

VIII.1 Elementary Properties and Examples

Def. An algebra over a field \mathbb{F} is a vector space A over \mathbb{F} that admits a multiplication operation

$$\begin{aligned} A \times A &\rightarrow A \\ (a, b) &\mapsto a \cdot b \end{aligned}$$

satisfying

- ① $(a \cdot b) \cdot c = a \cdot (b \cdot c)$ for all $a, b, c \in A$ (associativity)
- ② $a \cdot (b + c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c$
 $(a + b) \cdot c = a \cdot c + b \cdot c$ for all $a, b, c \in A$ (distributivity)
- ③ $\alpha(ab) = (\alpha a)b = a(\alpha b)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ and $a, b \in A$.

We say A is unital if it admits an element $e \in A$ satisfying $a \cdot e = e \cdot a = a \ \forall a \in A$.
We say A is abelian if $a \cdot b = b \cdot a$ for all $a, b \in A$.

Def. A Banach algebra is an algebra A over a field \mathbb{F} equipped with a norm making A into a Banach space and such that

$$\|a \cdot b\| \leq \|a\| \|b\| \quad \forall a, b \in A.$$

If A is unital, then it is assumed that $\|e\| = 1$.

• For a unital Banach algebra, $\|ae\| = \|a\|$ implies $\mathbb{F} \ni \alpha \mapsto \alpha e$ is an isometry.
In this case, we identify $\mathbb{F} \subseteq A$ and denote the unit by 1 .

Ex ① For X a Hausdorff space, $C_b(X)$ is a unital abelian Banach algebra with unit given by the constant function 1 .

② For X a locally compact Hausdorff space, $C_0(X)$ is an abelian Banach algebra. It is unital iff X is compact.

In particular, $C_0(\mathbb{N})$ is a Banach algebra.

③ For (X, Ω, μ) a σ -finite measure space, $L^\infty(X, \Omega, \mu)$ is a unital abelian Banach algebra with unit $1(x) = 1$ μ -a.e.

④ For H a Hilbert space, $B(H)$ is a unital Banach algebra when equipped with the operator norm. It is abelian iff $\dim H = 1$.
Also $K(H)$, the compact operators are a Banach algebra.

In particular, $M_{\aleph \times \aleph}(\mathbb{C})$ is a unital Banach algebra for all $\aleph \in \mathbb{N}$. □

• If A is a non-unital Banach algebra, let $A_1 := A \times \mathbb{F}$ and define

$$\textcircled{1} (a, \alpha) + (b, \beta) = (a+b, \alpha+\beta)$$

$$\textcircled{2} \beta(a, \alpha) = (\beta a, \beta \alpha)$$

$$(3) (a, \alpha) \cdot (b, \beta) = (ab + \alpha b + \beta a, \alpha\beta)$$

$$(4) \|(a, \alpha)\| = \|a\| + |\alpha|$$

Then A_1 is a unital Banach algebra with unit $(0, 1)$. Moreover $a \mapsto (a, 0)$ is an isometric embedding of A into A_1 (Exercise)

Def A_1 defined above is called the unitization of A .

EX Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space that is not compact. Let X_∞ be its one-point compactification. Then the unitization of $C_0(X)$ is $C(X_\infty)$.

EX (1) For $f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ define their convolution by

$$(f * g)(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t-s)g(s) ds$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f * g(s)| dt &= \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f(t-s)| |g(s)| ds dt \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |g(s)| \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(t-s)| dt \right) ds \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |g(s)| \|f\|_1 ds = \|f\|_1 \|g\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $f * g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ with $\|f * g\|_1 \leq \|f\|_1 \|g\|_1$. Thus $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ is a Banach algebra when equipped with convolution. It is abelian but non-unital (Exercise)

(2) For $\mu, \nu \in M(\mathbb{R})$, define $\mu * \nu \in M(\mathbb{R}) = C_0(\mathbb{R})^*$ by

$$(\mu * \nu)(f) = \iint f(t+s) d\mu(t) d\nu(s)$$

Observe

$$|(\mu * \nu)(f)| \leq \iint |f(t+s)| \cdot d|\mu|(t) d|\nu|(s) \leq \|f\|_\infty \|\mu\| \|\nu\|.$$

So $\|\mu * \nu\| \leq \|\mu\| \|\nu\|$. It follows that $M(\mathbb{R})$ is a Banach algebra with this convolution operation. It is abelian and unital with unit δ_0 (Exercise)

