty, t}(0)=0$ and $\widehat{F}_{\infty, t}^{\prime}(0)=1$. As $t \rightarrow \infty, e^{t} \mathbb{D} \xrightarrow{\text { Cara }} \mathbb{C}$ (c.f. Definition 7.1). Koebe's distortion theorem implies that $\left|\widehat{F}_{\infty, t}(z)\right| \leq \frac{|z|}{\left(1-e^{-t}|z|\right)^{2}}$ for $z \in e^{t} \mathbb{D}$. Thus, for every $r>0$, there exists $t_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that, if $t \geq t_{0}$, then $\left|\widehat{F}_{\infty, t}\right| \leq 2 r$ on $\{|z| \leq r\}$. Therefore, every sequence $\left(t_{n}\right)$, which tends to $\infty$, contains a subsequence $\left(t_{n_{k}}\right)$ such that $\widehat{F}_{\infty, t_{n_{k}}}$ converges locally uniformly in $\mathbb{C}$. Applying Lemma 7.2, we see that the limit function is a conformal map on $\mathbb{C}$, which fixes 0 and has derivative 1 at 0 . Such conformal map must be the identity. Hence $\widehat{F}_{\infty, t} \xrightarrow{\text { l.u. }}$ id in $\mathbb{C}$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Applying Lemma 7.2 again, we see that $e^{t} F_{\infty, t}^{-1}(z) \xrightarrow{\text { l.u. }}$ id in $\mathbb{C}$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, $\lim _{s \rightarrow-\infty} e^{s} G_{s}(z)=z$ for any $z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$, which together with (4.2) implies that $G_{s},-\infty<s \leq 0$, are whole-plane Loewner maps driven by $\lambda(-s)$. The $K_{s}$ are the corresponding hulls because $K_{s}=\mathbb{C} \backslash G_{s}^{-1}\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}\right)$.

It remains to show that, for any $t \in[0, \infty), F_{x, t} \xrightarrow{\text { l.u. }} F_{\infty, t}$ in $\mathbb{D}$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Assume that this is not true for some $t_{0} \in[0, \infty)$. Since $\left(F_{x, t_{0}}\right)_{x \geq t_{0}}$ is a normal family, there exists $x_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ such that $F_{x_{n}, t_{0}}$ converges locally uniformly in $\mathbb{D}$ to a function other than $F_{\infty, t_{0}}$. Let $\widetilde{F}_{\infty, t_{0}}$ denote the limit. Let $S=\mathbb{N} \cup\left\{t_{0}\right\}$. By passing to a subsequence, we may assume that, for every $t \in S, F_{x_{n}, t} \xrightarrow{\text { l.u. }} \widetilde{F}_{\infty, t}$ in $\mathbb{D}$. Now we may repeat the above construction to define $\widetilde{F}_{\infty, t}$ for every $t \in[0, \infty)$. The previous argument shows that $I_{\mathbb{T}} \circ \widetilde{F}_{\infty,-t}^{-1} \circ I_{\mathbb{T}},-\infty<t \leq 0$, are the whole-plane Loewner maps driven by $\lambda(-t),-\infty<t \leq 0$. Since the same is true for $I_{\mathbb{T}} \circ F_{\infty,-t}^{-1} \circ I_{\mathbb{T}}$, we get $\widetilde{F}_{\infty, t}=F_{\infty, t}$ for every $t$, which contradicts that $\widetilde{F}_{\infty, t_{0}} \neq F_{\infty, t_{0}}$. Thus, $F_{x, t} \xrightarrow{\text { l.u. }} F_{\infty, t}$ in $\mathbb{D}$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 4.2 Let $\lambda \in C([0, \infty))$. Let $\left(F_{\infty, t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ be given by the above lemma. Suppose the backward radial Loewner process driven by $\lambda$ generates a family of backward radial Loewner traces $\beta_{t}, 0 \leq t<\infty$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall t_{0} \in[0, \infty), \quad \exists t_{1} \in\left(t_{0}, \infty\right), \quad \beta_{t_{1}}\left(\left[0, t_{0}\right]\right) \subset \mathbb{D} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then every $F_{\infty, t}$ extends to a continuous function $\overline{\mathbb{D}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$, and there is a continuous curve $\beta(t), 0 \leq t<\infty$, with $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \beta(t)=\infty$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta(t)=F_{\infty, t_{0}}\left(\beta_{t_{0}}(t)\right), \quad t_{0} \geq t \geq 0 \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for any $t \geq 0, F_{\infty, t}(\mathbb{D})$ is the component of $\mathbb{C} \backslash \beta([t, \infty))$ that contains 0 . Furthermore, $\gamma(s):=I_{\mathbb{T}}(\beta(-s)),-\infty<s \leq 0$, is the whole-plane Loewner trace driven by $\lambda(-s)$.

Proof For every $t_{0} \in[0, \infty)$, using (4.3) we may pick $t_{1} \in\left(t_{0}, \infty\right)$ such that $\beta_{t_{1}}\left(\left[0, t_{0}\right]\right) \subset \mathbb{D}$, and define $\beta(t)=F_{\infty, t_{1}} \circ \beta_{t_{1}}(t), t \in\left[0, t_{0}\right]$. From (2.2) and (4.1) we see that the definition of $\beta$ does not depend on $t_{0}$ and $t_{1}$, and $\beta$ is continuous on $[0, \infty)$.

Let $L_{t_{2}, t_{1}}=\mathbb{D} \backslash f_{t_{2}, t_{1}}(\mathbb{D}), t_{2} \geq t_{1} \geq 0$. Then $L_{t_{2}, t_{1}}$ is the $\mathbb{D}$-hull generated by $\beta_{t_{2}}\left(\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]\right)$, i.e., $\mathbb{D} \backslash L_{t_{2}, t_{1}}$ is the component of $\mathbb{D} \backslash \beta_{t_{2}}\left(\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]\right)$ that contains 0 . Hence $\partial L_{t_{2}, t_{1}} \cap \mathbb{D} \subset \beta_{t_{2}}\left(\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]\right)$.

Let $G_{s}$ and $K_{s},-\infty<s \leq 0$, be given by the previous lemma. Then ( $K_{s}$ ) is an increasing family with $\bigcap_{s \leq 0} K_{s}=\{0\}$. If $s_{2} \leq s_{1} \leq 0$, from $F_{\infty,-s_{1}}=$ $F_{\infty,-s_{2}} \circ f_{-s_{2},-s_{1}}$ and $f_{-s_{2},-s_{1}}(\overline{\mathbb{D}})=\mathbb{D} \backslash L_{-s_{2},-s_{1}}$, we see that $K_{s_{1}} \backslash K_{s_{2}}=I_{\mathbb{T}} \circ$ $F_{\infty,-s_{2}}\left(L_{-s_{2},-s_{1}}\right)$.

Fix $t_{2} \geq t_{1} \geq 0$. Choose $T>t_{2}$ such that $\beta_{T}\left(\left[0, t_{2}\right]\right) \subset \mathbb{D}$. Then $\beta\left(t_{2}\right)=F_{\infty, T} \circ$ $\beta_{T}\left(t_{2}\right)$. Since $f_{T, t_{1}}: \mathbb{D} \xrightarrow{\text { Conf }} \mathbb{D} \backslash L_{T, t_{1}}, L_{T, t_{1}}$ is the $\mathbb{D}$-hull generated by $\beta_{T}\left(\left[t_{1}, T\right]\right)$, and $t_{2} \in\left[t_{1}, T\right]$, we see that $\beta_{T}\left(t_{2}\right) \notin f_{T, t_{1}}(\mathbb{D})$. So $\beta\left(t_{2}\right) \notin F_{\infty, T} \circ f_{T, t_{1}}(\mathbb{D})=$ $F_{\infty, t_{1}}(\mathbb{D})$. This implies that, if $s_{2} \leq s_{1} \leq 0$, then $\gamma\left(s_{2}\right)=I_{\mathbb{T}}\left(\beta\left(-s_{2}\right)\right) \in \mathbb{C} \backslash I_{\mathbb{T}} \circ$ $F_{\infty,-s_{1}}(\mathbb{D})=K_{s_{1}}$. Thus, $\gamma((-\infty, s]) \subset K_{s}$ for every $s \leq 0$. Since $\bigcap_{s \leq 0} K_{s}=\{0\}$, we get $\lim _{s \rightarrow-\infty} \gamma(s)=0$.

Define $\gamma(-\infty)=0$. Let $s \leq 0$. Let $z_{0} \in \partial K_{s}$. If $z_{0}=0$, then $z_{0}=\gamma(-\infty) \in$ $\gamma([-\infty, s])$. Now suppose $z_{0} \neq 0$. Since $\left(K_{s}\right)$ is increasing and $\bigcap_{s \leq 0} K_{s}=\{0\}$, there is $s_{0}<s$ such that $z_{0} \notin K_{s_{0}}$. Thus, $z_{0} \in K_{s} \backslash K_{s_{0}}=I_{\mathbb{T}} \circ F_{\infty,-s_{0}}\left(L_{-s_{0},-s}\right)$. From $z_{0} \in$ $\partial K_{s}$ we see that $w_{0}:=F_{\infty,-s_{0}}^{-1} \circ I_{\mathbb{T}}\left(z_{0}\right) \in \partial L_{-s_{0},-s} \cap \mathbb{D}$. Since $L_{-s_{0},-s}$ is the $\mathbb{D}$-hull generated by $\beta_{-s_{0}}\left(\left[-s,-s_{0}\right]\right)$, there is $t_{1} \in\left[-s,-s_{0}\right]$ such that $w_{0}=\beta_{-s_{0}}\left(t_{1}\right)$. Thus, $z_{0}=I_{\mathbb{T}} \circ F_{\infty,-s_{0}}\left(\beta_{-s_{0}}\left(t_{1}\right)\right)=\gamma\left(-t_{1}\right) \in \gamma([-\infty, s])$. Thus, $\partial K_{s} \subset \gamma([-\infty, s])$, which implies that $\partial K_{s}$ is locally connected. Since $I_{\mathbb{T}} \circ F_{\infty,-s} \circ I_{\mathbb{T}}: \mathbb{D}^{*} \xrightarrow{\text { Conf }} \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \backslash K_{s}$, we see that $F_{\infty, t}$ extends continuously to $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ for each $t \geq 0$ (c.f. [10]). The equality (4.1) holds after continuation, which together with (2.2) and the definition of $\beta$ implies (4.4). Setting $t_{1}=t=-s$, we see that $\gamma(s)=I_{\mathbb{T}} \circ F_{\infty, t}\left(e^{i \lambda(t)}\right)=G_{s}^{-1}\left(e^{i \lambda(-s)}\right)$. Thus, $\gamma(s),-\infty \leq s \leq 0$, is the whole-plane Loewner trace driven by $\lambda(-s),-\infty<s \leq 0$. This implies that $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \beta(t)=I_{\mathbb{T}}\left(\lim _{s \rightarrow-\infty} \gamma(s)\right)=\infty$.

Finally, from the properties of the whole-plane Loewner trace, we see that for any $s \geq 0, G_{s}^{-1}\left(\mathbb{D}^{*}\right)$ is the component of $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \backslash \gamma([-\infty, s])$ that contains 0 . Since $G_{-t}=$ $I_{\mathbb{T}} \circ F_{\infty, t}^{-1} \circ I_{\mathbb{T}}$ and $\gamma(-t)=I_{\mathbb{T}}(\beta(t))$, we see that, for any $t \geq 0, F_{\infty, t}(\mathbb{D})$ is the component of $\mathbb{C} \backslash \beta\left([t, \infty)\right.$ ) that contains $I_{\mathbb{T}}(\infty)=0$.

Definition 4.3 The $\beta(t), 0 \leq t<\infty$, given by the lemma is called the normalized backward radial Loewner trace driven by $\lambda$.

