

**Exercises:**

- Let  $T: V \rightarrow W$  be an isomorphism, and let  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n \in V$ .
  - Prove that if  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$  is a generating system in  $V$ , then  $T(\mathbf{v}_1), \dots, T(\mathbf{v}_n)$  is a generating system in  $W$ .
  - Prove that if  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$  is a linearly independent system in  $V$ , then  $T(\mathbf{v}_1), \dots, T(\mathbf{v}_n)$  is a linearly independent system in  $W$ .
  - Prove that if  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$  is a basis for  $V$ , then  $T(\mathbf{v}_1), \dots, T(\mathbf{v}_n)$  is a basis for  $W$ .
- Find all right inverses of the matrix  $A = (1, 1) \in M_{1 \times 2}$ . Use this to prove that  $A$  is **not** left invertible.
- Suppose  $A: V \rightarrow W$  and  $B: U \rightarrow V$  are linear transformations such that  $A \circ B$  is invertible.
  - Prove that  $A$  is right invertible and  $B$  is left invertible.
  - Find an example of such an  $A$  and  $B$  so that  $A$  is **not** left invertible and  $B$  is **not** right invertible.
- Let  $T: V \rightarrow W$  be a linear transformation.
  - Show that
 
$$\text{Null}(T) = \{\mathbf{v} \in V : T(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{0}_W\}$$
 is a subspace of  $V$ .
  - Show that
 
$$\text{Ran}(T) = \{\mathbf{w} \in W : \text{there exists } \mathbf{v} \in V \text{ such that } T(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{w}\}$$
 is a subspace of  $W$ .
  - Prove that  $T$  is an isomorphism if and only if  $\text{Null}(T) = \{\mathbf{0}_V\}$  (the trivial subspace) and  $\text{Ran}(T) = W$ .
- Let  $X, Y \subset V$  be subspaces of  $V$ .
  - Show that  $X \cap Y$  is a subspace of  $V$ .
  - Show that  $X \cup Y$  is a subspace of  $V$  if and only if either  $X \subset Y$  or  $Y \subset X$ .
- Recall that  $\mathcal{L}(V, W)$  denotes the space of linear transformations from  $V$  to  $W$ . Consider the following subset

$$\mathcal{IL}(V, W) := \{T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W) : T \text{ is invertible}\}.$$

Show that  $\mathcal{IL}(V, W)$  is a subspace if and only if  $O \in \mathcal{IL}(V, W)$  where  $O: V \rightarrow W$  is the trivial linear transformation defined by  $O(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{0}_W$  for all  $\mathbf{v} \in V$ .

[Hint: for the “if” direction think about what it means for  $V$  and  $W$  if  $O$  is invertible.]

**Solutions:**

- (a) Let  $\mathbf{w} \in W$  be an arbitrary vector. We must show there exists scalars  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  such that

$$\mathbf{w} = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j T(\mathbf{v}_j).$$

Note that since  $T$  is invertible, its inverse  $T^{-1}: W \rightarrow V$  exists. Then  $T^{-1}(\mathbf{w}) \in V$ . Since  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$  are generating, there exists scalars  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n$  such that

$$T^{-1}(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j \mathbf{v}_j.$$

Applying  $T$  to each side yields

$$T(T^{-1}(\mathbf{w})) = T\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j \mathbf{v}_j\right)$$

$$\mathbf{w} = \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j T(\mathbf{v}_j),$$

where on the left we have used the definition of the inverse, and on the right we have used the linearity of  $T$ . Thus if we choose  $\alpha_1 = \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_n = \beta_n$ , then we have the desired equality. Since  $\mathbf{w} \in W$  was arbitrary, this shows that  $T(\mathbf{v}_1), \dots, T(\mathbf{v}_n)$  is a generating system in  $W$ .  $\square$

(b) Suppose there are scalars  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j T(\mathbf{v}_j) = \mathbf{0}.$$

We must show  $\alpha_1 = \dots = \alpha_n = 0$ . Using the linearity of  $T$ , this is equivalent to

$$T\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j \mathbf{v}_j\right) = \mathbf{0}.$$

Applying  $T^{-1}$  to each side then yields

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j \mathbf{v}_j = \mathbf{0},$$

since  $T^{-1}(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}$ . Now, since  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$  is a linearly independent system, the above equation implies that we must have  $\alpha_1 = \dots = \alpha_n = 0$ , as needed.  $\square$

(c) Suppose  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$  is a basis. Then the system is generating and linearly independent. Therefore parts (a) and (b) imply the system  $T(\mathbf{v}_1), \dots, T(\mathbf{v}_n)$  is generating and linearly independent (respectively), and consequently a basis.  $\square$

2. A right inverse of  $A$  is a matrix  $B \in M_{2 \times 1}$  such that  $AB = I_1 = (1)$ . Label the entries of  $B = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}$ .