Moreover, $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ is a Banach subalgebra of $M(\mathbb{R})$: for $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ define $\mu_g \in M(\mathbb{R})$ by

$$\mu_g(f) := \int f(t)g(t) dt \quad f \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu_g * \mu_h)(f) &= \iint f(t+s)g(t)h(s) dt ds = \iint f(t)g(t-s)h(s) dt ds \\ &= \int f(t)(g * h)(t-s) dt = \mu_{g * h}(f). \end{aligned}$$

So $\mu_g * \mu_h = \mu_{g * h}$. □

VII.3 The Spectrum

Def An element $a \in A$ of a unital algebra is said to be invertible if there exists $b \in A$ satisfying $ab = ba = I$. In this case, we denote $a^{-1} := b$.

Ex ① Let X be a compact Hausdorff space. Then $f \in C(X)$ is invertible iff $f(x) \neq 0 \forall x \in X$, in which case $(f^{-1})(x) = 1/f(x)$ with $\|f^{-1}\| = \left(\inf_{x \in X} |f(x)|\right)^{-1}$.
 ↗ Δ not compositional inverse.

② Let (X, Ω, μ) be a σ -finite measure space. Then $f \in L^\infty(X, \mu)$ is invertible iff

$$\delta := \inf \{ \varepsilon > 0 : \mu(\{x \in X : |f(x)| \leq \varepsilon\}) = 0 \} > 0$$

In this case, $(f^{-1})(x) = 1/f(x)$ and $\|f^{-1}\| = \delta^{-1}$.

③ Let H be a Hilbert space. Then $T \in B(H)$ is invertible as a Banach space element iff it is bijective (using the Inverse Mapping Theorem). □

Lemma If A is a unital Banach algebra and $x \in A$ satisfies $\|x - 1\| < 1$, then x is invertible with $x^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1-x)^n$

Proof Define $y := 1 - x$ and $r := \|y\|$. It follows from an inductive argument that $\|y^n\| \leq \|y\|^n = r^n$. Consequently $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|y^n\| \leq \frac{1}{1-r} < \infty$,

which implies $z := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} y^n$ converges to an element of A . Denote $z_N := \sum_{n=0}^N y^n$ so that $\|z - z_N\| \rightarrow 0$. Observe that

$$z_N x = (1 + y + \dots + y^N)(1 - y) = 1 + y + \dots + y^N - (y + y^2 + \dots + y^{N+1}) = 1 - y^{N+1}$$

Also note that $\|y^{N+1}\| \leq r^{N+1}$ implies $y^{N+1} \rightarrow 0$. Thus

$$zx = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} z_N x = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} (1 - y^{N+1}) = 1.$$

Similarly, $xz = 1$. Thus $z = x^{-1}$. □

4/24

Thm Let A be a unital Banach algebra. Then $G := \{a \in A : a \text{ is invertible}\}$

is open, the map

$$G \ni a \mapsto a^{-1} \in G$$

is continuous.

Proof Let $a \in G$. Suppose $b \in A$ satisfies $\|a - b\| < \frac{1}{\|a^{-1}\|}$. Then we have $1 = \frac{1}{\|a^{-1}\|} \cdot \|a^{-1}\| > \|a - b\| \cdot \|a^{-1}\| \geq \begin{cases} \|1 - ba^{-1}\| \\ \|1 - a^{-1}b\| \end{cases}$

So the lemma implies ba^{-1} and $a^{-1}b$ are invertible. We claim that $b \in G$ with $b^{-1} = a^{-1}(ba^{-1})^{-1} = (a^{-1}b)^{-1}a^{-1}$. Indeed

$$\begin{aligned} \star \quad b(a^{-1}(ba^{-1})^{-1}) &= (ba^{-1}) \cdot (ba^{-1})^{-1} = I \\ (a^{-1}b)^{-1}a^{-1} \cdot b &= (a^{-1}b)^{-1} \cdot (a^{-1}b) = I \end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$(a^{-1}b)^{-1}a^{-1} = (a^{-1}b)^{-1}a^{-1} \cdot I = (a^{-1}b)^{-1}a^{-1} \cdot b \cdot (a^{-1}(ba^{-1})^{-1}) = I \cdot a^{-1}(ba^{-1})^{-1} = a^{-1}(ba^{-1})^{-1}.$$

This equality along with (\star) completes the claim. Thus

$$B(a, \frac{1}{\|a^{-1}\|}) \subset G$$

and G is open.