If the backward radial Loewner traces $\beta_{t}$ are all $\mathbb{D}$-simple traces, then (4.3) clearly holds because we may always choose $t_{1}=t_{0}+1$. Moreover, (4.4) implies that for any $t_{0}>0, \beta$ restricted to $\left[0, t_{0}\right)$ is simple. Thus, the whole curve $\beta$ is simple. This implies further that $F_{\infty, t}(\mathbb{D})=\mathbb{C} \backslash \beta([t, \infty))$ for any $t \geq 0$. In particular, $F_{\infty, 0}$ maps two arcs on $\mathbb{T}$ with two common end points onto the two sides of $\beta$. Let $\phi$ be the welding induced by the process. The equality $F_{\infty, 0}=F_{\infty, t} \circ f_{t}$ implies that, if $y=\phi(x)$ then $F_{\infty, 0}(x)=F_{\infty, 0}(y) \in \beta$. The two fixed points of $\phi$ are mapped to the two ends of $\beta$ such that $e^{i \lambda(0)}$ is mapped to $\beta(0) \in \mathbb{C}$, and the joint point is mapped to $\infty$.

We will prove that (4.3) holds in some other cases. We say that an $\mathbb{H}$ (resp. $\mathbb{D}$ )-hull $K$ is nice if $S_{K}$ is an interval on $\mathbb{R}$ (resp. $\mathbb{D}$ ), and $f_{K}$ extends continuously to $S_{K}$ and maps the interior of $S_{K}$ into $\mathbb{H}($ resp. $\mathbb{D})$. This means that $\partial K \cap \mathbb{H}($ resp. $\partial K \cap \mathbb{D})$ is the image of an open curve in $\mathbb{H}($ resp. $\mathbb{D})$, whose two ends approach $\mathbb{R}$ (resp. $\mathbb{T})$. It is easy to see that, if $K$ is a nice $\mathbb{H}$-hull, and $W$ is a Möbius transformation such that $W(\mathbb{H})=\mathbb{D}$ and $0 \notin W(K)$, then $W(K)$ is a nice $\mathbb{D}$-hull.

Lemma 4.4 Let $\kappa>4$ and $\rho \leq-\frac{\kappa}{2}-2$. Let $\left(L_{t}\right)$ be $\mathbb{D}$-hulls generated by a backward radial $\operatorname{SLE}(\kappa ; \rho)$ process. Then for every fixed $t_{0} \in(0, \infty)$, a.s. $L_{t_{0}}$ is nice.

Proof Theorem 6.1 in [17] shows that, if $\left(H_{t}\right)$ are $\mathbb{H}$-hulls generated by a (forward) chordal $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa}$ process, then for any stopping time $T \in(0, \infty)$, a.s. $H_{T}$ is a nice $\mathbb{H}$-hull. From the equivalence between chordal $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa}$ and radial $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa}$ (Proposition 4.2 in [6]), we conclude that, if $\left(K_{t}\right)$ are $\mathbb{D}$-hulls generated by a forward radial $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa}$ process, then for any deterministic point $z_{0} \in \mathbb{T}$ and any stopping time $T \in(0, \infty)$ such that $z_{0} \notin \overline{K_{T}}$, a.s. $K_{T}$ is a nice $\mathbb{D}$-hull. This further implies that, for any stopping time $T \in(0, \infty)$, on the event that $\mathbb{T} \not \subset \overline{K_{T}}$, a.s. $K_{T}$ is a nice $\mathbb{D}$-hull. Let $\left(L_{t}^{0}\right)$ be $\mathbb{H}$-hulls generated by a backward radial SLE $_{\kappa}$ process. The above result in the case that $T$ is a deterministic time together with Lemma 2.1 and the rotation symmetry of radial Loewner processes implies that, for any fixed $t_{0} \in(0, \infty)$, on the event that $S_{L_{t_{0}}^{0}} \neq \mathbb{T}$, a.s. $L_{t_{0}}^{0}$ is a nice $\mathbb{D}$-hull.

By rotation symmetry, we may assume that the backward radial $\operatorname{SLE}(\kappa ; \rho)$ process which generates $\left(L_{t}\right)$ is started from $\left(1 ; w_{0}\right)$. Fix $t_{0} \in(0, \infty)$. Girsanov's theorem implies that the distribution of $\left(L_{t}\right)_{0 \leq t \leq t_{0}}$ is absolutely continuous w.r.t. that of $\left(L_{t}^{0}\right)_{0 \leq t \leq t_{0}}$ given by the last paragraph conditioned on the event that $f_{t}^{0}\left(w_{0}\right) \in \mathbb{T}$ for $0 \leq t \leq t_{0}$. Since $f_{t_{0}}^{0}\left(w_{0}\right) \in \mathbb{T}$ is equivalent to $w_{0} \in \mathbb{T} \backslash S_{L_{t_{0}}}$, which implies that $S_{L_{t_{0}}} \neq \mathbb{T}$, the proof is completed.

Proposition 4.5 Let $\kappa>0$ and $\rho \leq-\frac{\kappa}{2}-2$. Then condition (4.3) almost surely holds for a backward radial SLE $(\kappa ; \rho)$ process.

Proof The result is clear if $\kappa \leq 4$ since the traces are $\mathbb{D}$-simple. Now assume that $\kappa>4$. Suppose the process is started from ( $z_{0} ; w_{0}$ ). Lemma 3.1 implies that $S_{L_{t_{0}}} \subset$ $\mathbb{T} \backslash\left\{w_{0}\right\}$. So $f_{t_{0}}\left(w_{0}\right) \notin \overline{L_{t_{0}}}$. Since $L_{t_{0}}$ is the $\mathbb{D}$-hull generated by $\beta_{t_{0}}$, we have $f_{t_{0}}\left(w_{0}\right) \notin$ $\beta_{t_{0}}\left(\left[0, t_{0}\right]\right)$. The Markov property of Brownian motion and the fact that $e^{i q(t)}=f_{t}\left(w_{0}\right)$ for all $t$ imply that, conditioned on $\lambda(t), 0 \leq t \leq t_{0}$, the maps $f_{t_{0}+t, t_{0}}, t \geq 0$, are generated by a backward radial $\operatorname{SLE}(\kappa ; \rho)$ process started from $\left(e^{i \lambda\left(t_{0}\right)} ; f_{t_{0}}\left(w_{0}\right)\right)$. Let $L_{t_{0}+t, t_{0}}=\mathbb{D} \backslash f_{L_{t_{0}+t, t_{0}}}(\mathbb{D})$. Lemma 4.4 implies that, for every $t_{1}>t_{0}$, a.s. $L_{t_{1}, t_{0}}$ is nice.

Lemma 3.1 implies that the probability that $\beta_{t_{0}}\left(\left[0, t_{0}\right]\right) \cap \mathbb{T}$ is contained in the interior of $S_{L_{t_{1}}, t_{0}}$ tends to 1 as $t_{1} \rightarrow \infty$.

If $L_{t_{1}, t_{0}}$ is nice and $\beta_{t_{0}}\left(\left[0, t_{0}\right]\right) \cap \mathbb{T}$ is contained in the interior of $S_{L_{t_{1}}, t_{0}}$, then

$$
\beta_{t_{1}}\left(\left[0, t_{0}\right]\right)=f_{t_{1}, t_{0}}\left(\beta_{t_{0}}\left(\left[0, t_{0}\right]\right)\right)=f_{L_{t_{1}, t_{0}}}\left(\beta_{t_{0}}\left(\left[0, t_{0}\right]\right)\right) \subset \mathbb{D} .
$$

In fact, if $z \in \beta_{t_{1}}\left(\left[0, t_{0}\right]\right) \cap \mathbb{D}$, then obviously $f_{L_{t_{1}, t_{0}}}(z) \in \mathbb{D}$; if $z \in \beta_{t_{0}}\left(\left[0, t_{0}\right]\right) \cap \mathbb{T}$, then $f_{L_{t_{1}, t_{0}}}(z) \in \mathbb{D}$ follows from that $L_{t_{1}, t_{0}}$ is nice and $z$ lies in the interior of $S_{L_{t_{1}, t_{0}}}$. Thus, as $t_{1} \rightarrow \infty$, the probability that $\beta_{t_{1}}\left(\left[0, t_{0}\right]\right) \subset \mathbb{D}$ tends to 1 . This means that, for every fixed $t_{0}>0$, a.s. there exists a (random) $t_{1}>t_{0}$ such that $\beta_{t_{1}}\left(\left[0, t_{0}\right]\right) \subset \mathbb{D}$. Thus, on an event with probability 1 , (4.3) holds for every $t_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\beta_{t_{1}}\left(\left[0, t_{0}\right]\right) \subset$ $\beta_{t_{1}}([0, n]) \subset \mathbb{D}$ if $t_{0}<n \in \mathbb{N}$, we see that (4.3) holds on that event. This completes the proof.

Thus, a normalized backward radial $\operatorname{SLE}(\kappa ; \rho)$ trace can be well defined for any $\kappa>0$ and $\rho \leq-\frac{\kappa}{2}-2$. Combining Lemmas 3.2 and 4.2, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 4.6 Let $\kappa>0$ and $\rho \leq-\frac{\kappa}{2}-2$. Let $\beta(t), 0 \leq t<\infty$, be a normalized stationary backward radial SLE $(\kappa ; \rho)$ trace. Then $\gamma(s):=I_{\mathbb{T}}(\beta(-s)),-\infty<s \leq 0$, is a whole-plane $\operatorname{SLE}(\kappa ;-4-\rho)$ trace stopped at time 0 .

## 5 Conformal images of the tips

Theorem 5.1 Let $\kappa \in(0,4)$. Let $\gamma(s),-\infty \leq s \leq 0$, be a whole-plane $\operatorname{SLE}(\kappa ; \kappa+2)$ trace stopped at time 0 . Then after an orientation reversing time change, the curve $\gamma(s)-\gamma(0),-\infty \leq s \leq 0$, has the same distribution as $\gamma(s),-\infty \leq s \leq 0$.

Proof Theorem 4.6 shows that $\beta(t):=I_{\mathbb{T}}(\gamma(-t)), 0 \leq t \leq \infty$, is a normalized stationary backward radial $\operatorname{SLE}(\kappa ;-\kappa-6)$ trace, which is a simple curve with $\beta(\infty)=\infty$, and there is $F_{\infty, 0}: \mathbb{D} \xrightarrow{\text { Conf }} \mathbb{C} \backslash \beta$ such that $F_{\infty, 0}(0)=0, F_{\infty, 0}^{\prime}(0)=1$, and $F_{\infty, 0}(x)=F_{\infty, 0}(y)$ implies that $y=x$ or $y=\phi(x)$, where $\phi$ is the welding induced by the stationary backward radial $\operatorname{SLE}(\kappa ;-\kappa-6)$ process. Proposition 3.6 implies that this process can be coupled with another stationary backward radial $\operatorname{SLE}(\kappa ;-\kappa-6)$ process, which induces the same welding, but has a different joint point. Let $\widetilde{\beta}$ and $\widetilde{F}_{\infty, 0}$ be the normalized trace and map for the second process. Let $\widetilde{\gamma}(s)=I_{\mathbb{T}}(\widetilde{\beta}(-s)),-\infty \leq s \leq 0$. Theorem 4.6 implies that $\widetilde{\gamma}$ is also a whole-plane $\operatorname{SLE}(\kappa ; \kappa+2)$ trace stopped at time 0 .