Then

$$AB = (a + b).$$

Thus we require  $a + b = 1$  or  $b = 1 - a$ . That is, the right inverses of  $A$  look like  $\begin{pmatrix} a \\ 1 - a \end{pmatrix}$  for any scalar  $a$ .

Suppose, towards a contradiction, that  $A$  is left invertible. Then  $A$  is both left and right invertible and hence invertible. However, we showed in lecture that this means the left and right inverses of  $A$  are the same, and moreover are **unique**. This is a contradiction because choosing  $a = 0$  and  $a = 1$  yield two distinct right inverses for  $A$ . Thus it must be that  $A$  is **not** left invertible.  $\square$

3. (a) Consider the following equations which hold by virtue of the invertibility of  $A \circ B$ :

$$(A \circ B) \circ (A \circ B)^{-1} = I_W$$

$$(A \circ B)^{-1} \circ (A \circ B) = I_U.$$

Since the composition of linear transformations is associative, we can move the parentheses above around to get:

$$A \circ (B \circ (A \circ B)^{-1}) = I_W$$

$$((A \circ B)^{-1} \circ A) \circ B = I_U.$$

The first equation is precisely saying that  $B \circ (A \circ B)^{-1}$  is the right inverse of  $A$ , while the second equation says  $(A \circ B)^{-1} \circ A$  is the left inverse of  $B$ . Thus  $A$  is right invertible and  $B$  is left invertible.  $\square$

(b) Let  $A = (1, 1) \in M_{1 \times 2}$  and  $B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then  $AB = (1) = I_1$ , which is invertible, but as was shown in the previous exercise  $A$  is **not** left invertible. Consequently,  $B$  cannot be right invertible because if it was, then its right inverse would necessarily be  $A$ , but this would contradict  $A$  not being left invertible.  $\square$

4. (a) We know  $T(\mathbf{0}_V) = \mathbf{0}_W$  since  $T$  is a linear transformation. Thus  $\mathbf{0}_V \in \text{Null}(T)$ . Next, let  $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in \text{Null}(T)$ . Then since  $T$  is linear we have

$$T(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}) = T(\mathbf{v}) + T(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{0}_W + \mathbf{0}_W = \mathbf{0}_W,$$

so that  $\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w} \in \text{Null}(T)$ . Finally, for  $\mathbf{v} \in \text{Null}(T)$  and a scalar  $\alpha$  we have

$$T(\alpha\mathbf{v}) = \alpha T(\mathbf{v}) = \alpha\mathbf{0}_W = \mathbf{0}_W,$$

where we have again used the linearity of  $T$  along with Exercise 3 on Homework 1. Thus  $\alpha\mathbf{v} \in \text{Null}(T)$ , and so  $\text{Null}(T)$  is a subspace.

- (b) Since  $T(\mathbf{0}_V) = \mathbf{0}_W$ , we know  $\mathbf{0}_W \in \text{Ran}(T)$ . Let  $\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \in \text{Ran}(T)$ . Then there exists  $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2 \in V$  so that  $T(\mathbf{v}_1) = \mathbf{w}_1$  and  $T(\mathbf{v}_2) = \mathbf{w}_2$ . So using the linearity of  $T$  we have

$$T(\mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2) = T(\mathbf{v}_1) + T(\mathbf{v}_2) = \mathbf{w}_1 + \mathbf{w}_2,$$

which means  $\mathbf{w}_1 + \mathbf{w}_2 \in \text{Ran}(T)$ . Finally, let  $\mathbf{w} \in \text{Ran}(T)$  and let  $\alpha$  be a scalar. There exists  $\mathbf{v} \in V$  so that  $T(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{w}$ , and therefore  $T(\alpha\mathbf{v}) = \alpha T(\mathbf{v}) = \alpha\mathbf{w}$ . Thus  $\alpha\mathbf{w} \in \text{Ran}(T)$ , and  $\text{Ran}(T)$  is a subspace.