Toward checking continuity of $a \mapsto a^{-1}$, we will first check continuity at $I \in G$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Choose $\delta \in (0, 1)$ satisfying $\frac{\varepsilon}{1-\delta} < \varepsilon$. If $\|a-1\| < \delta < 1$, then the lemma implies $a^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1-a)^n$. Consequently

$$\|a^{-1} - 1\| = \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1-a)^n \right\| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|1-a\|^n < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta^n = \frac{\delta}{1-\delta} < \varepsilon.$$

Thus $a \mapsto a^{-1}$ is continuous at I .

Now, suppose $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset G$ converges to some $a_0 \in G$. Then $a_0^{-1}a_n \rightarrow I$ and so the above implies $a_n^{-1}a_0 = (a_0^{-1}a_n)^{-1} \rightarrow I$. Consequently, $a_n^{-1} \rightarrow a_0^{-1}$. □

Def Let A be a unital Banach algebra. The spectrum of $a \in A$ is the set

$$\sigma(a) := \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{F} : a - \lambda \text{ is not invertible} \}$$

The resolvent of a is the set $\rho(a) := \mathbb{F} \setminus \sigma(a)$.

Ex (1) Let X be a compact Hausdorff space. For $f \in C(X)$, $\sigma(f) = f(X)$. Indeed, $f - \alpha$ is invertible iff $(f - \alpha)(x) = f(x) - \alpha \neq 0 \quad \forall x \in X \Leftrightarrow \alpha \notin f(X)$.

(2) Let H be a Hilbert space. For $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$, $\sigma_p(T) \subseteq \sigma(T)$. Indeed, $\lambda \in \sigma_p(T)$ implies $\ker(T - \lambda) \neq \{0\}$, and so $T - \lambda$ is not invertible. Generally, though, $\sigma_p(T) \subsetneq \sigma(T)$. E.g. $S \in \mathcal{B}(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))$ the shift operator has $0 \in \sigma(S) \setminus \sigma_p(S)$ since $S - 0 = S$ is injective but not surjective.

Exercise: Let $T \in K(\mathbb{H})$ be a normal operator. Show that

$$\sigma_p(T) \subseteq \sigma(T) \subseteq \sigma_p(T) \cup \{0\}.$$

Moreover, if $|\sigma_p(T)| < \infty$, then $\sigma_p(T) = \sigma(T)$.

③ Consider $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbb{R})$. Then the previous example implies $\sigma(A) = \sigma_p(A) = \emptyset$ since A has no real eigenvalues. However, viewing $A \in M_2(\mathbb{C})$ we obtain $\sigma(A) = \{\pm i\}$. □

- As indicated by the above example, taking our Banach algebras over \mathbb{C} yields non-empty spectra. To see this, we first need a definition:

Def Let X be a Banach space. An X -valued analytic function is a map $f: G \rightarrow X$ where $G \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is open and for all $z_0 \in G$ the limit

$$f'(z_0) := \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} [f(z_0+h) - f(z_0)]$$

exists, and $f': G \rightarrow X$ is continuous.

- Exercise** (a) Show that $f: G \rightarrow X$ is analytic iff $\forall \varphi \in X^* \varphi \circ f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is analytic $\forall \varphi \in X^*$.

(b) Show that $f: G \rightarrow X$ is analytic iff $\forall x_0 \in G \exists x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots \in X$ such that

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x_n (z - z_0)^n \quad \forall z \in B(z_0, \text{dist}(z_0, \partial G))$$

- Many results in complex analysis still hold for X -valued analytic functions, including the Cauchy integral formula and (consequently) Liouville's Theorem.

Thm Let A be a unital Banach algebra over \mathbb{C} . For all $a \in A$, $\sigma(a)$ is a non-empty compact subset of $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq \|a\|\}$. Moreover, $\rho(a) \ni z \mapsto (z - a)^{-1}$ is an A -valued analytic function.