Define $W=I_{\mathbb{T}} \circ \widetilde{F}_{\infty, 0} \circ F_{\infty, 0}^{-1} \circ I_{\mathbb{T}}$. Then $W: \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \backslash \gamma \xrightarrow{\text { Conf }} \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \backslash \widetilde{\gamma}$ and satisfies that $W(\infty)=\infty$ and $W^{\prime}(\infty)=1$. Since the two backward radial $\operatorname{SLE}(\kappa ;-\kappa-6)$ processes induce the same welding, we see that $F_{\infty, 0}(x)=F_{\infty, 0}(y)$ iff $\widetilde{F}_{\infty, 0}(x)=$ $\widetilde{F}_{\infty, 0}(y)$. Thus, $W$ extends continuously to $\gamma$. The work in [2] shows that the boundary of a Hölder domain is conformally removable; while the work in [12] shows that, for $\kappa \in(0,4)$, a chordal SLE $_{\kappa}$ trace is the boundary of a Hölder domain, which together with the Girsanov's theorem and the equivalence between chordal $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa}$ and radial
$\operatorname{SLE}_{\kappa}$ implies that a radial $\operatorname{SLE}(\kappa ; \rho)$ trace is conformally removable for $\kappa \in(0,4)$ and $\rho \geq \frac{\kappa}{2}-2$ (which is true if $\rho=\kappa+2$ ). The Markov-type relation between whole-plane $\operatorname{SLE}(\kappa ; \kappa+2)$ and radial $\operatorname{SLE}(\kappa ; \kappa+2)$ processes implies that $\gamma\left(\left[t_{0}, 0\right]\right)$ is conformally removable for any $t_{0} \in(-\infty, 0)$, and so is the whole curve $\gamma=\gamma([-\infty, 0])$. Thus, $W$ extends to a conformal map defined on $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $W(\gamma)=\widetilde{\gamma}$. Since $W(\infty)=\infty$ and $W^{\prime}(\infty)=1$, we have $W(z)=z+C$ for some constant $C \in \mathbb{C}$. This means that $\widetilde{\gamma}=\gamma+C$, where both curves are viewed as sets. Since both curves are simple, $W$ maps end points of $\gamma$ to end points of $\widetilde{\gamma}$. Now 0 is an end point of both curves. Since $F_{\infty, 0}$ and $\widetilde{F}_{\infty, 0}$ map the joint points of the two processes, respectively, to $\infty$, and the two joints points are different, $W$ does not fixed 0 . So $W$ maps the other end point of $\gamma: \gamma(0)$ to 0 , which implies that $C=-\gamma(0)$ and the orientations of $\widetilde{\gamma}$ and $W(\gamma)=\gamma-\gamma(0)$ are opposite to each other. Thus, the whole-plane SLE $(\kappa ; \kappa+2)$ trace $\tilde{\gamma}$ up to time 0 is an orientation reversing time-change of $\gamma-\gamma(0)$ up to time 0 , which completes the proof.

Remark This theorem says that a whole-plane $\operatorname{SLE}(\kappa ; \kappa+2)(\kappa \in(0,4))$ trace stopped at whole-plane capacity time 0 satisfies reversibility. So a tip segment of the trace at time 0 has the same shape as an initial segment of the trace.

Lemma 5.2 Let $\kappa>0$. Let $\beta$ be a forward chordal SLE $_{\kappa}$ trace. Let $t_{0} \in(0, \infty)$ be fixed. Then there is a whole-plane $\operatorname{SLE}(\kappa ; \kappa+2)$ process, which generates hulls $\left(K_{s}\right)$ and a trace $\gamma$, and a random conformal map $W$ defined on $\mathbb{H}$ such that $W(\mathbb{H})=\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \backslash K_{s_{0}}$ for some random $s_{0}<0$ and $W(\beta(t))=\gamma(v(t)), 0 \leq t \leq t_{0}$, where $v$ is a random strictly increasing function with $v\left(\left[0, t_{0}\right]\right)=\left[s_{0}, 0\right]$.

Proof Let $\lambda$ be the driving function for $\beta$. Lemma 2.1 and the translation symmetry implies that there is a backward chordal SLE $_{\kappa}$ process, which generates backward chordal traces $\left(\widetilde{\beta}_{t}\right)$ such that $\widetilde{\beta}_{t_{0}}\left(t_{0}-t\right)=\beta(t)-\lambda\left(t_{0}\right), 0 \leq t \leq t_{0}$. Corollary 3.5 implies that there exist a stationary backward radial $\operatorname{SLE}(\kappa ;-\kappa-6)$ process generating backward radial traces $\left(\widehat{\beta}_{t}\right)$, a family of Möbius transformations $\left(V_{t}\right)$ with $V_{t}(\mathbb{H})=\mathbb{D}$ for each $t$, and a strictly increasing function $u$ with $u([0, \infty))=[0, \infty)$, such that $V_{t_{1}}\left(\widetilde{\beta}_{t_{1}}(t)\right)=\widehat{\beta}_{u\left(t_{1}\right)}(u(t))$ for any $t_{1} \geq t \geq 0$. In particular, it follows that $V_{t_{0}}\left(\beta(t)-\lambda\left(t_{0}\right)\right)=\widehat{\beta}_{u\left(t_{0}\right)}\left(u\left(t_{0}-t\right)\right), 0 \leq t \leq t_{0}$.

Let $\widehat{\beta}$ be the normalized backward radial trace generated by that stationary backward radial $\operatorname{SLE}(\kappa ;-\kappa-6)$ process, which exists thanks to Proposition 4.5. Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2 state that there exists a family of conformal maps $F_{\infty, t}, t \geq 0$, defined on $\mathbb{D}$, with continuation to $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$, such that $\widehat{\beta}(t)=F_{\infty, t_{1}}\left(\beta_{t_{1}}(t)\right)$ for any $t_{1} \geq t \geq 0$. In particular, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{\infty, u\left(t_{0}\right)}\left(V_{t_{0}}\left(\beta(t)-\lambda\left(t_{0}\right)\right)\right)=F_{\infty, u\left(t_{0}\right)}\left(\widehat{\beta}_{u\left(t_{0}\right)}\left(u\left(t_{0}-t\right)\right)\right)=\widehat{\beta}\left(u\left(t_{0}-t\right)\right), \\
& 0 \leq t \leq t_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 4.6 states that $\gamma(s):=I_{\mathbb{T}}(\widehat{\beta}(-s)),-\infty<s \leq 0$, is a whole-plane $\operatorname{SLE}(\kappa ; \kappa+2)$ trace stopped at time 0 . Lemma 4.1 states that $K_{s}:=\mathbb{C} \backslash I_{\mathbb{T}} \circ F_{\infty,-s}(\mathbb{D})$ are the corresponding hulls. Then we have $I_{\mathbb{T}}\left(F_{\infty, u\left(t_{0}\right)}\left(V_{t_{0}}\left(\beta(t)-\lambda\left(t_{0}\right)\right)\right)\right)=$ $\gamma\left(-u\left(t_{0}-t\right)\right), 0 \leq t \leq t_{0}$. Now it is easy to check that $W(z):=I_{\mathbb{T}}\left(F_{\infty, u\left(t_{0}\right)}\left(V_{t_{0}}(z-\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\left.\lambda\left(t_{0}\right)\right)\right)\right), v(t):=-u\left(t_{0}-t\right)$, and $s_{0}:=-u\left(t_{0}\right)$ satisfy the desired properties.

Theorem 5.3 Let $\kappa \in(0,4)$ and $t_{0} \in(0, \infty)$. Let $\beta(t), t \geq 0$, be a forward chordal $S L E_{\kappa}$ trace (parameterized by the half-plane capacity). Then there is a random conformal map $V$ defined on $\mathbb{H}$ such that $V\left(\beta\left(t_{0}\right)\right)=0$, and $V\left(\beta\left(t_{0}-t\right)\right), 0 \leq t \leq t_{0}$, is an initial segment of a whole-plane $\operatorname{SLE}(\kappa ; \kappa+2)$ trace, up to a time change.

Proof Lemma 5.2 states that we can map $\beta\left(t_{0}-t\right), 0 \leq t \leq t_{0}$, conformally to a tip segment of a whole-plane $\operatorname{SLE}(\kappa ; \kappa+2)$ trace at time 0 . Then we may apply Theorem 5.1.

We may derive a similar but weaker result for radial SLE.
Theorem 5.4 Let $\kappa \in(0,4)$ and $t_{0} \in(0, \infty)$. Let $\beta(t), t \geq 0$, be a forward radial $S L E_{\kappa}$ trace (parameterized by the disc capacity). Then there is a random conformal map $V$ defined on $\mathbb{D}$ such that $V(\beta(1))=0$, and up to a time change, $V\left(\beta\left(t_{0}-t\right)\right), 0 \leq$ $t \leq t_{0}$, has a distribution, which is absolutely continuous w.r.t. an initial segment of a whole-plane $\operatorname{SLE}(\kappa ; \kappa+2)$ trace.

Proof From Theorem 5.1, it suffices to prove the theorem with "an initial segment" replaced by "a tip segment at time 0 ". By rotation symmetry, we may assume that $\beta$ is a forward stationary radial $\operatorname{SLE}(\kappa ; 0)$ trace. By Corollary $3.3, \beta\left(t_{0}-t\right), 0 \leq t \leq t_{0}$, has the distribution of a backward stationary radial $\operatorname{SLE}(\kappa ;-4)$ trace at time $t_{0}$, say $\widetilde{\beta}_{t_{0}}$. Girsanov's theorem implies that the distribution of $\widetilde{\beta}_{t_{0}}$ is absolutely continuous w.r.t. a backward stationary radial $\operatorname{SLE}(\kappa ;-\kappa-6)$ trace at time $t_{0}$. This backward stationary radial $\operatorname{SLE}(\kappa ;-\kappa-6)$ trace at $t_{0}$ can then be mapped conformally to a tip segment of the normalized trace generated by the process. Finally, the reflection $I_{\mathbb{T}}$ maps that tip segment to a tip segment of a whole-plane $\operatorname{SLE}(\kappa ; \kappa+2)$ trace at time 0 thanks to Theorem 4.6.

## 6 Ergodicity

We will apply Theorems 5.3 and 5.4 to study some ergodic behavior of the tip of a chordal or radial $\operatorname{SLE}_{\kappa}(\kappa \in(0,4))$ trace at a deterministic half plane or disc capacity time.

Let $\gamma(t), a \leq t \leq b$, be a simple curve in $\mathbb{C}$ such that $\gamma(a)=0$. We may reparameterize $\gamma$ using the whole-plane capacity. Let $T=\operatorname{cap}(\gamma)$. Define $v$ on $[a, b]$ such that $v(a)=-\infty$ and $v(t)=\operatorname{cap}(\gamma([a, t])), a<t \leq b$. Then $v$ is a strictly increasing function with $v([a, b])=[-\infty, T]$. It turns out that (c.f. [4]) $\gamma^{v}(t):=\gamma\left(v^{-1}(t)\right),-\infty \leq t \leq T$, is a whole-plane Loewner trace driven by some $\lambda \in C((-\infty, T])$. Let $g_{t},-\infty<t \leq T$, be the corresponding maps. Then each $g_{t}^{-1}$ extends continuously to $\overline{\mathbb{D}^{*}}$ and maps $\mathbb{T}$ onto $\gamma^{v}([-\infty, t])$. At time $t$, there are two special points on $\mathbb{T}$, which are mapped by $g_{t}^{-1}$ to the two ends of $\gamma^{v}([-\infty, t])$. One is $e^{i \lambda(t)}$, which is mapped to $\gamma^{v}(t)$. Let $z(t)$ denote the point on $\mathbb{T}$ which is mapped to $\gamma^{v}(-\infty)=0$. Then $z(t)$ satisfies the equation $z^{\prime}(t)=z(t) \frac{e^{i \lambda(t)}+z(t)}{e^{i \lambda(t)}-z(t)},-\infty<t \leq T$. There exists a unique $q \in C((-\infty, T])$ such that $z(t)=e^{i q(t)}$ and $0<\lambda(t)-q(t)<2 \pi,-\infty<t \leq T$. Then $q(t)$ satisfies the equation $q^{\prime}(t)=\cot _{2}(q(t)-\lambda(t)),-\infty<t \leq T$. The number $\lambda(t)-q(t) \in(0,2 \pi)$
has a geometric meaning. It is equal to $2 \pi$ times the harmonic measure viewed from $\infty$ of the right side of $\gamma^{v}([-\infty, t])$ in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \backslash \gamma^{v}([-\infty, t])$.

