

**Exercises:**

1. For each of the following matrices find a diagonalization or show one does not exist.

(a)  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$ .

(b)  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

(c)  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 & 3 \\ -3 & -5 & -3 \\ 3 & 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

2. Let  $A \in M_{n \times n}$  with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  counting multiplicities. Prove the following formulas:

(a)  $\text{Tr}(A) = \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n$ .

(b)  $\det(A) = \lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_n$ .

3. Suppose  $A \in M_{n \times n}$  is diagonalizable and  $\text{char}_A(z) = a_n z^n + a_{n-1} z^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 z + a_0$ . Show that

$$a_n A^n + a_{n-1} A^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 A + a_0 I_n = O.$$

[**Note:** this also holds for non-diagonalizable matrices and is called the Cayley–Hamilton theorem.]

4. Suppose  $A \in M_{n \times n}$  has distinct eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ , and consider the following subspace of  $M_{n \times n}$ :

$$V := \text{span}\{I, A, A^2, A^3, \dots\}.$$

Prove that  $\dim(V) = n$ .

5. Verify that  $\langle A, B \rangle_2 := \text{Tr}(B^* A)$  defines an inner product on  $M_{m \times n}$ .

6. Let  $V$  be an inner product space with a spanning system  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ . Prove that for  $\mathbf{x} \in V$ ,  $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}_k \rangle = 0$  for each  $k = 1, \dots, n$  if and only if  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ .

**Solutions:**

1. (a) By Exercise 5 on Homework 8,  $\text{char}_A(z) = (z - 2)^2$  and  $(1, 1)^T$  is a basis for the eigenspace  $\text{Ker}(A - 2I)$ . Thus the geometric multiplicity of  $\lambda = 2$  is strictly less than its algebraic multiplicity. Therefore  $A$  is not diagonalizable.

(b) By Exercise 5 on Homework 8,  $\sigma(A) = \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{5}}{2}$ . Denote these quantities by  $\varphi$  and  $\tau$ , respectively. The same exercise showed  $(\varphi, 1)^T$  is a basis for the eigenspace  $\text{Ker}(A - \varphi I)$ , and  $(\tau, 1)^T$  is a basis for the eigenspace  $\text{Ker}(A - \tau I)$ . Thus a diagonalization of  $A$  is given by

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi & \tau \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi & 0 \\ 0 & \tau \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi & \tau \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi & \tau \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi & 0 \\ 0 & \tau \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\varphi - \tau} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\tau \\ -1 & \varphi \end{pmatrix}.$$

(c) By Exercise 5 on Homework 8,  $\sigma(A) = \{-2, 1\}$ . Also  $(-1, 1, 0)^T$  and  $(-1, 0, 1)^T$  is a basis for the eigenspace  $\text{Ker}(A - (-2)I)$ , and  $(1, -1, 1)^T$  is a basis for the eigenspace  $\text{Ker}(A - 1I)$ . Thus a diagonalization of  $A$  is given by

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$$

To compute the inverse, we do row operations on an augmented matrix:

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) & \xrightarrow{R_1 \rightarrow R_3 \rightarrow R_2 \rightarrow R_1} \left( \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \\ & \xrightarrow{R_3 \rightarrow R_3 + R_1 + R_2} \left( \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right) \\ & \xrightarrow{\substack{R_1 \rightarrow R_1 + R_3 \\ R_2 \rightarrow R_2 - R_3}} \left( \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $A$  has diagonalization:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

2. By Theorem 4.12, there exists an invertible matrix  $Q \in M_{n \times n}$  so that

$$A = Q \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & * \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix} Q^{-1}.$$

Denote the upper triangular matrix by  $T$ . Then

$$\text{Tr}(A) = \text{Tr}(QTQ^{-1}) = \text{Tr}(Q^{-1}QT) = \text{Tr}(T) = \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n.$$

Also,

$$\det(A) = \det(QTQ^{-1}) = \det(Q) \det(T) \det(Q^{-1}) = \det(T) = \lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_n. \quad \square$$

3. Let  $A = QDQ^{-1}$  be a diagonalization of  $A$ , where  $D = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$  and  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  are the eigenvalues of  $A$  counting multiplicities. By the functional calculus, we have

$$a_n A^n + a_{n-1} A^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1 A + a_0 I_n = \text{char}_A(A) = Q \text{char}_A(D) Q^{-1}.$$

Now, since  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  are the roots of  $\text{char}_A(z)$ , we have

$$\text{char}_A(D) = \begin{pmatrix} \text{char}_A(\lambda_1) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \text{char}_A(\lambda_n) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix} = O.$$

Hence  $a_n A^n + a_{n-1} A^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1 A + a_0 I_n = QOQ^{-1} = O. \quad \square$

4. We claim that  $\mathcal{B} := \{I, A, A^2, \dots, A^{n-1}\}$  is a basis for  $V$ , in which case we will have  $\dim(V) = n$ . We first show that the system is spanning. Define

$$p(z) := \text{char}_A(z) = (z - \lambda_1) \cdots (z - \lambda_n).$$

Note that by expanding we have  $p(z) = z^n + q(z)$  where  $q(z)$  is degree at most  $n - 1$ . Also note that by Corollary 4.10,  $A$  is diagonalizable.