- (c) ( $\implies$ ): Assume that  $T$  is an isomorphism. Suppose  $\mathbf{v} \in \text{Null}(T)$ , so that  $T(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{0}_W$ . Since  $T$  is invertible, we can apply  $T^{-1}$  to each side, which yields  $\mathbf{v} = T^{-1}(\mathbf{0}_W)$ . But since  $T^{-1}$  is linear, we must have  $T^{-1}(\mathbf{0}_W) = \mathbf{0}_V$ , and so  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}_V$ . Thus  $\text{Null}(T) \subset \{\mathbf{0}_V\}$ . On the other hand,  $\mathbf{0}_V$  is always in the null space of  $T$  so we in fact have  $\text{Null}(T) = \{\mathbf{0}_V\}$ .

Next let  $\mathbf{w} \in W$  be arbitrary. Define  $\mathbf{v} := T^{-1}(\mathbf{w}) \in V$ . Then  $T(\mathbf{v}) = T(T^{-1}(\mathbf{w})) = \mathbf{w}$ , which means  $\mathbf{w} \in \text{Ran}(T)$ . Since  $\mathbf{w}$  was arbitrary, this implies  $W \subset \text{Ran}(T)$ . The other inclusion follows by definition of the range, so we therefore have  $\text{Ran}(T) = W$ .

( $\impliedby$ ): Assume  $\text{Null}(T) = \{\mathbf{0}_V\}$  and  $\text{Ran}(T) = W$ . We will define a linear transformation  $S: W \rightarrow V$  and show that it is the inverse of  $T$ . We first make an observation. Let  $\mathbf{w} \in W = \text{Ran}(T)$ , then there exists at least one  $\mathbf{v} \in V$  such that  $T(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{w}$ . We claim that this  $\mathbf{v}$  is in fact unique. Indeed, suppose there is another  $\mathbf{v}' \in V$  such that  $T(\mathbf{v}') = \mathbf{w}$ . Then

$$T(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}') = T(\mathbf{v}) - T(\mathbf{v}') = \mathbf{w} - \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{0}_W.$$

This means  $\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}' \in \text{Null}(T)$ , but since the null space is the trivial subspace we must have  $\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}' = \mathbf{0}_V$ , or equivalently  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}'$ . Thus  $\mathbf{v}$  is unique.

We therefore define a transformation  $S: W \rightarrow V$  by letting  $S(\mathbf{w})$  equal the unique  $\mathbf{v} \in V$  such that  $T(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{w}$ . We must check that  $S$  is linear and that it is the inverse of  $T$ . We check the latter condition first. Let  $\mathbf{v} \in V$  be arbitrary and call  $\mathbf{w} := T(\mathbf{v})$ . By definition of  $S$ , we have  $S(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{v}$  and so

$$S \circ T(\mathbf{v}) = S(T(\mathbf{v})) = S(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{v}.$$

Since  $\mathbf{v} \in V$  was arbitrary, this implies  $S \circ T = I_V$ . Next let  $\mathbf{w} \in W$  be arbitrary and call  $\mathbf{v} := S(\mathbf{w})$ . Again, by definition of  $S$  we must have  $T(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{w}$ . Thus

$$T \circ S(\mathbf{w}) = T(S(\mathbf{w})) = T(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{w}.$$

Since  $\mathbf{w} \in W$  was arbitrary, this implies  $T \circ S = I_W$ . Finally, we verify that  $S$  is linear. Let  $\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2 \in W$  and let  $\alpha, \beta$  be scalars. Call  $v := S(\alpha\mathbf{w}_1 + \beta\mathbf{w}_2)$ ,  $\mathbf{v}_1 := S(\mathbf{w}_1)$ , and  $\mathbf{v}_2 := S(\mathbf{w}_2)$ . We must show  $\mathbf{v} = \alpha\mathbf{v}_1 + \beta\mathbf{v}_2$ . Observe that by the linearity of  $T$  and the definition of  $S$  we have

$$T(\alpha\mathbf{v}_1 + \beta\mathbf{v}_2) = \alpha T(\mathbf{v}_1) + \beta T(\mathbf{v}_2) = \alpha\mathbf{w}_1 + \beta\mathbf{w}_2.$$

Since  $\mathbf{v}$  is defined to be the unique vector satisfying  $T(\mathbf{v}) = \alpha\mathbf{w}_1 + \beta\mathbf{w}_2$ , we must have  $\mathbf{v} = \alpha\mathbf{v}_1 + \beta\mathbf{v}_2$  as desired.  $\square$