Let $\kappa \leq 4$ and $\rho \geq \frac{\kappa}{2}-2$. A whole-plane $\operatorname{SLE}(\kappa ; \rho)$ process generates a simple trace, say $\gamma(t),-\infty \leq t<\infty$, which is parameterized by whole-plane capacity. Recall the definition in Sect. 3. There are $\lambda, q \in C(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\lambda$ is the driving function, $q(t)$ satisfies the equation $q^{\prime}(t)=\cot _{2}(q(t)-\lambda(t))$, and $Z(t):=\lambda(t)-$ $q(t) \in(0,2 \pi),-\infty<t<\infty$, is a reversible stationary diffusion process with SDE: $d Z(t)=\sqrt{\kappa} d B(t)+\left(\frac{\rho}{2}+1\right) \cot _{2}(Z(t)) d t$. Let $\mu_{\kappa ; \rho}$ denote the invariant distribution for $(Z(t))$. Corollary 8.2 shows that $\mu_{\kappa ; \rho}$ has a density, which is proportional to $\sin _{2}(x)^{\frac{4}{\kappa}\left(\frac{\rho}{2}+1\right)}$. Corollary 8.3 shows that $(Z(t))$ is ergodic. Thus, for any $t_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f \in L^{1}\left(\mu_{\kappa} ; \rho\right)$, almost surely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow-\infty} \frac{1}{t_{0}-t} \int_{t}^{t_{0}} f(Z(s)) d s=\int f(x) d \mu_{\kappa ; \rho}(x) \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will prove that this property is preserved under conformal maps fixing 0 , as long as $f$ is uniformly continuous. The following lemma is obvious.

Lemma 6.1 Let $T_{1}, T_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $Z_{j} \in C\left(\left(-\infty, T_{j}\right)\right), j=1,2$. Suppose that there is an increasing differentiable function $v$ defined on $\left(-\infty, T_{1}\right)$ such that $v\left(\left(-\infty, T_{1}\right]\right)=$ $\left(-\infty, T_{2}\right], v^{\prime}(t) \rightarrow 1$ and $Z_{2}(v(t))-Z_{1}(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow-\infty$. Let $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$ be uniformly continuous. Then

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow-\infty} \frac{1}{t_{0}-t} \int_{t}^{t_{0}} f\left(Z_{1}(s)\right) d s=\lim _{t \rightarrow-\infty} \frac{1}{t_{0}-t} \int_{t}^{t_{0}} f\left(Z_{2}(s)\right) d s
$$

as long as either limit exists and lies in $\mathbb{R}$ for somelevery $t_{0} \in\left(-\infty, T_{1} \wedge T_{2}\right)$.
We will need some properties of $\mathbb{C}$-hulls. Let $K$ be a $\mathbb{C}$-hull such that $\{0\} \varsubsetneqq K$. The following well-known fact follows from Schwarz lemma and Koebe's $1 / 4$ theorem (c.f. [1]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{\operatorname{cap}(K)} \leq \max _{z \in K}|z| \leq 4 e^{\operatorname{cap}(K)} \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 6.2 For the above $K$, $\left|e^{\operatorname{cap}(K)} g_{K}(z)-z\right| \leq 5 e^{\operatorname{cap}(K)}$ for any $z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash K$.
Proof Since the derivative of $e^{\operatorname{cap}(K)} g_{K}(z)$ at $\infty$ is $1, e^{\operatorname{cap}(K)} g_{K}(z)-z$ extends analytically to $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \backslash K$. Applying the maximum modulus principle, we see that $\sup _{z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash K}\left|e^{\operatorname{cap}(K)} g_{K}(z)-z\right|$ is approached by a sequence $\left(z_{n}\right)$ in $\mathbb{C} \backslash K$ that tends to $K$. We have $\left|e^{\operatorname{cap}(K)} g_{K}\left(z_{n}\right)\right| \rightarrow e^{\operatorname{cap}(K)}$ and $\lim \sup \left|z_{n}\right| \leq \max _{z \in K}|z|$. The proof is completed by (6.2)

Let $W$ be a conformal map, whose domain $\Omega$ contains 0 . Let $K$ be a $\mathbb{C}$-hull such that $\{0\} \varsubsetneqq K \subset \Omega$. Let $\Omega_{K}=g_{K}(\Omega \backslash K)$, and define $W_{K}(z)=g_{W(K)} \circ W \circ g_{K}^{-1}(z)$ for $z \in$ $\Omega_{K}$. Now $\Omega_{K}$ contains a neighborhood of $\mathbb{T}$ in $\mathbb{D}^{*}$, and as $z \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ in $\Omega_{K}, W_{K}(z) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ as well. Let $\Omega_{K}^{\dagger}=\Omega_{K} \cup \mathbb{T} \cup I_{\mathbb{T}}\left(\Omega_{K}\right)$. Schwarz reflection principle implies that $W_{K}$ extends to a conformal map on $\Omega_{K}^{\dagger}$ such that $W_{K}(\mathbb{T})=\mathbb{T}$.

Lemma 6.3 There are real constants $C_{0}<0$ and $C_{1}, C_{2}>0$ depending only on $\Omega$ and $W$ such that if $K$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-hull with $\{0\} \varsubsetneqq K$ and satisfies $\operatorname{cap}(K) \leq C_{1}$, then

$$
\begin{gather*}
|\operatorname{cap}(W(K))-\operatorname{cap}(K)-\log | W^{\prime}(0)| | \leq C_{1} e^{\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{cap}(K)} ;  \tag{6.3}\\
\quad \log \left|W_{K}^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq C_{2} e^{\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{cap}(K)} /|\operatorname{cap}(K)|, \quad z \in \mathbb{T} . \tag{6.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof Since $W(0)=0$ and $W^{\prime}(0) \neq 0$, there is $V$ analytic in a neighborhood $\Omega^{\prime} \subset \Omega$ of 0 such that $V(0)=0$ and $W(z)=W^{\prime}(0) z e^{V(z)}$ in $\Omega^{\prime}$. There exist positive constants $C \geq 1$ and $\delta \leq \frac{1}{10}$ such that $|z| \leq \delta$ implies that $z \in \Omega^{\prime}$ and $|V(z)| \leq C|z|$. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|W(z)| \geq\left|W^{\prime}(0)\right||z| e^{-C|z|}, \quad\left|W(z)-W^{\prime}(0) z\right| \leq\left|W^{\prime}(0)\right||z|\left(e^{C|z|}-1\right), \quad|z| \leq \delta . \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose $K$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-hull with $\{0\} \varsubsetneqq K$, and satisfies $e^{\operatorname{cap}(K)} \leq \delta^{2} \wedge \frac{1}{(320 C)^{2}}$. From (6.2) we see that $K \subset\left\{|z| \leq 4 \delta^{2}\right\} \subset\{|z| \leq \delta\} \subset \Omega$. So $W(K)$ and $W_{K}$ are well defined. Using (6.2) and the connectedness of $K$, we may choose $z_{0} \in K$ such that $\left|z_{0}\right|=e^{\operatorname{cap}(K)}$. Using (6.5) we get

$$
\left|W\left(z_{0}\right)\right| \geq\left|W^{\prime}(0)\right|\left|z_{0}\right| e^{-C\left|z_{0}\right|} \geq\left|W^{\prime}(0)\right| e^{\operatorname{cap}(K)} e^{-1 / 5} \geq \frac{4}{5}\left|W^{\prime}(0)\right| e^{\operatorname{cap}(K)}
$$

Since $W\left(z_{0}\right) \in W(K)$, using (6.2) again, we get $\operatorname{cap}(W(K)) \geq \frac{1}{4}\left|W\left(z_{0}\right)\right| \geq$ $\frac{1}{5}\left|W^{\prime}(0)\right| e^{\operatorname{cap}(K)}$. Let $\alpha=\alpha_{W, K}=W^{\prime}(0) e^{\operatorname{cap}(K)-\operatorname{cap}(W(K))}$. Then we have $|\alpha| \leq 5$.

Let $R=\frac{1}{2} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{cap}(K)}, z_{1} \in\{|z|=R\}$, and $z_{2}=g_{K}^{-1}\left(z_{1}\right)$. From Lemma 6.2, we get

$$
\left|z_{2}-e^{\operatorname{cap}(K)} z_{1}\right| \leq 5 e^{\operatorname{cap}(K)} .
$$

Since $R \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(\delta^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} \geq 5$, we have

$$
\left|z_{2}\right| \leq(R+5) e^{\operatorname{cap}(K)} \leq 2 R e^{\operatorname{cap}(K)}=e^{\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{cap}(K)} \leq \delta \wedge \frac{1}{360 C} .
$$

Let $J$ denote the Jordan curve $g_{K}^{-1}(\{|z|=R\})$, and $U_{J}$ denote its interior. Then $J \subset\{|z| \leq \delta\}$, which implies that $U_{J} \subset\{|z| \leq \delta\} \subset \Omega$. Since $g_{K}^{-1}$ maps the annulus $\{1<|z| \leq R\}$ conformally onto $\left(J \cup U_{J}\right) \backslash K \subset \Omega \backslash K$, we see that $\{1<|z| \leq R\} \subset$ $\Omega_{K}$, and so $\{1 / R \leq|z| \leq R\} \subset \Omega_{K}^{\dagger}$. Let $z_{3}=W\left(z_{2}\right)$. Using (6.5) and $0 \leq C\left|z_{2}\right| \leq 1$, we get

$$
\left|z_{3}-W^{\prime}(0) z_{2}\right| \leq\left|W^{\prime}(0)\right|\left|z_{2}\right|\left(e^{C\left|z_{2}\right|}-1\right) \leq 2 C\left|W^{\prime}(0)\right|\left|z_{2}\right|^{2} \leq 2 C\left|W^{\prime}(0)\right| e^{\operatorname{cap}(K)} .
$$

Let $z_{4}=g_{W(K)}\left(z_{3}\right)$. From Lemma 6.2 we get

$$
\left|z_{4}-e^{-\operatorname{cap}(W(K))} z_{3}\right| \leq 5 .
$$

Combining the above four displayed formulas and that $|\alpha| \leq 5$, we get

$$
\left|z_{4}-\alpha z_{1}\right| \leq 5+2 C|\alpha|+5|\alpha| \leq 30+10 C \leq 40 C .
$$

Note that $z_{4}=W_{K}\left(z_{1}\right)$. So we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|W_{K}(z)-\alpha z\right| \leq 40 C, & |z|=R .  \tag{6.6}\\
|\alpha| R-40 C \leq\left|W_{K}(z)\right| \leq|\alpha| R+40 C, & |z|=R . \tag{6.7}
\end{align*}
$$

We may find $R^{\prime}>R$ such that $A:=\left\{1 / R^{\prime}<|z|<R^{\prime}\right\} \subset \Omega_{K}^{\dagger}$. Then $W_{K}$ is analytic in $A$. Since $W_{K}$ is an orientation preserving auto homeomorphism of $\mathbb{T}$, there is an analytic function $V_{K}$ such that $W_{K}(z)=e^{V_{K}(z)} z$ in $A$. We have $\operatorname{Re} V_{K}(z)=\log \left|W_{K}(z)\right|-\log |z|$. Thus, $\operatorname{Re} V_{K} \equiv 0$ on $\mathbb{T}$. Cauchy's theorem implies that $\oint_{|z|=1} \frac{V_{K}(z)}{z} d z=\oint_{|z|=R} \frac{V_{K}(z)}{z} d z$, which means that $\int_{0}^{2 \pi} V_{K}\left(e^{i \theta}\right) d \theta=$ $\int_{0}^{2 \pi} V_{K}\left(R e^{i \theta}\right) d \theta$. So we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \operatorname{Re} V_{K}\left(e^{i \theta}\right) d \theta=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \operatorname{Re} V_{K}\left(\operatorname{Re}^{i \theta}\right) d \theta \\
& =\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(\log \left|W_{K}\left(R e^{i \theta}\right)\right|-\log R\right) d \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (6.7), we get $|\alpha| R-40 C \leq R \leq|\alpha| R+40 C$, which implies that $|1-|\alpha|| \leq$ $\frac{40 C}{R}$. This implies (6.3) since $\log |\alpha|=\log \left|W^{\prime}(0)\right|+\operatorname{cap}(K)-\operatorname{cap}(W(K))$ and $1 / R=O\left(e^{\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{cap}(K)}\right)$.