We claim that for any  $k \geq n$  we have  $A^k \in \text{span } \mathcal{B}$ . We will proceed by induction on  $k$ . For the base case,  $k = n$ , we have by Exercise 3 that  $p(A) = O$ . Thus

$$O = p(A) = A^n + q(A),$$

so that  $A^n = -q(A)$ . Since  $q$  is degree at most  $n - 1$ ,  $A^n = -q(A) \in \text{span } \mathcal{B}$ . Now, for the induction step assume the claim holds for  $k$ ; that is,

$$A^k = \alpha_0 I + \alpha_1 A + \cdots + \alpha_{n-2} A^{n-2} + \alpha_{n-1} A^{n-1}$$

for some scalars  $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}$ . Then using the base case again we have

$$A^{k+1} = \alpha_0 A + \alpha_1 A^2 + \cdots + \alpha_{n-2} A^{n-1} + \alpha_{n-1} A^n = \alpha_0 A + \alpha_1 A^2 + \cdots + \alpha_{n-2} A^{n-1} + \alpha_{n-1} (-q(A)),$$

which is in  $\text{span } \mathcal{B}$ . So by induction  $A^k \in \text{span } \mathcal{B}$  for all  $k \geq 0$  and hence  $\mathcal{B}$  is spanning.

Towards showing  $\mathcal{B}$  is linearly independent (and hence a basis) suppose

$$\alpha_0 I + \alpha_1 A + \cdots + \alpha_{n-1} A^{n-1} = O$$

for some scalars  $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}$ . Assume, towards a contradiction, that not all of these scalars are zero. Then we can define a non-trivial polynomial

$$r(z) := \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 z + \cdots + \alpha_{n-1} z^{n-1}$$

and  $r(A) = O$ . If  $A = QDQ^{-1}$  is a diagonalization of  $A$ , then we have

$$r(D) = Q^{-1} Q r(D) Q^{-1} Q = Q^{-1} r(A) Q = Q^{-1} O Q = O.$$

However,  $r(D)$  is the diagonal matrix with entries  $r(\lambda_1), \dots, r(\lambda_n)$ . So if it equals the zero matrix, then  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  must all be roots of  $r$ . Since  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  are all distinct, this would imply  $r(z)$  has  $n$  distinct roots which contradicts  $r(z)$  being of degree at most  $n - 1$ . Thus it must be that  $\alpha_0 = \cdots = \alpha_{n-1} = 0$ , and so  $\mathcal{B}$  is a linearly independent system.  $\square$

5. We must check each of the four parts of the definition of an inner product. We first note that

$$\text{Tr}(B^* A) = \sum_{j=1}^n (B^* A)_{j,j} = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^m (B^*)_{j,i} (A)_{i,j} = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \overline{(B)_{i,j}} (A)_{i,j}.$$

(1) **Conjugate Symmetry:** using the above formula, we have

$$\langle B, A \rangle_2 = \text{Tr}(A^* B) = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \overline{(A)_{i,j}} (B)_{i,j} = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \overline{(A)_{i,j} (B)_{i,j}} = \overline{\text{Tr}(B^* A)} = \overline{\langle A, B \rangle_2}.$$

(2) **Linearity:** using the linearity of the trace we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \alpha A + \beta B, C \rangle_2 &= \text{Tr}(C^* (\alpha A + \beta B)) = \text{Tr}(\alpha C^* A + \beta C^* B) \\ &= \alpha \text{Tr}(C^* A) + \beta \text{Tr}(C^* B) = \alpha \langle A, C \rangle_2 + \beta \langle B, C \rangle_2. \end{aligned}$$

(3) **Non-negativity:** using the above formula we have

$$\langle A, A \rangle_2 = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \overline{(A)_{i,j}} (A)_{i,j} = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n |(A)_{i,j}|^2,$$

which is greater than or equal to zero as a sum of non-negative numbers.

(4) **Non-degeneracy:** using the computation from the previous part, we see that  $\langle A, A \rangle_2 = 0$  if and only if

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n |(A)_{i,j}|^2 = 0,$$

which is possible if and only if  $(A)_{i,j} = 0$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, m$  and  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . That is, if and only if  $A = O$ .  $\square$

6. If  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  then we immediately have  $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}_k \rangle = 0$  for each  $k = 1, \dots, n$ . Conversely, suppose  $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}_k \rangle = 0$  for each  $k = 1, \dots, n$ . Since  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$  span  $V$ , there exists scalars  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  such that  $\mathbf{x} = \alpha_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n \mathbf{v}_n$ . Consequently,

$$\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{x}, \alpha_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n \mathbf{v}_n \rangle = \bar{\alpha}_1 \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}_1 \rangle + \cdots + \bar{\alpha}_n \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}_n \rangle = \bar{\alpha}_1 0 + \cdots + \bar{\alpha}_n 0 = 0$$

Thus  $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle = 0$  and so by non-degeneracy  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ .  $\square$