5. (a) Since  $X$  and  $Y$  are both subspaces, we have  $\mathbf{0}_V \in X$  and  $\mathbf{0}_V \in Y$ . Thus  $\mathbf{0}_V \in X \cap Y$ . Let  $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in X \cap Y$ . Then  $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in X$  and since  $X$  is subspace we have  $\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w} \in X$ . Similarly,  $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in Y$  so that  $\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w} \in Y$ . Thus  $\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w} \in X \cap Y$ . Finally, let  $\mathbf{v} \in X \cap Y$  and let  $\alpha$  be a scalar. Then  $\mathbf{v} \in X$  and so  $\alpha\mathbf{v} \in X$ . Similarly,  $\mathbf{v} \in Y$  and so  $\alpha\mathbf{v} \in Y$ . Hence  $\alpha\mathbf{v} \in X \cap Y$ , which means  $X \cap Y$  is a subspace.  $\square$
- (b) ( $\implies$ ): We will use proof by contrapositive to show this. That is, we will assume that neither  $X \subset Y$  nor  $Y \subset X$  and then show that  $X \cup Y$  is **not** a subspace. In particular, we will show that  $X \cup Y$  is not closed under addition. Now, since  $X$  is not contained in  $Y$  there exists some  $\mathbf{v} \in X$  such that  $\mathbf{v} \notin Y$ . Similarly, since  $Y$  is not contained in  $X$  there is some  $\mathbf{w} \in Y$  such that  $\mathbf{w} \notin X$ . Now,  $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in X \cup Y$  but we claim  $\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w} \notin X \cup Y$ . Indeed, if we had  $\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w} \in X \cup Y$  then it would follow that either  $\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w} \in X$  or  $\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w} \in Y$  (or both). If  $\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w} \in X$  then there is some  $\mathbf{x} \in X$  such that  $\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{x}$ . But then  $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{v}$  and the difference on the right is in  $X$  since both  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{v}$  are. This contradicts  $\mathbf{w} \notin X$ . So we cannot have  $\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w} \in X$ . On the other hand, if we have  $\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w} \in Y$  then there is some  $\mathbf{y} \in Y$  such that  $\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{y}$ . But then  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{w}$ , which is in  $Y$  since both  $\mathbf{y}$  and  $\mathbf{w}$  are. This contradicts  $\mathbf{v} \notin Y$ . Since we cannot have either  $\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w} \in X$  or  $\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w} \in Y$ , we must have  $\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w} \notin X \cup Y$ . So  $X \cup Y$  is not a subspace.
- ( $\impliedby$ ): If  $X \subset Y$  or  $Y \subset X$ , then  $X \cup Y$  is either  $Y$  or  $X$ , respectively. In either case,  $X \cup Y$  is a subspace.  $\square$

6. ( $\implies$ ): If  $\mathcal{IL}(V, W)$  is a subspace, then it necessarily contains the zero vector, which in  $\mathcal{L}(V, W)$  is the trivial linear transformation  $O$ .

( $\impliedby$ ): Assume  $O \in \mathcal{IL}(V, W)$ . Let  $O^{-1}$  be the inverse of  $O$ . Observe that for any  $\mathbf{v} \in V$  we have

$$\mathbf{v} = O^{-1} \circ O(\mathbf{v}) = O^{-1}(O(\mathbf{v})) = O^{-1}(\mathbf{0}_W) = \mathbf{0}_V,$$

since  $O^{-1}$  is a linear transformation. Thus  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{0}_V$ , and since this was an arbitrary vector we therefore have  $V = \{\mathbf{0}_V\}$  is a vector space with exactly one element. Similarly, for any  $\mathbf{w} \in W$  we have

$$\mathbf{w} = O \circ O^{-1}(\mathbf{w}) = O(O^{-1}(\mathbf{w})) = \mathbf{0}_W.$$

So  $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{0}_W$  and by the same reasoning as above we get that  $W = \{\mathbf{0}_W\}$ . Now, consider any  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ . Then  $T = O$  since they agree on all vectors of  $V$ :  $T(\mathbf{0}_V) = \mathbf{0}_W = O(\mathbf{0}_V)$ . Consequently  $\mathcal{L}(V, W) = \{O\}$  is a vector space with exactly one element. It follows that  $\mathcal{IL}(V, W) = \{O\}$ , and so we see that it is a subspace; namely, the trivial subspace.  $\square$