Let $|z|=R$. From (6.6), we get $\left|e^{V_{K}(z)}-\alpha\right| \leq \frac{40 C}{R}$. Since $|\alpha| \geq 1-\frac{40 C}{R}$, we have $\left|e^{V_{K}(z)}\right| \geq 1-\frac{80 C}{R} \geq \frac{1}{2}$ as $R \geq 160 C$. So there exists $\widetilde{\alpha} \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\alpha=e^{\widetilde{\alpha}}$ such that $\left|V_{K}(z)-\widetilde{\alpha}\right| \leq 2\left|e^{V_{K}(z)}-\alpha\right| \leq \frac{80 C}{R}$. From $||\alpha|-1| \leq \frac{40 C}{R}$, we get $|\operatorname{Re} \widetilde{\alpha}|=$ $\left.|\log | \alpha\left|\left\lvert\, \leq \frac{80 C}{R}\right.\right.$. Thus, $| V_{K}(z)-i \operatorname{Im} \widetilde{\alpha} \right\rvert\, \leq \frac{160 C}{R}$ if $|z|=R$. Let $\widetilde{V}_{K}=V_{K} \circ$ exp. Then $\widetilde{V}_{K}$ is analytic in the vertical strip $\widetilde{A}:=\exp ^{-1}(A)=\left\{-\log R^{\prime}<\operatorname{Re} z<\log R^{\prime}\right\}$, and is pure imaginary on $i \mathbb{R}$. Thus, $\widetilde{V}_{K}(-\bar{z})=-\widetilde{V}_{K}(z)$. This implies that, on the two vertical lines $\{\operatorname{Re} z=\log R\}$ and $\{\operatorname{Re} z=-\log R\},\left|\widetilde{V}_{K}(z)-i \operatorname{Im} \widetilde{\alpha}\right| \leq \frac{160 C}{R}$. Since $\widetilde{V}_{K}$ has period $2 \pi i$, the inequality holds in the strip $\{-\log R \leq \operatorname{Re} z \leq \log R\}$. We may apply Cauchy's integral formula, and get $\left|\widetilde{V}_{K}^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{160 \bar{C}}{R \log R}$ for $z \in i \mathbb{R}$. Since $\widetilde{V}_{K}(z)=V_{K} \circ \exp , e^{V_{K}(z)}=\frac{W_{K}(z)}{z}$ and $W_{K}(\mathbb{T})=\mathbb{T}$, we get

$$
\left|W_{K}^{\prime}(z)-\frac{W_{K}(z)}{z}\right|=\left|\widetilde{V}_{K}^{\prime}(\log z)\right| \leq \frac{160 C}{R \log R}, \quad z \in \mathbb{T}
$$

This implies (6.4) since $\log R \geq|\operatorname{cap}(K)| / 4$ and $1 / R=O\left(e^{\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{cap}(K)}\right)$.
Now suppose $\gamma(t),-\infty \leq t<T$, is a simple whole-plane Loewner trace driven by $\lambda \in C((-\infty, T))$. Let $\Omega$ be a domain that contains $\gamma$. Let $W$ be a conformal map defined on $\Omega$ such that $W(0)=0$. Let $\beta(t)=W(\gamma(t)),-\infty \leq t<T$. Define $v$ on
$[-\infty, T)$ such that $v(-\infty)=-\infty$ and $v(t)=\operatorname{cap}(\beta([-\infty, t]))$ for $-\infty<t<T$. Let $\widetilde{T}=v(T)$ and $\widetilde{\gamma}(t)=\beta\left(v^{-1}(t)\right),-\infty \leq t<\widetilde{T}$. Then $\widetilde{\gamma}$ is a simple whole-plane Loewner trace, say driven by $\tilde{\lambda} \in C((-\infty, \widetilde{T}))$. Let $\left(g_{t}\right)$ and $\left(\widetilde{g}_{t}\right)$ be the wholeplane Loewner maps driven by $\lambda$ and $\widetilde{\lambda}$, respectively. Then, $g_{t}^{-1}\left(e^{i \lambda(t)}\right)=\gamma(t)$ and $\widetilde{g}_{t}^{-1}\left(e^{i \widetilde{\lambda}(t)}\right)=\widetilde{\gamma}(t)$. Let $z(t)$ and $\widetilde{z}(t)$ be such that $g_{t}^{-1}(z(t))=0$ and $\widetilde{g}_{t}^{-1}(\widetilde{z}(t))=0$. Choose $q \in C((-\infty, T))$ and $\widetilde{q} \in C((-\infty, \widetilde{T}))$ such that $z(t)=e^{i q(t)}, \widetilde{z}(t)=$ $e^{i \widetilde{q}(t)}, \lambda(t)-q(t) \in(0,2 \pi)$, and $\widetilde{\lambda}(t)-\widetilde{q}(t) \in(0,2 \pi)$. Let $Z=\lambda-q$ and $\widetilde{Z}=\widetilde{\lambda}-\widetilde{q}$.

Let $K_{t}=\gamma([-\infty, t])$ and $\widetilde{K}_{t}=\widetilde{\gamma}([-\infty, t])$. Recall that $g_{t}=g_{K_{t}}$ and $\widetilde{g}_{t}=g_{\widetilde{K}_{t}}$. For $-\infty<t<T$, let $\Omega_{t}=\Omega_{K_{t}}, \Omega_{t}^{\dagger}=\Omega_{K_{t}}^{\dagger}$, and $W_{t}=W_{K_{t}}$. Then $W_{t}$ is a conformal map defined on $\Omega_{t}^{\dagger} \supset \mathbb{T}$ such that $W_{t}(\mathbb{T})=\mathbb{T}$. Since $W\left(K_{t}\right)=\widetilde{K}_{v(t)}$, we have $W_{t}=\widetilde{g}_{v(t)} \circ W \circ g_{t}^{-1}$ in $\Omega_{t}$. Since $g_{t}^{-1}\left(e^{i \lambda(t)}\right)=\gamma(t)$ and $\tilde{g}_{v(t)}^{-1}\left(e^{i \widetilde{\lambda}(v(t))}\right)=\widetilde{\gamma}(v(t))$ when both $g_{t}^{-1}$ and $\widetilde{g}_{v(t)}$ extends continuously to $\mathbb{D}^{*} \cup \mathbb{T}$, and $W(\gamma(t))=\widetilde{\gamma}(v(t))$, we get $W_{t}\left(e^{i \lambda(t)}\right)=e^{i \tilde{\lambda}(v(t))}$. Similarly, since $g_{t}^{-1}\left(e^{i q(t)}\right)=0=\widetilde{g}_{v(t)}^{-1}\left(e^{i \widetilde{q}(v(t))}\right)$ and $W(0)=0$, we have $W_{t}\left(e^{i q(t)}\right)=e^{i \widetilde{q}(v(t))}$. Thus, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{Z}(v(t))=\widetilde{\lambda}(v(t))-\widetilde{q}(v(t))=\int_{q(t)}^{\lambda(t)}\left|W_{t}^{\prime}\left(e^{i s}\right)\right| d s \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following lemma is well known. For the proof, one may apply, e.g., Proposition 4.4(ii) in [13]. We now omit the details.

Lemma 6.4 For any $t \in(-\infty, T), v^{\prime}(t)=\left|W_{t}^{\prime}\left(e^{i \lambda(t)}\right)\right|^{2}$.
Applying Lemma 6.3 to $K=\gamma([-\infty, t])$ and using (6.8) and Lemma 6.4, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow-\infty}|\widetilde{Z}(v(t))-Z(t)|=0, \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow-\infty} v^{\prime}(t)=1, \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow-\infty} v(t)-t=\log \left|W^{\prime}(0)\right| . \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 6.1 implies that, if $f$ is continuous on $[0,2 \pi]$, then

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow-\infty} \frac{1}{t_{0}-t} \int_{t}^{t_{0}} f(Z(s)) d s=\lim _{t \rightarrow-\infty} \frac{1}{t_{0}-t} \int_{t}^{t_{0}} f(\widetilde{Z}(s)) d s, \quad t_{0} \in(-\infty, T \wedge \widetilde{T}),
$$

if either limit exists. Using (6.1) we obtain the following proposition.
Proposition 6.5 Let $\kappa \leq 4$ and $\rho \geq \frac{\kappa}{2}-2$. Let $\gamma(t),-\infty \leq t<\infty$, be a wholeplane $\operatorname{SLE}(\kappa ; \rho)$ trace. Suppose that $W$ is a random conformal map with (random) domain $\Omega \ni 0$ such that $W(0)=0$. Let $T$ be such that $\gamma([-\infty, T)) \subset \Omega$. Let $\tilde{\gamma}$ be a reparametrization of $W(\gamma(t)),-\infty \leq t<T$, such that $\tilde{\gamma}(-\infty)=0$ and $\operatorname{cap}(\widetilde{\gamma}([-\infty, t]))=t$ for $-\infty<t<\widetilde{T}$. Let $h(t) \in(0,1)$ denote the harmonic measure of the right side of $\widetilde{\gamma}([-\infty, t])$ in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \backslash \widetilde{\gamma}([-\infty, t])$ viewed from $\infty$. Then for any $f \in C([0,2 \pi])$ and $t_{0} \in(-\infty, \widetilde{T})$, almost surely

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow-\infty} \frac{1}{t_{0}-t} \int_{t}^{t_{0}} f(2 \pi h(s)) d s=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} f(x) d \mu_{\kappa ; \rho}(x)=\frac{\int_{0}^{2 \pi} f(x) \sin _{2}(x)^{\frac{4}{\kappa}\left(\frac{\rho}{2}+1\right)} d x}{\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \sin _{2}(x)^{\frac{4}{\kappa}\left(\frac{\rho}{2}+1\right)} d x}
$$

Combining the above proposition with Theorems 5.3 and 5.4, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 6.6 Let $\kappa \in(0,4)$ and $t_{0} \in(0, \infty)$. Let $\beta$ be a chordal or radial $S L E_{\kappa}$ trace. For $0 \leq t<t_{0}$, let $v(t)=\operatorname{cap}\left(\beta\left(\left[t, t_{0}\right]\right)\right)$ and $h(t)$ be the harmonic measure of the left side of $\beta\left(\left[t, t_{0}\right]\right)$ in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \backslash \beta\left(\left[t, t_{0}\right]\right)$ viewed from $\infty$. Then for any $f \in C([0,1])$, almost surely

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow t_{0}^{-}} \frac{1}{v(t)-v(0)} \int_{0}^{t} f(h(s)) d v(s)=\frac{\int_{0}^{2 \pi} f(x) \sin _{2}(x)^{\frac{8}{\kappa}+2} d x}{\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \sin _{2}(x)^{\frac{8}{\kappa}+2} d x} .
$$

Remark 1. We can now conclude that Theorem 5.1 does not hold with $\kappa+2$ replaced by any other $\rho \geq \frac{\kappa}{2}-2$. If this is not true, then Theorem 5.4 also holds with $\kappa+2$ replaced by such $\rho$. Then Theorem 6.6 holds in the radial case with the exponent $\frac{8}{\kappa}+2$ replaced by $\frac{4}{\kappa}\left(\frac{\rho}{2}+1\right)$, which is obviously impossible.
2. Fubini's Theorem implies that Theorem 6.6 still holds if the deterministic number $t_{0}$ is replaced by a positive random number $\bar{t}_{0}$, whose distribution given $\beta$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure. We do not expect that the theorem holds if the conditional distribution of $\bar{t}_{0}$ does not have a density. In fact, if the conditional distribution of $\bar{t}_{0}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the natural parametrization introduced by Lawler and Sheffield [7], then we expect that $\beta$ behaves like a two-sided radial $\operatorname{SLE}_{\kappa}$ process, which is a radial $\operatorname{SLE}(\kappa ; 2)$ process, near $\beta\left(\bar{t}_{0}\right)$, and Theorem 6.6 is expected to hold with $\frac{8}{\kappa}+2$ replaced by $\frac{8}{\kappa}$.
Let $\kappa \in(0,4]$. A whole-plane $\operatorname{SLE}(\kappa ; \rho)$ trace $\gamma$ generates a simple curve. Combining the reversibility property derived in [18] with the Markov-type relation between whole-plane $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa}$ and radial $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa}$ processes, we see that, if $\beta$ is a radial $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa}$, there is a conformal map $V$ defined on $\mathbb{D}$ with $V(0)=0$, which maps $\beta$ to an initial segment of a whole-plane $\mathrm{SLE}_{\kappa}$ trace. Applying Proposition 6.5 , we obtain the following.