Proof Suppose $|z| > \|a\|$. Then $1 - \frac{1}{z}a$ is invertible by the earlier lemma. Since $z \neq 0$, it follows that $z(1 - \frac{1}{z}a) = z - a$ is invertible. Hence $z \in \rho(a)$. This shows $\sigma(a) \subseteq \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq \|a\|\}$. Consequently, to show $\sigma(a)$ is compact it suffices to show $\sigma(a)$ is closed, or equivalently that $\rho(a)$ is open. Let $G \subseteq A$ be the invertible elements, which we know is open. Now $\mathbb{C} \ni z \mapsto (z - a) \in A$ is continuous, and $\rho(a)$ is the inverse image of G , hence $\rho(a)$ is open.

Now, denote $F(z) := (z - a)^{-1}$ for $z \in \rho(a)$. Fix $z_0 \in \rho(a)$ and let $h \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ be small enough so that $z_0 + h \in \rho(a)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h} [F(z_0+h) - F(z_0)] &= \frac{1}{h} (z_0+h-a)^{-1} [1 - (z_0+h-a) \cdot (z_0-a)^{-1}] \\ &= \frac{1}{h} (z_0+h-a)^{-1} [(z_0-a) - (z_0+h-a)] (z_0-a)^{-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{h} (z_0+h-a)^{-1} [-h] (z_0-a)^{-1} \\ &= -(z_0+h-a)^{-1} (z_0-a)^{-1} \xrightarrow{h \rightarrow 0} -(z_0-a)^{-2} \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that $G \ni b \mapsto b^{-1} \in G$ is continuous. So $F'(z) = -(z-a)^{-2}$,

which is continuous, so F is analytic on $\rho(a)$.

It remains to show $\sigma(a) \neq \emptyset$. Suppose not, then $\rho(a) = \mathbb{C}$ and so F is an entire function. But

$$\|F(z)\| = \|(z-a)^{-1}\| = \frac{1}{|z|} \|(1 - a/z)\| \xrightarrow{|z| \rightarrow \infty} 0 \cdot 1 = 0,$$

so F is also bounded. Liouville's Theorem then implies F is constant. This is a contradiction since, for example, $F' \neq 0$. Thus $\rho(a) \neq \mathbb{C}$ and $\sigma(a) \neq \emptyset$. \square

Def Let A be a unital Banach algebra. The spectral radius of $a \in A$ is the quantity

$$r(a) := \sup_{z \in \sigma(a)} |z|$$

The previous theorem implies $0 \leq r(a) < \infty$ and moreover $\exists z \in \sigma(a)$ with $r(a) = |z|$.

Ex (1) Let X be a compact Hausdorff space. For $f \in C(X)$ we have seen $\sigma(f) = f(X)$. Hence $r(f) = \|f\|_\infty$.

(2) For $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbb{C})$, $\sigma(A) = \{0\}$ so $r(A) = 0 < \|A\| = 1$. Note that $A^2 = 0$, so $r(A) = \|A^2\|$.

Proof Let A be a unital Banach algebra. For all $a \in A$

$$r(a) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|a^n\|^{1/n}$$

Proof Fix $a \in A$ and let $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : z=0 \text{ or } z^{-1} \in \rho(a)\}$. Define $f: U \rightarrow A$ by

$$f(z) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } z=0 \\ (z^{-1} - a)^{-1} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then f is analytic (Exercise). Using the lemma, we see that for $|z| < \|a\|^{-1}$

$$f(z) = z(1 - za)^{-1} = z \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^n z^n$$

By complex analysis (namely uniqueness of analytic extensions), we know this power series is valid on any $B(0, R) \subseteq U$. In particular, for

$$R := \text{dist}(0, \partial U) = \text{dist}(0, \sigma(a)^{-1}) = \inf \{ |z| : z^{-1} \in \sigma(a) \} = r(a)^{-1}.$$

On the other hand, by direct inspection of the power series we have

$$r(a) = R^{-1} = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|a^n\|^{1/n}.$$

Conversely, if $z \in \sigma(a)$, then $z^n \in \sigma(a^n)$ since $a^n - z^n = (a-z)(a^{n-1} + a^{n-2}z + \dots + z^{n-1})$. Thus the previous theorem implies $|z^n| \leq \|a^n\|$ or $|z| \leq \|a^n\|^{1/n}$. Consequently, $|z| \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|a^n\|^{1/n}$ for all $z \in \sigma(a)$. This implies $r(a) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|a^n\|^{1/n} \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|a^n\|^{1/n} = r(a)$. \square