Theorem 6.7 Let $\kappa \in(0,4]$. Let $\beta$ be a radial SLE $_{\kappa}$ trace. For $0 \leq t<\infty$, let $v(t)=\operatorname{cap}(\beta([t, \infty]))$ and $h(t)$ be the harmonic measure of the left side of $\beta([t, \infty])$ in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \backslash \beta([t, \infty])$ viewed from $\infty$. Then for any $f \in C([0,2 \pi])$, almost surely

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{v(t)-v(0)} \int_{0}^{t} f(h(s)) d v(s)=\frac{\int_{0}^{2 \pi} f(x) \sin _{2}(x)^{\frac{4}{\kappa}} d x}{\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \sin _{2}(x)^{\frac{4}{\kappa}} d x} .
$$
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## Appendix A: Carathéodory convergence

Definition 7.1 Let $\left(D_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $D$ be domains in a Rieman surface $R$. We say that $\left(D_{n}\right)$ converges to $D$ in the Carathéodory topology, and write $D_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { Cara }} D$, if
(i) for every compact set $K \subset D$, there exists $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $K \subset D_{n}$ if $n \geq n_{0}$;
(ii) for every point $z_{0} \in \partial D$, there exists $z_{n} \in \partial D_{n}$ for each $n$ such that $z_{n} \rightarrow z_{0}$.

Remark A sequence of domains may converge to two different domains. For example, let $D_{n}=\mathbb{C} \backslash((-\infty, n])$. Then $D_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { Cara }} \mathbb{H}$, and $D_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { Cara }}-\mathbb{H}$ as well. But two different limit domains of the same domain sequence must be disjoint from each other, because if they have nonempty intersection, then one contains some boundary point of the other, which implies a contradiction.

Lemma 7.2 Let $R$ and $S$ be two Riemann surfaces. Let $D_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $D$ be domains in $R$ such that $D_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { Cara }} D$. Let $f_{n}$ map $D_{n}$ conformally into $S, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose $\left(f_{n}\right)$ converges locally uniformly in $D$. Assume that the limit function $f$ is not constant in $D$. Then $f$ is a conformal map, $f\left(D_{n}\right) \xrightarrow{\text { Cara }} f(D)$, and $f_{n}^{-1} \xrightarrow{\text { l.u. }} f^{-1}$ in $f(D)$.

Remark The lemma generalizes the Carathéodory kernel theorem (Theorem 1.8, [10]) so that the domains do not have to be simply connected. A simpler version (in the case $R$ and $S$ are $\mathbb{C}$ or $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ ) was introduced in [16], and used in the author's other papers, but no proof has been given so far. For completeness, we include the proof here.

Proof Cauchy-Goursat theorem implies that $f$ is analytic. We first prove that $f$ is one-to-one. Assume that $f$ is not one-to-one. Then there exist $z_{1} \neq z_{2} \in D$ such that $f\left(z_{1}\right)=f\left(z_{2}\right):=w_{0}$. Since $f$ is not constant, $f^{-1}\left(w_{0}\right)$ has no accumulation points in the domain $D$. Let $(V, \psi)$ be a chart for $S$ such that $w_{0} \in V$ and $\psi\left(w_{0}\right)=0$. We may find charts $\left(U_{1}, \phi_{1}\right)$ and $\left(U_{2}, \phi_{2}\right)$ for $R$ such that $z_{j} \in U_{j} \subset D, f\left(U_{j}\right) \subset$ $V, \phi_{j}\left(z_{j}\right)=0, \phi_{j}\left(U_{j}\right) \supset \overline{\mathbb{D}}, \phi_{j}^{-1}(\mathbb{T}) \cap f^{-1}\left(w_{0}\right)=\emptyset, j=1,2$, and $U_{1} \cap U_{2}=\emptyset$. Since $D_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { Cara }} D$, we have $\phi_{j}^{-1}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}) \subset D_{n}, j=1,2$, if $n$ is big enough. Thus, for $j=1,2, \psi \circ f_{n} \circ \phi_{j}^{-1}$ tends uniformly on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ to $\psi \circ f \circ \phi_{j}^{-1}$, which has a zero at 0 and has no zero on $\mathbb{T}$. Rouché's theorem implies that when $n$ is big enough, $\psi \circ f_{n} \circ \phi_{j}^{-1}$ has zero(s) in $\mathbb{D}$ for $j=1,2$, which implies that $f_{n}^{-1}\left(w_{0}\right)$ intersects both $U_{1}$ and $U_{2}$. This contradicts that each $f_{n}$ is one-to-one, and $U_{1} \cap U_{2}=\emptyset$. So $f$ is one-to-one.

Let $E_{n}=f\left(D_{n}\right), n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $E=f(D)$ be domains in $S$. Since $f_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { l.u. }} f$ in $D$, we have $f_{n} \circ f^{-1} \xrightarrow{\text { l.u. }}$ id in $E$. Let $K \subset E$ be a closed ball, which means that there is a chart $(V, \psi)$ for $S$ such that $K \subset V \subset E$ and $\psi(K)=\left\{|z| \leq r_{0}\right\}$ for some $r_{0}>0$. We may choose $r_{1}>r_{0}$ such that $\psi(V) \supset\left\{|z| \leq r_{1}\right\}$. Let $K^{\prime}=$ $\psi^{-1}\left(\left\{|z| \leq r_{1}\right\}\right)$. Applying Rouché's theorem to the Jordan curve $\left\{|z|=r_{1}\right\}$ and the functions $\psi \circ f_{n} \circ f^{-1} \circ \psi^{-1}(z)-z_{0}$ and $z-z_{0}$, where $z_{0} \in\left\{|z| \leq r_{0}\right\}$, we see that when $n$ is big enough, $\psi \circ f_{n} \circ f^{-1} \circ \psi^{-1}(z)-z_{0}$ has a zero in $\left\{|z|<z_{1}\right\}$ for every $z_{0} \in\left\{|z| \leq r_{0}\right\}$, which implies that $K=\psi^{-1}\left(\left\{|z| \leq r_{0}\right\}\right) \subset f_{n}\left(D_{n}\right)=E_{n}$. Since every compact subset of $E$ can be covered by finitely many closed balls in $E$, condition (i) in Definition 7.1 holds for $E_{n}$ and $E$.

Let $g_{n}=f_{n}^{-1}, n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $g=f^{-1}$. Now we prove that $g_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { l.u. }} g$ in $E$. Assume that this is not true. By passing to a subsequence, we may find a sequence $\left(w_{n}\right)$ in $E$ with $w_{n} \rightarrow w_{0} \in E$ such that $g\left(w_{0}\right)$ is not any subsequential limit of $\left(g_{n}\left(w_{n}\right)\right)$. Let $(V, \psi)$ be a chart for $S$ such that $w_{0} \in V \subset E$ and $\psi\left(w_{0}\right)=0$. Let $r_{1}>0$ be
such that $\left\{|z| \leq r_{1}\right\} \subset \psi(V)$; and let $r_{0} \in\left(0, r_{1}\right)$. Since $w_{n} \rightarrow w_{0}$, there is $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\psi\left(w_{n}\right) \in\left\{|z| \leq r_{0}\right\}$ for $n \geq n_{0}$. The argument in the previous paragraph shows that, there is $n_{1} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, if $n \geq n_{1}$, then for every $z \in\left\{|z| \leq r_{0}\right\}$, there is $z^{\prime} \in\left\{|z|<r_{1}\right\}$ such that $\psi \circ f_{n} \circ g \circ \psi^{-1}\left(z^{\prime}\right)=z$. Taking $z=\psi\left(w_{n}\right)$, we see that $g_{n}\left(w_{n}\right) \in g \circ \psi^{-1}\left(\left\{|z|<r_{1}\right\}\right)$ for $n \geq n_{0} \vee n_{1}$. Since $r_{1}>0$ can be chosen arbitrarily small and $\psi^{-1}(0)=w_{0}$, this contradicts that $g\left(w_{0}\right)$ is not any subsequential limit of $\left(g_{n}\left(w_{n}\right)\right)$. Thus, $g_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { l.u. }} g$ in $E$.

It remains to prove that condition (ii) in Definition 7.1 holds for $E_{n}$ and $E$. Assume that this is not true. By passing to a subsequence, we may assume that there exist $w_{0} \in \partial E$ and a domain $V$ with $w_{0} \in V \subset S$ such that $V \cap \partial E_{n}=\emptyset$ for each $n$. Let $w_{0}^{\prime} \in E \cap V$. Since condition (ii) in Definition 7.1 holds for $E_{n}$ and $E$, if $n$ is big enough, then $w_{0}^{\prime} \in E_{n}$, which implies that $V \subset E_{n}$ because $V \cap \partial E_{n}=\emptyset$ and $V$ is connected. By removing finitely many terms, we may assume that $V \subset E_{n}$ for each $n$. By considering a smaller $V$, we may further assume that there is $\psi: V \xrightarrow{\text { Conf }} 2 \mathbb{D}$ such that $\psi\left(w_{0}\right)=0$. We will restrict our attention to $V$ and derive a contradiction. So we may assume that $V=2 \mathbb{D}, \psi=\mathrm{id}$, and $w_{0}=0$.

It is well known that there is an increasing function $h(r)$ defined on $(0,1)$ with $h\left(0^{+}\right)=0$ such that the probability that a planar Brownian motion started from 0 hits $\mathbb{T}$ before disconnecting $r \mathbb{T}$ from $\mathbb{T}$ is less than $h(r)$. Pick $r_{0} \in(0,1 / 5)$ such that $h\left(r_{0}\right)+h\left(5 r_{0}\right)<1$.

Since $w_{0}=0 \in \partial E$, may find $w_{1} \in E \cap V$ such that $\left|w_{1}\right|<0.1 \wedge r_{0}$. Let $s \in(0,0.1)$ be such that $U_{2}:=\left\{\left|w-w_{1}\right|<s\right\} \subset E$. Let $U_{1}=\left\{\left|w-w_{1}\right|<s / 2\right\}$. Since $g_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { l.u. }} g$ in $U_{2}$, from what we have derived, condition (i) in Definition 7.1 holds for $g_{n}\left(U_{2}\right)$ and $g\left(U_{2}\right)$. Thus, there is $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $g_{n}\left(w_{1}\right) \in g\left(U_{1}\right) \subset g\left(\overline{U_{1}}\right) \subset$ $g_{n}\left(U_{2}\right)$ when $n \geq n_{0}$. This implies that, if $n, m \geq n_{0}$, then $f_{n} \circ g_{m}\left(w_{1}\right) \in U_{2}$, i.e., $\left|f_{n} \circ g_{m}\left(w_{1}\right)-w_{1}\right|<s<0.1$, and so $\left|f_{n} \circ g_{m}\left(w_{1}\right)\right|<0.2$.

That $g_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { l.u. }} g$ in $E$ also implies that $g_{n}^{\prime}\left(w_{1}\right) \rightarrow g^{\prime}\left(w_{1}\right) \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$. So there is $n_{1} \geq n_{0}$ such that, if $n, m \geq n_{1}$ then $\left|\left(f_{n} \circ g_{m}\right)^{\prime}\left(w_{1}\right)\right| \in(0.9,1.1)$. Fix $n, m \geq n_{1}$. Let $W=f_{n} \circ g_{m}$ and $w_{2}=W\left(w_{1}\right)$. Recall that $\left|w_{1}\right|<0.1$ and $\left|w_{2}\right|<0.2$. So $w_{1}+\mathbb{D}$ and $w_{2}+\mathbb{D}$ are contained in $2 \mathbb{D}=V \subset E_{n} \cap E_{m}$. Let $\Omega_{1}=f_{m}\left(g_{m}\left(w_{1}+\mathbb{D}\right) \cap\right.$ $\left.g_{n}\left(w_{2}+\mathbb{D}\right)\right) \subset w_{1}+\mathbb{D}$ and $\Omega_{2}=f_{n}\left(g_{m}\left(w_{1}+\mathbb{D}\right) \cap g_{n}\left(w_{2}+\mathbb{D}\right)\right) \subset w_{2}+\mathbb{D}$. Then $w_{j} \in \Omega_{j}, j=1,2$, and $W: \Omega_{1} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Conf}} \Omega_{2}$.

Let $r_{j}=\operatorname{dist}\left(w_{j}, \partial \Omega_{j}\right)$. Since $\left|W^{\prime}\left(w_{1}\right)\right| \in(0.9,1.1)$, Koebe's $1 / 4$ theorem implies that $r_{2}<4.4 r_{1}$. Let $I_{1}=W^{-1}\left(w_{2}+\mathbb{T}\right) \cap\left(w_{1}+\mathbb{D}\right)$ and $I_{2}=\left(w_{1}+\mathbb{T}\right) \cap W^{-1}\left(w_{2}+\mathbb{D}\right)$. Then $I_{1}$ and $I_{2}$ are disjoint subsets of $\partial \Omega_{1}$. For $k=1,2$, let $h_{k}$ be the harmonic measure of $I_{k}$ in $\Omega_{1}$ viewed from $w_{1}$. Then $h_{1}+h_{2} \leq 1$. Note that $\partial \Omega_{1} \backslash I_{1} \subset \mathbb{T}$, and $I_{1}$ contains a connected component, which touches both $w_{1}+\mathbb{T}$ and $w_{1}+r_{1} \mathbb{T}$. So $h_{1} \geq 1-h\left(r_{1}\right)$. Let $I_{2}^{\prime}=W\left(I_{2}\right)=W\left(w_{1}+\mathbb{T}\right) \cap\left(w_{2}+\mathbb{D}\right) \subset \partial \Omega_{2}$. Then $\partial \Omega_{2} \backslash I_{2}^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{T}$, and $I_{2}^{\prime}$ contains a connected component, which touches both $w_{2}+\mathbb{T}$ and $w_{2}+r_{2} \mathbb{T}$. From conformal invariance of harmonic measures, $h_{2}$ is equal to the harmonic measure of $I_{2}^{\prime}$ in $\Omega_{2}$ viewed from $w_{2}$, which is at least $1-h\left(r_{2}\right)$. Thus, we have $1 \geq h_{1}+h_{2} \geq\left(1-h\left(r_{1}\right)\right)+\left(1-h\left(r_{2}\right)\right)$, from which follows that $1 \leq h\left(r_{1}\right)+h\left(r_{2}\right)$. If $r_{1}<r_{0}$, since $h$ is increasing and $r_{2}<4.4 r_{1}$, we get $h\left(r_{1}\right)+h\left(r_{2}\right) \leq h\left(r_{0}\right)+h\left(5 r_{0}\right)<1$, which is a contradiction. So $r_{1} \geq r_{0}$.

So we conclude that, for any $n, m \geq n_{1}, f_{m} \circ g_{n}$ is well defined and analytic on $U_{0}:=\left\{\left|w-w_{1}\right|<r_{0}\right\}$. Fix $m=n_{1}$. Since $f_{n_{1}} \circ g_{n}\left(w_{1}\right) \rightarrow f_{n_{1}} \circ g\left(w_{1}\right)$ and $\left(f_{n_{1}} \circ\right.$ $\left.g_{n}\right)^{\prime}\left(w_{1}\right) \rightarrow\left(f_{n_{1}} \circ g\right)^{\prime}\left(w_{1}\right)$, Koebe's distortion theorem implies that $\left(\left.f_{n_{1}} \circ g_{n}\right|_{U_{0}}\right)_{n \geq n_{1}}$ is a normal family. Since $f_{n_{1}} \circ g_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { l.u. }} f_{n_{1}} \circ g$ in $E \cap U_{0}$, we see that $f_{n_{1}} \circ g_{n}$ converges locally uniformly in $U_{0}$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and the limit is an analytic extension of $f_{n_{1}} \circ g$ from $E \cap U_{0}$ to $U_{0}$. Thus, $g$ extends analytically to $E^{\prime}:=E \cup U_{0}$, and $g_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { l.u. }} g$ in $E^{\prime}$. Since $\left|w_{1}\right|<r_{0}$, we have $w_{0}=0 \in U_{0} \cap \partial E$. Thus, $z_{0}:=g\left(w_{0}\right) \in \partial D$. Let $K$ be a compact subset of $U_{0}$, whose interior $\stackrel{\circ}{K}$ contains $w_{0}$. Since $g_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { l.u. }} g$ in $U_{0}$, from what we have derived, condition (i) in Definition 7.1 holds for $g_{n}\left(U_{0}\right)$ and $g\left(U_{0}\right)$. Thus, $z_{0} \in g(K) \subset g(K) \subset g_{n}\left(U_{0}\right) \subset D_{n}$ when $n$ is big enough, which contradicts that $z_{0} \in \partial D_{n}$ and $D_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { Cara }} D$ as $g(\stackrel{\circ}{K})$ is an open set. The contradiction completes the proof.

Remark The only place that we use the connectedness is that $f$ is not constant implies $f^{-1}\left(w_{0}\right)$ has no accumulation points. Thus, we may define Carathéodory convergence of open sets in a Riemann surface. Lemma 7.2 still holds when $D_{n}$ and $D$ are not domains, if the condition that $f$ is not constant is replaced by that $f$ is not locally constant.

## Appendix B: Radial Bessel processes

Let $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$. Consider the SDE:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d X_{t}=d B_{t}+\frac{\delta-1}{2} \cot \left(X_{t}\right) d t, \quad X_{0} \in(0, \pi) . \tag{8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution is called a radial Bessel process of dimension $\delta$. The name comes from the fact that the process arises in the definition of radial $\operatorname{SLE}(\kappa ; \rho)$ processes, and $\left(X_{t}\right)$ behaves like a Bessel process of dimension $\delta$ when it is close to 0 or $\pi$. Let $[0, T)$ denote the time interval for $\left(X_{t}\right)$. Define $h(x)=\int_{\pi / 2}^{x} \sin (t)^{1-\delta} d t, 0<x<\pi$. Itô's formula (c.f. [11]) shows that $h\left(X_{t}\right), 0 \leq t<T$, is a local martingale. Note that $h((-1,1))=\mathbb{R}$ if $\delta \geq 2$; and is bounded if $\delta<2$. A simple argument shows that, if $\delta \geq 2$, then $T=\infty$; if $\delta<2$, then $T<\infty$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow T} X_{t} \in\{0, \pi\}$. Let $Y_{t}=\cos \left(X_{t}\right), 0 \leq t<T$. Itô's formula shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d Y_{t}=-\sqrt{1-Y_{t}^{2}} d B(t)-\frac{\delta}{2} Y_{t} d t, \quad 0 \leq t<T . \tag{8.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose $\delta \geq 2$. We will derive the transition densities of $\left(Y_{t}\right)$ and $\left(X_{t}\right)$. Observe that if the process $\left(Y_{t}\right)$ has a smooth transition density $p(t, x, y)$, then it satisfies the Kolmogorov's backward equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} p=\frac{1-x^{2}}{2} \partial_{x}^{2} p-\frac{\delta}{2} x \partial_{x} p \tag{8.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Below we will solve (8.3) using the eigenvalue method, and prove that some solution is the transition density of $\left(Y_{t}\right)$.

Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Consider the ODE:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-x^{2}\right) p^{\prime \prime}(x)-\delta x p^{\prime}(x)-2 \lambda p(x)=0 \tag{8.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\lambda=\lambda_{n}=-\frac{n}{2}(n+\delta-1), n \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$, the above equation has a solution, which is the Gegenbauer polynomial $C_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x)$ (c.f. [9]) with degree $n$ and index $\alpha:=\frac{\delta}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$. Thus, $p_{n}(t, x):=e^{-\frac{n}{2}(n+\delta-1) t} C_{n}^{\left(\frac{\delta}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\right)}(x), n \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$, solve (8.3) for $t, x \in \mathbb{R}$.

The functions $C_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x), n \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$ form a complete orthogonal system w.r.t. the inner product $\langle f, g\rangle_{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}:=\int_{-1}^{1}\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} f(x) g(x) d x$ such that $\left\langle C_{n}^{(\alpha)}, C_{m}^{(\alpha)}\right\rangle_{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}=$ 0 when $n \neq m$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle C_{n}^{(\alpha)}, C_{n}^{(\alpha)}\right\rangle_{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}=\frac{\pi \Gamma(2 \alpha+n)}{2^{2 \alpha-1}(\alpha+n) n!\Gamma(\alpha)^{2}} \sim n^{2 \alpha-2} \tag{8.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|C_{n}^{(\alpha)}\right\|_{\infty}:=\max _{-1 \leq x \leq 1}\left|C_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x)\right|=\frac{\Gamma(n+2 \alpha)}{n!\Gamma(2 \alpha)} \sim n^{2 \alpha-1} \tag{8.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $t>0, x, y \in[-1,1]$, define

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{(Y)}(t, x, y)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(1-y^{2}\right)^{\frac{\delta}{2}-1} C_{n}^{\left(\frac{\delta}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\right)}(x) C_{n}^{\left(\frac{\delta}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\right)}(y)}{\int_{-1}^{1}\left(1-y^{2}\right)^{\frac{\delta}{2}-1} C_{n}^{\left(\frac{\delta}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\right)}(y)^{2} d y} \exp \left(-\frac{n}{2}(n+\delta-1) t\right) \tag{8.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (8.5) and (8.6) we see that the above series converges uniformly on $[-1,1]$.
Proposition 8.1 If $\delta \geq 2$, the transition density for $\left(Y_{t}\right)$ is $p^{(Y)}(t, x, y)$ given by (8.7), and the transition density for $\left(X_{t}\right)$ is $p^{(X)}(t, x, y)=p^{(Y)}(t, \cos x, \cos y) \sin y$.

Proof It suffices to derive the the transition density for $\left(Y_{t}\right)$. Let $f(x)$ be a polynomial, and $a_{n}=\left\langle f, C_{n}^{\left(\frac{\delta}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\right)}\right\rangle_{\frac{\delta}{2}-1} /\left\langle C_{n}^{\left(\frac{\delta}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\right)}, C_{n}^{\left(\frac{\delta}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\right)}\right\rangle_{\frac{\delta}{2}-1}, n \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$. Then all but finitely many $a_{n}$ 's are zero, and $f=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} C_{n}^{\left(\frac{\delta}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\right)}$. Define $f(t, x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} p_{n}(t, x)$. Then $f(t, x)$ solves (8.3) with $f(0, x)=f(x)$. Suppose $\left(Y_{t}\right)$ solves (8.2) with initial value $x_{0}$. Fix $t_{0}>0$. Itô's formula together with the boundedness of $f(t, x)$ on $\left[0, t_{0}\right] \times$ $[-1,1]$ shows that $M(t):=f\left(t_{0}-t, Y_{t}\right), 0 \leq t<t_{0}$, is a bounded martingale. Since $\lim _{t \rightarrow t_{0}} M(t)=f\left(Y_{t_{0}}\right)$, the optional stopping theorem together with the definition of $p^{(Y)}(t, x, y)$ implies that

$$
\mathbb{E}_{x_{0}}\left[f\left(Y_{t_{0}}\right)\right]=M(0)=f\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)=\int_{-1}^{1} f(y) p^{(Y)}\left(t_{0}, x_{0}, y\right) d y
$$

Since this holds for any polynomial $f$, the proof is finished.

Corollary 8.2 Let $\delta \geq 2$. Then $\left(Y_{t}\right)$ has a unique stationary distribution which has a density

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{(Y)}(x)=\frac{\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{\frac{\delta}{2}-1}}{\int_{-1}^{1}\left(1-y^{2}\right)^{\frac{\delta}{2}-1} d y}, \quad x \in(-1,1) \tag{8.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\left(X_{t}\right)$ has a unique stationary distribution which has a density $p^{(X)}(x)=$ $p^{(Y)}(\cos x) \sin x, x \in(-\pi, \pi)$. Moreover, the stationary processes $\left(Y_{t}\right)$ and $\left(X_{t}\right)$ are reversible.

Proof This follows from the previous proposition and the orthogonality of $C_{n}^{\left(\frac{\delta}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\right)}$ w.r.t. $\langle\cdot\rangle_{\frac{\delta}{2}-1}$. Note that $C_{0}^{\left(\frac{\delta}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\right)} \equiv 1$ and $\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{\frac{\delta}{2}-1} p^{(Y)}(t, x, y)=(1-$ $\left.y^{2}\right)^{\frac{\delta}{2}-1} p^{(Y)}(t, y, x)$.

Note that $p^{(Y)}(y)$ is also the term for $n=0$ in (8.7). Using (8.5) and (8.6), we see that there is a constant $C$ depending on $\delta$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|p^{(Y)}(t, x, y)-p^{(Y)}(y)\right| \leq C e^{-\frac{\delta}{2} t}, \quad x, y \in[-1,1] . \tag{8.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, $p^{(Y)}(t, x, y) \rightarrow p^{(Y)}(y)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly in $x, y \in[-1,1]$. So we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 8.3 Let $\delta \geq 2$. Then the stationary processes $\left(Y_{t}\right)$ and $\left(X_{t}\right)$ are mixing, and so are ergodic.

We now study the transition densities in the case $\delta<2$. Recall that $[0, T)$ is the time interval for $\left(Y_{t}\right)$. We say that $\widetilde{p}^{(Y)}(t, x, y)$ is the transition density of $\left(Y_{t}\right)$ if for any $f \in C([-1,1])$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}_{x}\left[\mathbf{1}_{T>t} f\left(Y_{t}\right)\right]=\int_{-1}^{1} f(y) \widetilde{p}^{(Y)}(t, x, y) d y, \quad x, y \in(-1,1), t>0 \tag{8.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The integral $\int_{-1}^{1} \widetilde{p}(t, x, y) d y=\mathbb{E}_{x}[T>t]$ may be less than 1.
We will need functions, which solve (8.3) for $x \in(-1,1)$ and vanish at $x \in\{-1,1\}$. It is easy to see that if $p(x)=\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{1-\frac{\delta}{2}} q(x)$, then $p(x)$ solves (8.4) in $(-1,1)$ iff $q(x)$ solves

$$
\left(1-x^{2}\right) q^{\prime \prime}(x)-(4-\delta) x q^{\prime}(x)-(2 \lambda+2-\delta) q(x)=0, \quad-1<x<1
$$

If $\lambda=-\frac{1}{2}(n+1)(n+2-\delta), n \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$, the above equation has a solution $C_{n}^{\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{\delta}{2}\right)}$. Thus,

$$
\widetilde{p}_{n}(t, x):=\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{1-\frac{\delta}{2}} C_{n}^{\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{\delta}{2}\right)} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(n+1)(n+2-\delta) t}
$$

solves (8.3) for $x \in(-1,1)$ and vanishes at $x \in\{-1,1\}$.

Note that $C_{n}^{\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{\delta}{2}\right)}, n \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$, form a complete orthogonal system w.r.t. $\langle\cdot\rangle_{1-\frac{\delta}{2}}$. So we define

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{p}^{(Y)}(t, x, y)= & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{1-\frac{\delta}{2}} C_{n}^{\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{\delta}{2}\right)}(x) C_{n}^{\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{\delta}{2}\right)}(y)}{\int_{-1}^{1}\left(1-y^{2}\right)^{1-\frac{\delta}{2}} C_{n}^{\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{\delta}{2}\right)}(y)^{2} d y} \\
& \times \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}(n+1)(n+2-\delta) t\right) \tag{8.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $P$ be a polynomial, and $a_{n}=\left\langle P, C_{n}^{\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{\delta}{2}\right)}\right\rangle_{1-\frac{\delta}{2}} /\left\langle C_{n}^{\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{\delta}{2}\right)}, C_{n}^{\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{\delta}{2}\right)}\right\rangle_{1-\frac{\delta}{2}}, n \in \mathbb{N} \cup$ $\{0\}$. Then all but finitely many $a_{n}$ 's are zero, and $P=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} C_{n}^{\left(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{\delta}{2}\right)}$. Define $\widetilde{f}(t, x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} \widetilde{p}_{n}(t, x)$. Then $\widetilde{f}(t, x)$ solves (8.3) for $x \in(-1,1)$, vanishes at $x \in\{-1,1\}$, and satisfies $\widetilde{f}(0, x)=f(x):=\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{1-\frac{\delta}{2}} P(x)$. Fix $t_{0}>0$. Define $\widetilde{M}_{t}:=\widetilde{f}\left(t_{0}-t, Y_{t}\right), 0 \leq t \leq T$. Then $\widetilde{M}_{t}$ is a martingale with $\widetilde{M}_{T}=0$. The optional stoping theorem implies that

$$
\mathbb{E}_{x_{0}}\left[\mathbf{1}_{T>t_{0}} \tilde{f}\left(Y_{t_{0}}\right)\right]=\mathbb{E}_{x_{0}}\left[M_{T \wedge t_{0}}\right]=M_{0}=\tilde{f}\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)=\int_{-1}^{1} f(y) \tilde{p}^{(Y)}\left(t_{0}, x_{0}, y\right) d y
$$

Thus (8.10) holds for $f(x)=\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{1-\frac{\delta}{2}} P(x)$. Then a denseness argument show that (8.10) holds for any $f \in C([-1,1])$. So we obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 8.4 Let $\delta<2$. The transition density of $\left(Y_{t}\right)$ is $\widetilde{p}^{(Y)}(t, x, y)$ given by (8.11), and the transition density of $\left(X_{t}\right)$ is $\widetilde{p}^{(Y)}(t, \cos x, \cos y) \sin y$.

Note that the term for $n=0$ in (8.11) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{p}^{(Y)}(t, x):=\frac{\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{1-\frac{\delta}{2}}}{\int_{-1}^{1}\left(1-y^{2}\right)^{1-\frac{\delta}{2}} d y} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(2-\delta) t} . \tag{8.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (8.5) and (8.6), we see that there is a constant $C$ depending on $\delta$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\widetilde{p}^{(Y)}(t, x, y)-\widetilde{p}^{(Y)}(t, x)\right| \leq C e^{-(3-\delta) t}, \quad x, y \in(-1,1) . \tag{8.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\mathbb{P}_{x}^{(Y)}[T>t]=\int_{-1}^{1} \widetilde{p}^{(Y)}(t, x, y) d y$, using the fact that $C_{1}^{(\alpha)}(y)=2 \alpha y$ is odd we see that there is a constant $C$ depending on $\delta$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathbb{P}_{x}^{(Y)}[T>t]-2 \widetilde{p}^{(Y)}(t, x)\right| \leq C e^{-\frac{3}{2}(4-\delta) t}, \quad x \in(-1,1) . \tag{8.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

So we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 8.5 Let $\delta<2$, and $T$ be the lifetime for $\left(Y_{t}\right)$ or $\left(X_{t}\right)$. Then for any initial values, $\mathbb{P}^{(Y)}[T>t]$ and $\mathbb{P}^{(X)}[T>t]$ are bounded above by a constant depending on $\delta$ times $e^{-\frac{1}{2}(2-\delta) t}$, and for any $a<\frac{1}{2}(2-\delta), \mathbb{E}^{(Y)}\left[e^{a T}\right]$ and $\mathbb{E}^{(X)}\left[e^{a T}\right]$ are finite.

Remark 1. Gregory Lawler has a method to prove Corollary 8.2 without finding the transition density (Appendix A, [5]). The idea is to use Girsanov's theorem to compare a radial Bessel process of dimension $\delta \geq 2$ with a Brownian motion. His method also works for some functions other than $\frac{\delta-1}{2} \cot (x)$.
2. We may define a radial Bessel process $\left(X_{t}\right)$ with dimension $\delta \in[0,2)$ such that the time interval is $[0, \infty)$. First, we define $\left(Y_{t}\right)$ to be the solution of the SDE: $d Y_{t}=-q\left(Y_{t}\right) d B(t)-\frac{\delta}{2} Y_{t} d t$ with $Y_{0} \in(-1,1)$, where $q(x)=\sqrt{\left(1-x^{2}\right) \vee 0}$. Since $q$ is Hölder $1 / 2$ continuous, the existence and uniqueness of the strong solution defined on $[0, \infty)$ follow from Theorems 1.7 and 3.5 in §IX of [11]. If $\delta \geq 0$, then $\left(Y_{t}\right)$ stays on $[-1,1]$, and so solves (8.2). Then the process $\left(X_{t}\right)$ is defined by $X_{t}=\arccos \left(Y_{t}\right)$. Proposition (8.1) and its two corollaries also hold for $\delta \in(0,2)$ because the functions $p_{n}(t, x, y)$ solve (8.3) for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Lawler's argument does not work in this case since Girsanov's theorem does not apply.
3. We may also consider the transition density of the process $\left(Y_{t}\right)$, which solves the SDE

$$
d Y_{t}=-\sqrt{1-Y_{t}^{2}} d B(t)-\frac{\delta_{+}}{4}\left(Y_{t}+1\right) d t-\frac{\delta_{-}}{4}\left(Y_{t}-1\right) d t, \quad Y_{0} \in(-1,1) .
$$

If $\delta_{+}=\delta_{-}=\delta$, this SDE becomes (8.2). If $\delta_{+}, \delta_{-}>0$, then $\left(Y_{t}\right)$ stays in $[-1,1]$, and the transition density is given by (8.7) revised such that $C_{n}^{\left(\frac{\delta}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\right)}$ is replaced by the Jacobi polynomial $P_{n}^{\left(\frac{\left.\delta_{+}-1, \frac{\delta_{-}}{2}-1\right)}{}\right.}$, the weight $\left(1-y^{2}\right)^{\frac{\delta}{2}-1}$ is replaced by $(1-y)^{\frac{\delta_{+}}{2}-1}(1+y)^{\frac{\delta_{-}}{2}-1}$, and the number $n+\delta-1$ is replaced by $n+\frac{\delta_{+}+\delta_{-}}{2}-1$. Such $\left(Y_{t}\right)$ has a unique stationary distribution with density proportional to $(1-x)^{\frac{\delta_{+}}{2}-1}(1+x)^{\frac{\delta_{-}}{2}-1}$, and the corresponding stationary process is reversible, mixing and ergodic. One may also use the Jacobi polynomials to express the transition density of the process $\left(Y_{t}\right)$ killed after it hits $\{-1,1\}$ in the case $\delta_{+}$or $\delta_{-}$is less than 2 , which resembles (8.11). Such process $\left(Y_{t}\right)$ was studied in Section 4 of [15].
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